


RESEARCH

Open Access



# Movement and heart rate in the Scandinavian brown bear (*Ursus arctos*)

Leslie Blanchet<sup>1\*</sup> , Boris Fuchs<sup>1</sup>, Ole-Gunnar Støen<sup>2</sup>, Audrey Bergouignan<sup>3</sup>, Andrés Ordiz<sup>4</sup>, Timothy G. Laske<sup>5</sup>, Jon M. Arnemo<sup>1,6</sup> and Alina L. Evans<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Understanding animal movement facilitates better management and conservation. The link between movement and physiology holds clues to the basic drivers of animal behaviours. In bears, heart rate increases with the metabolic rate during the active phase. Their movement and heart rate change at seasonal and daily scales, and can also depend on environmental factors. Their behaviour is, therefore, flexible in activity patterns with high individual variations. The aim of this study was to establish the relationship between heart rate and distance travelled, and test whether this relationship was influenced by environmental (e.g., time of year and time of day) and biological (e.g., reproductive status, sex, body mass and age of the bears) factors. We analysed data of distance travelled and heart rate of 15 GPS-collared brown bears, both males and females, equipped with cardiac loggers in the south of Sweden in 2014–2017.

**Results:** Heart rate increased with distances travelled exceeding 50 m in an hour, but this correlation depended on the day-of-year with higher heart rate in August than in May. Bears accompanied by cubs had lower heart rate than solitary bears especially in May. When movement was minimum (< 50 m in an hour), heart rate was not related to distance travelled and was very variable, regardless of the months.

**Conclusions:** Our findings suggest that heart rate increases with long distances travelled, but varies with day-of-year and reproductive status, depending on the metabolic rate. Studying the change in heart rate in bears can help to evaluate their seasonal rhythms and how different factors affect them. This study illustrates the usefulness of combined bio-logging proxies, i.e., movement and heart rates in our case, in animal ecology.

**Keywords:** Distance travelled, GPS positions, Cardiac bio-logger, Seasonal variation, Reproductive status

## Background

Wildlife activity patterns may be an adaptation to daily and seasonal variations in environmental factors [1] and are relevant for optimising management. Activity patterns of large carnivores can vary seasonally due to changes in their environment, including human activities such as hunting [1, 2]. In addition, movement and physiology are linked to an individual's activity levels. For example, one study showed that red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) have heart rates (HRs) and body temperatures depending

on the intensity of the activity. During running, the red fox has high HR and body temperature and during sleep, its HR is lower [3]. Furthermore, bio-logging can be used to assess how changes in physical parameters of the environment can induce changes in the behaviour of the animal at the same spatial and temporal scale [4]. Global Positioning System (GPS) relocations can be matched to HR and other physiological parameters. This allows a possible correlation between physiological change and environmental factors [5]. For example, HR of carnivores can vary with the ambient temperature. In fennec foxes (*Vulpes zerda*), HR decreases when the ambient temperature rises above 35 °C [6].

Bears are ideal study models for physiological monitoring because they possess large home ranges and have

\*Correspondence: blanchet.leslie@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, Faculty of Applied Ecology and Agricultural Sciences, Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Campus Evenstad, 2480 Koppang, Norway  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



long life expectancy [5]. Physiology and activity of bears are recorded by bio-loggers which monitor the stress responses and determine the environmental events of the bears that provoke their physiological response based on their behaviour [7]. Bears exhibit extreme variations in their physiology in response to food availability and seasonal changes [5]. Studying the change in HR in bears can, therefore, help to evaluate their seasonal rhythms and how environment and stress, due both to intraspecific and external factors, can affect them.

