1	Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High
2	Arctic seabird community
3	
4	Johanna EH Hovinen ^{1,*} , Arnaud Tarroux ^{1,2,*} , Francisco Ramírez ³ , Manuela Forero ⁴ , Sébastien
5	Descamps ^{1*, \$}
6	
7	Running page head: Seabird breeding success and isotopic ratios
8	
9	*Authors contributed equally
10	
11	¹ Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, 9296 Tromsø, Norway
12	² Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Fram Centre, 9296 Tromsø, Norway
13	³ Departament de Biologia Evolutiva, Ecologia i Ciències Ambientals, Universitat de
14	Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain
15	⁴ Estación Biológica de Doñana CSIC, Seville 41092, Spain
16	
17	^{\$} Corresponding author:
18	Sébastien Descamps
19	sebastien.descamps@npolar.no
20	

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

ABSTRACT: Predators such as seabirds are often used as bio-indicators of the marine 21 22 environment. This is based on the assumption that changes in seabird populations are driven by changes in their prey. We tested this assumption in a High Arctic seabird community by 23 assessing the relationships between the diet, body condition and breeding performance of four 24 25 ecologically different species: the little auk Alle alle, black-legged kittiwake Rissa tridactyla, Brünnich's guillemot Uria lomvia, and glaucous gull Larus hyperboreus, breeding in 26 27 Svalbard. Interannual changes in seabird diet (2009-2015) were assessed by estimating their carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratios (δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C) during the breeding and non-breeding 28 seasons (i.e. using blood and feather tissues). We found interannual variation in the isotopic 29 30 ratios during both seasons in all four species. These variations differed among species, thus 31 suggesting dietary changes, instead of changes in isotopic baselines, as the most plausible mechanism underlying such patterns. We also found that seabirds had a lower average 32 hatching success when the average δ^{15} N during the previous non-breeding season was higher. 33 Our results suggest that changes in the average prey composition during the non-breeding 34 35 season may partially explain changes in breeding performance of Svalbard seabirds.

36

- 37 KEY WORDS: carbon, carry-over effects, diet, marine birds, nitrogen, reproductive output,
- 38 stable isotopes, Svalbard, trophic level
- 39
- 40

41 1. INTRODUCTION

42 Food abundance and quality are key determinants of animal demography and population dynamics (Martin 1987, Zera & Harshman 2001, Ruffino et al. 2014, Louzao et al. 2015). 43 44 Poor food availability or quality generally results in reduced breeding outcomes across species (e.g. snails, Martone & Micheli 2012; reindeers, Ballesteros et al. 2013; swallows, 45 Winkler et al. 2014; water fleas, Choi et al. 2016). However, in many trophic systems, and 46 especially in marine food webs, changes in resource availability are very difficult to monitor 47 due to the dynamic nature of primary and secondary production at large spatial scales (Nicol 48 et al. 2000, Perry et al. 2010, Afán et al. 2015). The breeding performance of high-trophic 49 level predators may respond to changes in the structure and function of an ecosystem, 50 including the availability of the resources on which they rely (e.g. Furness & Camphuysen 51 52 1997, Thompson & Ollason 2001, Piatt et al. 2007, Parsons et al. 2008). This has led to the concept of bio-indicator species, which has been widely applied to seabirds (Bost & Le Maho 53 1993, Durant et al. 2009, Fort et al. 2016). However, this concept does not always apply (Piatt 54 55 et al. 2007) and relationships between food and reproduction may be more complicated than expected. Indeed, breeding performance per se may not be sufficient to infer changes in 56 resource availability (Gremillet & Charmantier 2010), as predators may compensate for poor 57 resource availability by increasing foraging effort, or by switching prey type or foraging area 58 (e.g. Furness & Camphuysen 1997, Schwemmer & Garthe 2008, Erikstad et al. 2009). Thus, 59 data on diet composition should also be incorporated to detect such changes. 60

61 The analysis of naturally occurring stable isotopes of carbon (δ^{13} C) and nitrogen 62 (δ^{15} N) constitutes an integrative tool that can be used to estimate the trophic niche (i.e. the 63 portion of the ecological niche that describes the food resources and foraging habitat of a