In northern ecosystems, when snow arrives and the ambient temperature approaches 0 °C, Scandinavian brown bears (*Ursus arctos*) enter their dens to hibernate [8]. The inactive period of the bears lasts from late October to early spring, and bears rely on fat reserves in that season [9]. Their HR and body temperature decrease to minimise energy consumption, like in black bears (*Ursus americanus*) [10–12]. In the spring, when the ambient temperature increases and reaches the lower critical temperature of the bear, it leaves the den. Den exit occurs when body temperature has almost reached eutheria (≈37 °C) [8]. The first active period lasts until early July. This period is the mating season and the bear can gain or lose body mass according to food availability. The second period is the season of hyperphagia, from mid-July to den entry in October [13]. In Scandinavia, bears feed on berries during this period [9], gaining fat reserves for hibernation and the next reproductive episode [14]. During these active phases, the level of activity increases as foraging activity intensifies [15] and HR increases gradually after den emergence [8].

In the brown bear, there are individual variations in activity levels and HR at daily and seasonal scales, depending on their behavioural response [16]. There are also differences related to the sex, age, and reproductive status. For example, 1-year-old bears show less difference

in activity levels between day and night than adults [14]. Furthermore, HR variability also depends on stress levels, e.g., in relation with distance to human settlements [16]. The purpose of this study was to look at the variation in HR of Scandinavian brown bears in relation with distance travelled, age, body mass, sex and reproductive status of the bears, time of year (day-of-year number), and time of day. We hypothesised that seasonal, daily, and intraspecific factors could influence the relationship between HR and movement of the bears.

### Results

Mean value for HR was 65 ± 20 (mean ± SD) beats per minute (bpm) ( $n = 36,031$  measurements for the 15 bears during 2014–2017). The generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) to explain seasonal and daily effects suggested that HR was influenced by time of year and time of day. HR increased with day-of-year, being lowest around day 120 (end of April, ≈50 bpm) and peaking after day 200 (mid-July, ≈75 bpm). HR was higher around 3 A.M. and 7 P.M. and lower around midday. The best linear mixed-effects (LME) model to explain the variation in HR suggested that HR was influenced by day-of-year, reproductive status of the bears and by their interaction (Table 1). The mean HR was significantly higher in solitary bears (66.6 ± 21 bpm) than in bears accompanied by cubs (59.6 ± 18 bpm). Solitary bears had a significant higher HR than bears with cubs especially between days 120 and 150 (May). After day 220 (August), the bears with cubs had higher HR than solitary bears (Fig. 1).


The distribution of the logarithmic distance travelled of bears ( $n = 18$ ) was bimodal (Fig. 2). The two patches were separated by a distance of 50 m (3.9 in log), corresponding to short and long distances.

The relationship between HR and distance travelled was less correlated during short distances (< 50 m in an

**Table 1** LME model with factors affecting HR of brown bears in southcentral Sweden

Groups	Name	Std. dev.		
Random effects				
YID	(Intercept)	10.24		
Residual		16.78		
Number of observations: 36,031, groups: YID, 23				
	Value	Std. error	t-value	P
Fixed effects				
(Intercept)	− 3.01	4.75	− 0.63	0.53
yday	0.35	0.01	48.93	<0.001
StatusS	56.85	5.36	10.59	<0.001
yday:StatusS	− 0.28	0.01	− 34.89	<0.001

YID (bear identity + year) is included in random effect. Status S corresponds to the solitary bears. yday corresponds to day-of-year numbers




hour; Pearson,  $r=0.10$ ,  $t=13.72$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) than during long distances ( $> 50$  m in an hour; Pearson,  $r=0.29$ ,  $t=41.87$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). The GAMM suggested that HR increased with long distances but not with short distances (Fig. 3). HR also increased with the days during long and short distances (Fig. 4). For the daily effect, HR was higher around 3 A.M. and 8 P.M. than around midday during long distances. During short distances, this difference was less substantial (Fig. 5).

The best LME model suggested that distance travelled, day-of-year and their interaction can influence HR during long and short distances. All other tested models had delta AIC (Akaike Information Criteria) higher than 4 and were not further considered (Table 2).

During long distances, HR was influenced by distance travelled, day-of-year and by their interaction (Table 3). The relationship between HR and long distances travelled depended on time of year being similar in June, July and August but different in May. In May, HR increased more than during other months in distances travelled longer than 2000 m in an hour (Fig. 6). During short distances travelled, HR was influenced by day-of-year but not by distance travelled or by the interaction between distance and day-of-year (Table 4). The relationship between HR and short distances travelled was similar between May, June, July and August (Fig. 7).

The ANOVA test which compared the best models that only included fitted values with the models that added YID as random effect showed that individual and annual differences among bears were important in explaining the




variation in HR (Table 1) and in the relationship between HR and distance travelled (Tables 3, 4).

## Discussion

Here, we show that HR in brown bears in southcentral Sweden was not always related to distance travelled. During long distances (>50 m in an hour), the correlation between HR and distance travelled differed between months but remained high, coinciding with the seasonal variation found in HR, regardless of the distance travelled in the first part of our result: higher HR was recorded in August, and HR was higher for solitary bears than for bears accompanied by cubs, especially in May. Nevertheless, during short distances, the correlation between HR and distance travelled was low and did not change between months. Therefore, HR can show large variations during short movements.


These findings have three main implications. First, HR was influenced by day-of-year and by reproductive status of the bears. Physiological condition of the bears is represented by HR, which is linked to the metabolic rate being a proxy of the rate of energy expenditure and oxygen consumption [17, 18]. HR indicates energy consumption and varies with activities such as sleeping, lying, sitting and slow or fast walking like in polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) [19]. HR is, therefore, related to activity and does not decrease during summer months [8]. The metabolic rate and HR are, therefore, high during the hyperphagic period. Bears are constrained by fluctuation in berry availability and intake rate, capacity of digestion and metabolic efficiency for gaining body mass in summer [20]. They have a high energy requirement and forage food items with a high sugar and protein content [21]. In May, HR is lower than in summer, likely because bears have a low energy budget during this month [22], or



because they are in the transition out of hibernation. The link between energy expenditure and HR can be different in this transition phase due to different physiological states. Their HR is low during hibernation and increases gradually after den emergence [8]. This can be associated with underlying physiology that reflects energy and activity levels as there is an increase in food availability over the spring [15]. HR is higher in solitary bears than bears accompanied by cubs in May, but this difference is less obvious in June and July. Accompanied bears have higher HR than solitary bears in August. The bears accompanied by cubs are under pressure in spring, having a trade-off between protecting cubs and foraging. During the spring, bears with cubs decrease their movement to avoid infanticide by males [23]. Males kill unrelated offspring because females exhibit extended maternal care [24] and do not mate during this period [25]. However, after losing a litter during mating season, females enter

estrus again in the following days [26]. Males may then reproduce by killing a female's cubs-of-the-year instead of waiting until the off-spring separate at the age of 1.5–2.5 years [27]. Furthermore, the maintenance of circadian rhythms in bears with cubs is also possible for accumulation of enough fat to survive in hibernation [9] and to feed the cubs throughout the hibernation period. This can explain the high HR in accompanied bears during the hyperphagic period. HR varies over the day, being lower around midday corresponding to the resting period [14]. During sleep, HR of bears is lower than during active phase [28].


Secondly, we identified a correlation between HR and long distances travelled which changed according to day-of-year. When the bears travelled long distances, HR was less variable and the relationship between HR and distance travelled was more correlated. In polar bears, the cardiac frequency is also less variable and closely related



to speed and metabolic rate during fast walking [28]. The faster the speed, the higher the HR. However, in May, the correlation increased rapidly when distances were longer than 2000 m in an hour. Ungulates (moose or reindeer) are the most important prey during this period [29]. Thereby, HR can increase more significantly if they pursue ungulates over long distances. The spring is also the mating season; it is, thus, reasonable that HR of bears are higher in May, when they roam over large areas to find other mating bears [24]. We can, therefore, conclude that HR is related to long distances travelled and depends of the activity of the bears and of their metabolic rate.