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

given consumer (Hobson & Bond 2012, Cherel et al. 2014, Swanson et al. 2015). The isotopic 64 niche projects the n-dimensional trophic niche onto a reduced number of axes, e.g. δ^{13} C and 65 δ^{15} N, and can be interpreted as an n-dimensional simplification of, and thus a proxy for, the 66 trophic niche (Newsome et al. 2007). In the marine environment, a natural inshore-offshore 67 gradient in δ^{13} C may be used to identify the foraging area of marine predators (lower values 68 often indicating more offshore foraging, but see Saupe et al. 1989, Dunton et al. 2006), while 69 70 δ^{15} N varies according to trophic position (higher values indicate higher trophic position, Newsome et al. 2007, Hussey et al. 2014). Stable isotopes integrate dietary information over 71 different time scales depending on the tissue type (Bearhop et al. 2004, Newsome et al. 2007). 72 73 Isotopic ratios from blood provide information for a period of two weeks to one month 74 preceding the sampling event (Bearhop et al. 2002, Ogden et al. 2004), whereas isotopic ratios from body feathers reflect the diet of an individual during the period of feather growth (which 75 76 can take place several months before the actual sampling event, Hobson & Bond 2012). Thus, by sampling different tissues, a consumer's isotopic ratios may provide insight into its 77 foraging ecology at varying temporal scales. 78 79 Here, we tested whether interannual changes in the isotopic ratios were associated with variation in the body condition and breeding success of a High Arctic seabird community. We 80 considered four seabird species breeding in Svalbard, Norway: the little auk Alle alle, black-81

82 legged kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla*, Brünnich's guillemot *Uria lomvia*, and glaucous gull *Larus*

83 *hyperboreus*. These species are characterized by different foraging and feeding habits (see

details in Materials and Methods and Wold et al. 2011). The Arctic in general, and the

85 Svalbard Archipelago in particular, are experiencing rapid and directional environmental

changes (Nordli et al. 2014, Laidre et al. 2015, Descamps et al. 2017a), with changes in

seabirds' diet and foraging habits already documented (Vihtakari et al. 2018b). This area
 Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero,
 Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.
 Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2019; Volum 613. s. 183-195
 10.3354/meps12886

provides us with a unique opportunity to investigate how potential changes in seabird diet canaffect their condition and breeding performance.

First, we assessed interannual changes in carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratios during 90 91 the breeding and non-breeding seasons using seven years of data (2009-2015). We considered isotopic ratios from blood and feather samples to be representative of the average diet during 92 part of the breeding and non-breeding seasons, respectively. Second, we tested the hypothesis 93 that variation in seabird body condition and breeding performance can be explained by inter-94 95 annual changes in their isotopic ratios during the breeding and non-breeding seasons. Diet during the non-breeding season may potentially affect breeding performance indirectly 96 through carry-over effects on individual body condition (e.g. Sorensen et al. 2009, 97 Kouwenberg et al. 2013, Salton et al. 2015), whereas diet during the breeding season may 98 99 influence breeding performance both directly via chick provisioning (Martin 1987) and indirectly through an effect on parent body condition, which could translate into lower 100 101 feeding activity.

102 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

103 **2.1. Study sites and species**

104 The study took place during seven consecutive years (2009-2015) at four breeding sites in

105 west Spitsbergen, Svalbard (Fig. 1), during incubation and chick-rearing, from mid June to

106 late July (little auks, black-legged kittiwakes and Brünnich's guillemots) and from late May to

107 late June (glaucous gulls). Little auks and black-legged kittiwakes were caught in Isfjorden

108 (Bjørndalen; 78°24'N 15°34'E, and Grumantbyen; 78°17'N 15°10'E, respectively),

Brünnich's guillemots at the Ossian Sarsfjellet colony in Kongsfjorden (78°93'N 12°44'E),

and glaucous gulls at various locations in the Kongsfjorden area. However, not all species