Finally, our results show a low correlation between HR and short distances travelled. The relationship between the both does not change according to day-of-year and

remains less correlated than during long distances travelled. Indeed, HR during short distances travelled is highly variable. The period of short distances travelled by the bears can correspond to their resting period. This is probably why HR is not influenced by time of day during short distances contrary to long distances. During resting, the cardiac frequency is highly variable and overlaps greatly between frequencies associated with each resting posture [28]. The short distances travelled can also be in the period when the bears are foraging and HR is high during this activity in mammals [30]. Bears can also stay stationary when they are affected by a threat, and stress induces variations in HR [10]. During short movements, the relationship between HR and distance travelled is less precise because the variation of the bears' activity is



high. We can, therefore, conclude that HR is not related to short distances travelled, being high or low depending on the activity.

The bear identities were included in the models as a random factor which takes into account the potential existence of individual variations. In turn, this may illustrate the existence of different animal personalities [16]. We found that individual differences were important in explaining HR variations. It would be relevant to not only explore more precisely these individual variations in a future study, but also explore the percent change in HR, relative to the daily or weekly average, to study more deeply the seasonal variations. Moreover, for the following of this study, it would be judicious to narrow the GPS time interval. The collars were programmed to record locations every hour, but the bears can walk around the position without going too far. We interpreted this

situation as stationary bears that travelled, at best, very short distances, but maybe they were more active, e.g., foraging on little patches of berries. Reducing the time interval between GPS positions would give us more precision about the distance travelled by the bears.

### Conclusion

Our findings suggest that HR increases with distance travelled, and varies with time, reproductive status and individuals. Studying the change in HR in free-ranging wildlife helps to evaluate their seasonal rhythms and how environmental, intraspecific and human-related factors affect them. In this study, we focused on differences among bear classes, time, and distance travelled, and found that during short movements, bears could have a high or low HR, indicating that movement alone is not sufficient to study energy budget, as is sometimes

**Table 2 Selection of LME models of factors influencing the relationship between HR and distance travelled**

	Models	AIC	Delta	Weight
Long distances	HR~logdist*yday	155,612.7	0	1
	HR~logdist*Age	155,953.9	341.24	0
	HR~logdist*Bodymass	155,967.6	354.97	0
	HR~logdist*Sex	155,974.6	361.91	0
	HR~logdist*Status	155,997.5	384.80	0
	HR~1	158,602.4	2989.77	0
Short distances	HR~logdist*yday	135,388.8	0	1
	HR~logdist*Age	136,341.5	952.65	0
	HR~logdist*Bodymass	136,351.7	962.91	0
	HR~logdist*Status	136,358.7	969.84	0
	HR~logdist*Sex	136,370.3	981.50	0
	HR~1	136,479.9	1091.03	0

The selection is done on brown bears in southcentral Sweden during long and short distances. The best models are the ones with the lowest AICs having a delta < 4 and with a weight = 1. The delta is the difference between the AIC scores according to the lowest one. logdist corresponds to the logarithmic distance travelled. yday corresponds to day-of-year numbers

done [1, 31]. Failing to include additional variables may provide limited, potentially misleading, information. We suggest that this study illustrates the potential of combined bio-logging tools, e.g., GPS collars and HR loggers, in animal ecology.

## Methods

### Study area

The study area covered 2100 km<sup>2</sup> in Dalarna and Gävleborg counties, southcentral Sweden (61°N, 15°E). The area consists of boreal forests with lakes, bogs and coniferous (mainly Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) and Norway spruce (*Picea abies*)), with elevations from 200 to 1000 m. This area is also covered by birch (*Betula spp.*), heather

(*Calluna vulgaris*), grasses and berry-producing shrubs [9, 32]. From 2014 to 2017, the mean ambient temperatures in spring (end of April–June 20th) and summer (June 21st–mid-August) were 11.6 °C and 16.3 °C, respectively (Dalarna county, [33]). The snow cover lasts until early May [34].