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

111 were caught in all seven years of study (Supplementary Material 1, Table S1). Maximum 112 foraging distance to the breeding site ranges from <20 km in guillemots (Ramírez et al. 2017) to ca. 200-300 km in little auks (Jakubas et al. 2016) and kittiwakes (Goutte et al. 2014). No 113 movement data were available for glaucous gulls, but they likely forage most of the time 114 within fjords (S. Descamps pers. obs.). Spitsbergen guillemots spend the winter north of 115 Iceland and in southwest Greenland (Frederiksen et al. 2016), little auks in the Greenland Sea 116 (Fort et al. 2013), kittiwakes on the Great Banks, east of Canada (Frederiksen et al. 2012), and 117 glaucous gulls disperse widely over most of the northeast Atlantic (B. Moe pers. comm.). 118

The little auk is a colonial alcid, which lays a single egg in rocky crevices in talus 119

120

127

slopes (Stempniewicz 1981), and preferentially forages on high-lipid copepods associated

with Arctic waters (Harding et al. 2009, Jakubas et al. 2012), especially Calanus glacialis, 121

122 which represents >80 % of the chick diet in Bjørndalen (Hovinen et al. 2014). Black-legged

kittiwakes are colonial cliff-breeders that typically lay 1 or 2 eggs in Svalbard (Strøm 2006). 123

They feed mostly on fish, crustaceans and other marine invertebrates (Reiertsen et al. 2014, 124

125 Vihtakari et al. 2018a). Brünnich's guillemots are colonial cliff-breeders, and lay a single egg.

Their diet consists mainly of fish and crustaceans (Anker-Nilssen et al. 2000). Glaucous gulls 126

breed in or close to other seabird colonies, often on an elevated point at the top of a cliff or on

rocks beneath the colony, and lay one to three eggs (Strøm 2006). Gulls are generalist feeders 128

and their diet consists of a wide variety of prey from both marine and terrestrial food webs: 129

fish, mollusks, crustaceans, insects, offal, and eggs, chicks and adults of other seabird species 130

(Anker-Nilssen et al. 2000). Black-legged kittiwakes and glaucous gulls are surface feeders, 131

while little auks and Brünnich's guillemots are divers. In all of our study species, females and 132

133 males share the incubation and chick-rearing duties, and they molt their chest feathers during

the non-breeding season (Dwight 1925, Gaston & Jones 1998, Coulson 2011). The molt 134 Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. Marine Ecology Progress Series 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195 10.3354/meps12886

pattern is not always known in detail but there is typically a partial molt of body feathers
during the pre-breeding season (Dwight Jr 1901, Gaston & Jones 1998) and chest feathers of
our four study species are therefore expected to have grown during this period (spring).

138 **2.2.Bird captures**

Birds were caught with a noose-carpet (little auks), a noose pole (black-legged kittiwakes and 139 140 Brünnich's guillemots), or an air-propelled net or a noose triggered at a distance (glaucous gulls). All captured birds were marked with a combination of a stainless steel ring and plastic 141 color ring(s). Birds were captured and sampled for feathers and blood only once annually 142 143 during the breeding season (June-July). The annual number of individuals caught averaged 15 \pm 2 SD for little auks, 15 \pm 8 SD for kittiwakes, 14 \pm 7 SD for guillemots, and 18 \pm 4 SD for 144 glaucous gulls. A total of 4 little auks, 21 kittiwakes, 13 guillemots, and 1 glaucous gull were 145 sampled more than once for stable isotopes during the study period (average number of 146 replicates and their range: 2.3 [2, 3] for little auks, 2.4 [2, 4] for guillemots, 3.3 [2, 5] for 147 148 kittiwakes, and 2 replicates for one glaucous gull). Birds were weighed using a Pesola scale $(\pm 1g \text{ for little auks}, \pm 5g \text{ for kittiwakes and guillemots and } \pm 10g \text{ for glaucous gulls})$ and the 149 length of their wing (i.e. length of the longest primaries) was measured with a wing rule (to 150 151 the nearest mm). We defined bird body condition as the residual from a linear regression of individual body mass on individual capture date (in Julian days) and wing length (a proxy of 152 body size, Jakob et al. 1996, Schulte-Hostedde et al. 2005, Supplementary Material 2, Fig. 153 154 *S1*).

155 Feather and blood samples were collected for analyses of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N. Blood 156 samples (0.2-0.5 ml) were collected from the brachial vein and stored in 70% ethanol, or 157 frozen in Eppendorf tubes (-20°C; kittiwake blood only), until preparation for stable isotope

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

analyses (70% ethanol does not alter the isotopic composition of tissues, Hobson et al. 159 1997). Five feathers were taken randomly from the chest (or from the head; little auk feathers 160 in 2009 and 2011) and stored in sealed plastic bags. Little auk chest and head feathers had 161 similar isotopic ratios (Wilcoxon rank sum test; carbon: W = 310.5, P = 0.63; nitrogen: W =162 338.5, P = 0.99; compared when both feather types were collected in 2013 and 2014 from 27 163 individuals in total), and thus, the feather type used to infer the little auk's diet should not 164 influence the interpretation of isotopic data.

165 **2.3.Nest surveys**

166 Nests were located and marked during the incubation period and monitored every 2-4 days from early/mid-incubation until hatching (glaucous gulls) or until the mid-chick rearing 167 period (little auks, kittiwakes and guillemots) to estimate hatching success and chick survival 168 until 15 days of age. The chick rearing period averages 27-30 days for little auks, 35-49 days 169 for black-legged kittiwakes, and 16-30 days for Brünnich's guillemots (i.e. chicks leave the 170 171 colony with one parent at 16-30 days of age but stay under one parent's supervision for another four weeks at least, del Hoyo et al. 1996). Our nest survey thus allowed us to estimate 172 the egg and early chick survival for little auks, kittiwakes and guillemots. Monitoring stopped 173 174 at hatching for glaucous gulls and only hatching success was available to assess the breeding performance of glaucous gulls. 175

Light-burrow scopes (Moritex Europe Ltd, Cambridge, UK; little auks only) or direct observations (other species) were used to determine the presence of egg(s) or chick(s) in the nest. The annual number of nests monitored averaged 34 ± 8 SD for little auks, 47 ± 11 SD for black-legged kittiwakes, 52 ± 11 SD for Brünnich's guillemots, and 36 ± 11 SD for

180 glaucous gulls.

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

181 **2.4.Stable isotope analyses**

Whole blood was used in the analyses, except in little auks (2011), guillemots (2010), and
kittiwakes (2009-2015), for which the red blood cells (RBCs) were analyzed. Because whole
blood is made up primarily of RBCs (in terms of dry mass, upon which isotopic
measurements are made), we assumed that whole blood and RBCs have similar isotopic
ratios. Removing these years (little auk data from 2011 and guillemot data from 2010) from
the analyses did not change our results (*Supplementary Material 3, Table S4*).

Lipid content in tissues can strongly bias δ^{13} C measurements (Post et al. 2007) and 188 189 therefore, their interpretation in trophic studies (Tarroux et al. 2010). Thus, feathers were washed in a 2:1 chloroform:methanol solution to remove surface lipids and were cut into 190 small pieces with fine scissors before encapsulation. Because there can be a strong inter-191 feather variation in isotopic ratios (Jaeger et al. 2009), all feathers collected from the same 192 individual in a given season were pooled together and feather pieces homogenized before 193 194 proceeding with encapsulations. All blood samples (RBC and whole blood) included in the analyses had C/N ratios <3.9, and thus there was no need to extract lipids (Supplementary 195 *Material 1, Table S1*, Logan et al. 2008). The δ^{13} C values of feather samples for which the 196 lipid removal was deemed incomplete, based on their C/N ratios being \geq 3.9, were excluded 197 from further analyses (N = 42 out of 281 samples). Their δ^{15} N were maintained in the 198 analyses as nitrogen isotopic ratios are only marginally affected by lipid concentration 199 (Tarroux et al. 2010). 200