### Bear's HR and movement


Bears were captured by helicopter and handled according to an established protocol [35]. All bears were previously equipped with GPS–GSM (Global System for Mobile) collars (VECTRONIC Aerospace GmbH, Berlin, Germany) with an accuracy of ±10 m [36]. The collars were programmed to obtain locations every hour. The bears were equipped with cardiac bio-loggers (Reveal<sup>®</sup> XT, Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; 9 cc; 8 mm × 19 mm × 62 mm; 15 g; 3-year recording life [5, 37], programmed with BearWare, Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, USA). Briefly, the loggers were sterilised with ethylene oxide gas (Anaprolene AN74i 60 L, Andersen Europe, Kortrijk, Belgium) and were surgically implanted peristernally on the left side between the muscle and subcutaneous fat. The incision was closed using 2–0 monofilament glycomer (Biosyn Corporation, Carlsbad, California, USA) or PDS (Ethicon, Ohio, USA) sutures [8]. The loggers are measuring voltage differences with two 40-mm distant electrodes in a 256-Hz sampling rate. The raw electrocardiogram data are continuously processed into interbeat intervals and further into a 2-min mean HR in bpm that is saved on the logger's memory. The memory capacity is 1 year and data were retrieved using a non-invasive transcutaneous telemetry system (CareLink Model 2090 Programmer, Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) during captures once per year (April to June). All animal captures and handling were approved by the Ethical Committee on Animal

**Table 3 LME model with factors affecting HR during long distances (> 50 m in an hour)**

Groups	Name	Std. dev.		
Random effects				
YID	(Intercept)	12.46		
Residual		14.23		
Number of observations: 19,078, groups: YID, 23				
	Value	Std. error	t-value	P
Fixed effects				
(Intercept)	−7.94	4.38	−1.81	0.07
logdist	11.48	0.59	19.58	<0.001
yday	0.26	0.02	12.94	<0.001
logdist:yday	−0.03	0.003	−9.85	<0.001

YID is included in random effect. logdist corresponds to the logarithmic distance travelled. yday corresponds to day-of-year numbers






**Table 4 LME model with factors affecting HR during short distances (< 50 m in an hour)**

Groups	Name	Std. dev.		
Random effects				
YID	(Intercept)	10.19		
Residual		13.06		
Number of observations: 16,953, groups: YID, 23				
	Value	Std. error	t-value	P
Fixed effects				
(Intercept)	35.54	2.61	13.63	< 0.001
logdist	1.02	0.61	1.67	0.09
yday	0.11	0.01	12.39	< 0.001
logdist:yday	0.001	0.003	0.37	0.70

YID is included in random effect. logdist corresponds to the logarithmic distance travelled. yday corresponds to day-of-year numbers

Experiments, Uppsala, Sweden (Application C18/15) and the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency.



In this study, GPS positions and HR data from the end of April to mid-August during the years 2014–2017 were included. This excludes the months of hibernation and the bear hunting season. The distances travelled between consecutive positions were calculated using adehabitatLT [38]. For each hourly locations and corresponding distance calculation, the mean HR was calculated from the 2-min heart rates of the previous hour. Data were available from 15 bears, eight males and seven females. Four females had cubs-of-the-year in 2016 and one in 2017. Body mass was weighed at capture and age (for bears not followed from birth) was determined by counting the annuli of a cross section of the premolar roots [8]. A body mass < 60 kg was considered small ( $n = 8$ ), medium between 60 and 120 kg ( $n = 11$ ) and large for > 120 kg ( $n = 4$ ). An age < 5 years was considered young ( $n = 11$ ), middle-aged between 5 and 15 years ( $n = 3$ ) and old

when > 15 years ( $n = 9$ ). Bears travelled long distances when the movement distance exceeded 50 m in an hour, as previously validated with 25 m in half an hour [2].

#### Statistical analysis

GAMM was fitted with HR as the response variable and yday (day-of-year number) and hour (time of day) as smooth terms. A combination of bear identity and year (YID,  $n = 23$ ) was added as a random-effect smooth term. Basic LME models were fitted with HR as the response variable. Distance travelled, reproductive status (accompanied by cubs or solitary), sex (female or male), body mass (small, medium or large), age (young, middle-aged or old), hour and yday were included as potential explanatory variables. YID was added as a random effect. AIC was used for model selection [39, 40], on an

a priori formulated candidate model set. Differences in AIC scores ( $\Delta < 4$ ) and Akaike model weights [41] were used to choose the best models. ANOVA was used to compare models with and without the random effect. Correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) between HR and distance travelled were obtained by parametric Pearson tests. Distance travelled was log transformed to improve model performance and to avoid over-dispersion of the deviance. We found a bimodal distribution of the logarithmic distance travelled corresponding to short and long distances travelled by bears. We separated the data set into short and long distances travelled with 50 m as the cut-off value. All statistical analyses were done using R [42] version 3.5.1, R-studio [43] version 1.1.463 and relevant packages. All data (GPS and HR) were stored in the WRAM (Wireless Remote Animal Monitoring, [44]) database.

#### Abbreviations

HR: heart rate; bpm: beats per minute; GAMM: Generalised Additive Mixed Model; LME: linear mixed effects; YID: bear identity + year; GPS: Global Positioning System; GSM: Global System for Mobile; AIC: Akaike Information Criteria; WRAM: wireless remote animal monitoring.

#### Acknowledgements

Holger Dettki at the WRAM database in Sweden made this study, with millions of data points, feasible. Field technicians Sven Brunberg, David Ahlquist, Andrea Friebe and veterinarian Anne Randi Græsli contributed to capturing the bears and collection and storage of data. Olivier Devineau at Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences provided statistical advising. This is scientific paper no. 282 from the Scandinavian Brown Bear Research Project.

#### Authors' contributions

ALE, JMA, OGS, BF and TGL collected data in the field. JMA and OGS secured funding. LB and BF prepared data for analysis. LB analysed the data, and, together with BF and ALE interpreted the movement and heart rate data. BF assisted and advised on the statistical analysis. LB drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to discussing results, preparing and revising the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

The Scandinavian Brown Bear Research Project is funded primarily by the Norwegian Environmental Agency, the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, and the Research Council of Norway. The French Space Agency and the Index H2E Projex of the University of Strasbourg in France supported this experiment. Leslie Blanchet's stay at Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences was funded by ERASMUS. The insertable cardiac monitors were donated by Medtronic Inc.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used during the current study are available from Alina L. Evans on reasonable request.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

All brown bear captures and handling were approved by the Ethical Committee on Animal Experiments, Uppsala, Sweden (Application C18/15) and the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency in compliance with Swedish laws and regulations.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

Timothy G. Laske is an employee of Medtronic Inc. The devices utilised are not available for sale for veterinary or wildlife applications.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, Faculty of Applied Ecology and Agricultural Sciences, Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Campus Evenstad, 2480 Koppang, Norway. <sup>2</sup> Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, 7484 Trondheim, Norway. <sup>3</sup> Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, CNRS, University of Strasbourg, 67037 Strasbourg, France. <sup>4</sup> Faculty of Environmental Sciences and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, 1432 Ås, Norway. <sup>5</sup> Department of Surgery, University of Minnesota, B 172 Mayo, MMC 195, 420 Delaware Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA. <sup>6</sup> Department of Wildlife, Fish and Environmental Studies, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, 90183 Umeå, Sweden.

Received: 12 May 2019 Accepted: 7 September 2019

Published online: 24 September 2019

#### References

- Ordiz A, Sæbø S, Kindberg J, Swenson JE, Støen O-G. Seasonality and human disturbance alter brown bear activity patterns: implications for circumpolar carnivore conservation? *Anim Conserv*. 2016;20(1):51–60.
- Hertel AG, Swenson JE, Bischof R, Lindholm A. A case for considering individual variation in diel activity patterns. *Behav Ecol*. 2017;28(6):1524–31.
- Kreeger TJ, Monson D, Kuechle VB, Seal US, Tester JR. Monitoring heart rate and body temperature in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*). *Can J Zool*. 1989;67(10):2455–8.
- Ropert-Coudert Y, Kato A, Grémillet D, Crenner F. Bio-logging: recording the ecophysiology and behaviour of animals moving freely in their environment. In: Guarini Jean-Marc, Gaill Françoise, editors. *Sensors for ecology. Towards integrated knowledge ecosystems*. Paris: CNRS and Institut Ecologie et Environnement; 2012. p. 17–41.
- Laske TG, Evans AL, Arnemo JM, Iles TL, Ditmer MA, Frøbert O, Garshelis DL, Iazzo PA. Development and utilization of implantable cardiac monitors in free-ranging American black and Eurasian brown bears: system evolution and lessons learned. *Anim Biotelemetry*. 2018;6(1):13.
- Maloiy GMO, Kamau JMZ, Shkolnik A, Meir M, Arieli R. Thermoregulation and metabolism in a small desert carnivore: the Fennec fox (*Fennecus zerda*) (Mammalia). *J Zool*. 1982;198(3):279–91.
- Ditmer MA, Garshelis DL, Noyce KV, Laske TG, Iazzo PA, Burk TE, Forester JD, Fieberg JR. Behavioral and physiological responses of American black bears to landscape features within an agricultural region. *Ecosphere*. 2015;6(3):28.
- Evans AL, Singh NJ, Friebe A, Arnemo JM, Laske TG, Frøbert O, Swenson JE, Blanc S. Drivers of hibernation in the brown bear. *Front Zool*. 2016;13:1.
- Ordiz A, Støen O-G, Sæbø S, Kindberg J, Delibes M, Swenson JE. Do bears know they are being hunted? *Biol Conserv*. 2012;152:21–8.
- Laske TG, Garshelis DL, Iazzo PA. Monitoring the wild black bear's reaction to human and environmental stressors. *BMC Physiol*. 2011;11(1):13.
- Tøien O, Blake J, Edgar DM, Grahn DA, Heller HC, Barnes BM. Hibernation in black bears: independence of metabolic suppression from body temperature. *Science*. 2011;331(6019):906–9.
- Laske TG, Harlow HJ, Garshelis DL, Iazzo PA. Extreme respiratory sinus arrhythmia enables overwintering black bear survival—physiological insights and applications to human medicine. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res*. 2010;3(5):559–69.
- Manchi S, Swenson JE. Denning behaviour of Scandinavian brown bears *Ursus arctos*. *Wildl Biol*. 2005;11(2):123–32.
- Kaczynski P, Huber D, Knauer F, Roth H, Wagner A, Kusak J. Activity patterns of brown bears (*Ursus arctos*) in Slovenia and Croatia. *J Zool*. 2006;269(4):474–85.
- Laske TG, Iazzo PA, Garshelis DL. Six years in the life of a mother bear—the longest continuous heart rate recordings from a free-ranging mammal. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7:40732.
- Støen O-G, Ordiz A, Evans AL, Laske TG, Kindberg J, Frøbert O, Swenson JE, Arnemo JM. Physiological evidence for a human-induced landscape of fear in brown bears (*Ursus arctos*). *Physiol Behav*. 2015;152:244–8.

17. Wilson RP, White CR, Quintana F, Halsey LG, Liebsch N, Martin GR, Butler PJ. Moving towards acceleration for estimates of activity-specific metabolic rate in free-living animals: the case of the cormorant: activity-specific metabolic rate in free-living animals. *J Anim Ecol*. 2006;75(5):1081–90.
18. Green JA. The heart rate method for estimating metabolic rate: review and recommendations. *Comp Biochem Physiol A*. 2011;158(3):287–304.
19. Oritsland NA, Stallman RK, Jonkel CJ. Polar bears: heart activity during rest and exercise. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Physiol*. 1977;57(1):139–41.
20. Welch CA, Keay J, Kendall KC, Robbins CT. Constraints on Frugivory by Bears. *Ecology*. 1997;78(4):1105.
21. Rode KD, Robbins CT, Shipley LA. Constraints on herbivory by grizzly bears. *Oecologia*. 2001;128(1):62–71.
22. Garshelis DL, Pelton MR. Activity of black bears in the great smoky mountains national park. *J Mammal*. 1980;61(1):8–19.
23. Swenson JE, Sandregren F, Söderberg A, Bjärvall A, Franzén R, Wabakken P. Infanticide caused by hunting of male bears. *Nature*. 1997;386(6624):450–1.
24. Dahle B, Swenson JE. Seasonal range size in relation to reproductive strategies in brown bears *Ursus arctos*. *J Anim Ecol*. 2003;72(4):660–7.
25. Swenson JE, Haroldson MA. Observations of mixed-aged litters in brown bears. *Ursus*. 2008;19(1):73–9.
26. Steyaert SMJG, Swenson JE, Zedrosser A. Litter loss triggers estrus in a nonsocial seasonal breeder. *Ecol Evol*. 2014;4(3):300–10.
27. Frank SC, Ordiz A, Gosselin J, Hertel A, Kindberg J, Leclerc M, Pelletier F, Steyaert SMJG, Støen O-G, Van de Walle J, Zedrosser A, Swenson JE. Indirect effects of bear hunting: a review from Scandinavia. *Ursus*. 2017;28(2):150–64.
28. Best RC, Ronald K, Oritsland NA. Physiological indices of activity and metabolism in the polar bear. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Physiol*. 1981;69(2):177–85.
29. Persson I-L, Wikan S, Swenson JE, Myrsterud I. The diet of the brown bear *Ursus arctos* in the Pasvik Valley, northeastern Norway. *Wildl Biol*. 2001;7(1):27–37.
30. MacArthur RA, Johnston RH, Geist V. Factors influencing heart rate in free-ranging bighorn sheep: a physiological approach to the study of wildlife harassment. *Can J Zool*. 1979;57(10):2010–21.
31. Blanchard BM, Knight RR. Movements of Yellowstone grizzly bears. *Biol Conserv*. 1991;58(1):41–67.
32. Ordiz A, Støen O-G, Delibes M, Swenson JE. Predators or prey? Spatio-temporal discrimination of human-derived risk by brown bears. *Oecologia*. 2011;166:59–67.
33. Thorsen S. Past weather in Dalarna, Sweden. 1995. <https://www.timeanddate.com/> Accessed 8 Nov 2018.
34. Zedrosser A, Dahle B, Swenson JE. Population density and food conditions determine adult female body size in brown bears. *J Mammal*. 2006;87(3):510–8.
35. Arnemo JM, Evans A. Biomedical protocols for free-ranging brown bears, wolves, wolverines and lynx. 2017.
36. Moe TF, Kindberg J, Jansson I, Swenson JE. Importance of diel behaviour when studying habitat selection: examples from female Scandinavian brown bears (*Ursus arctos*). *Can J Zool*. 2007;85(4):518–25.
37. Reveal XT Insertable Cardiac Monitor. Medtronic. <https://www.medtronic.com/us-en/healthcare-professionals/products/cardiac-rhythm/cardiac-monitors/reveal-xt-icm.html>. Accessed 16 May 2019.
38. Calenge C. The package adehabitat for the R software: a tool for the analysis of space and habitat use by animals. *Ecol Model*. 2006;197:516–9.
39. Akaike H. A new look at the statistical model identification. In: *IEEE Trans Autom Control*. vol. 19(6), p. 716–23.
40. Anderson DR, Burnham KP. Avoiding pitfalls when using information-theoretic methods. *J Wildl Manag*. 2002;66(3):912.
41. Link WA, Barker RJ. Model weights and the foundations of multimodel inference. *Ecology*. 2006;87(10):2626–35.
42. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. vol. 3. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2013.
43. RStudio Team. RStudio: integrated development for R. Boston: RStudio, Inc.; 2016.
44. Dettki H, Brode M, Giles T, Hallgren J. Wireless remote animal monitoring (WRAM)-A new international database e-infrastructure for management and sharing of telemetry sensor data from fish and wildlife. In: 7th International congress on environmental modelling and software (San Diego, CA) 2014. p. 122–28.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