Each sample (0.7 mg) was encapsulated before combustion in a Flash HT Plus
 elemental analyzer coupled to a Delta-V Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer via a
 CONFLO IV interface (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). All analyses were run
 Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero,
 Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

at the Stable Isotopes Laboratory (LIE) at the Doñana Biological Station, Seville, Spain. δ^{13} C 204 205 and δ^{15} N are expressed in % of the deviation from isotopic ratios of international standards, i.e. Pee Dee Belemnite carbonate (PDB) for carbon and atmospheric air (AIR) for nitrogen 206 (DeNiro & Epstein 1978). The following internal standards were used, once calibrated with 207 international standards supplied by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA, Vienna): 208 EBD-23 (cow horn), LIE-BB (whale baleen), and LIE-PA (bird feathers). Replicate assays of 209 210 standards routinely inserted within the sampling sequence indicated analytical measurement errors of $\pm 0.1\%$ and $\pm 0.2\%$ for δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N, respectively. Consumer-prey discrimination 211 factors were assumed to be similar for all seabird species. In addition, we did not compare the 212 213 isotopic ratios among tissues and all isotopic values presented throughout are thus uncorrected 214 values.

Individuals were sampled only once during the breeding season at different time 215 periods (most of them being sampled during chick-rearing). Previous studies found significant 216 217 individual specialization in the diet of little auks, Brünnich's guillemots, black-legged 218 kittiwakes and glaucous gulls (Bustnes et al. 2000, Woo et al. 2008, Ceia & Ramos 2015, Bond et al. 2016), which is also confirmed by our own stable isotope data for Svalbard 219 kittiwakes (see Supplementary material 4, Fig. S2). Such individual specialization supports 220 the assumption that our single measures of individual isotopic ratios per individual during a 221 breeding or non-breeding season are a good proxy of their diet. 222

223 **2.5.Statistical analyses**

All data processing and statistical analyses were performed in R.3.2.4 (R Core Team 2016).

- δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N during the breeding season (δ^{13} C_{breeding} and δ^{15} N_{breeding}) were adjusted for the
- sampling date to remove the intra-seasonal variation in these two variables during the

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

breeding season (Supplementary Material 5, Figs. S3-S5) and the residuals were used in all 227 228 models described below.

Interannual variation in isotopic ratios, body condition and reproductive performance 229

To test for interannual variation in δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N and body condition during the breeding and 230 non-breeding seasons for each species, we ran generalized linear mixed-effect models using 231 232 individual-level data (GLMM, with an identity link function and Gaussian error distribution) with year, species and their interaction as fixed effects and bird identity as a random factor (to 233 take into account non-independence in repeated measurements on the same individuals). We 234 235 ran separate GLMMs for breeding (blood isotopic ratios) and non-breeding seasons (feather isotopic ratios) using the function *lmer* in the package "lme4" (Bates et al. 2011). To test for 236 interannual differences in reproductive performance (hatching success and chick survival), we 237 ran linear models using one randomly selected year per nest (among all years in which the 238 nest was monitored) because mixed models including the nest as a random factor could not 239 240 converge. The hatching success represents the probability of hatching at least one chick while the chick survival represents the probability of keeping at least one chick alive until 15 days 241 242 of age.

243 We performed model selection with the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). If the difference in AIC (or AIC_c, the AIC adjusted for small samples) values between two models 244 was <2, we considered the models to have equal statistical support and in the case of nested 245 models, the simplest was preferred (Burnham & Anderson 2002). 246

247

Relationships between changes in isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding performance

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela: Descamps, Sébastien.

We tested for the effect of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N (during the breeding and non-breeding seasons) on 248 249 seabird body condition, hatching success and chick survival by running GLMs (with an identity link function and Gaussian error distribution) for the breeding and non-breeding 250 seasons separately, using the mean annual. We included the species as a covariate. The best 251 252 model was selected using the AIC following the procedure described above. We did not test for these relationships using individual level data because most birds sampled for blood and 253 254 feathers were captured late in the season (during chick-rearing) and were thus mostly 255 successful breeders. As a consequence, the breeding success of these sampled birds overestimated the average breeding success in each colony. Therefore, we considered the 256 257 average annual hatching success and chick survival based on all nests monitored within each 258 colony (see details above).

259

All data are available in *Supplementary Material* 1 (*Tables S1-S3*).

260 3. **RESULTS**

Isotopic ratios of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N during the breeding and non-breeding season varied among 261 years and these variations were generally not parallel among species (i.e. the interaction 262 between year and species was selected for all variables considered with a $\Delta AIC > 2$ compared 263 to the null model or model with year only; Table 1; Supplementary Material 6, Fig. S6). Only 264 values of δ^{13} C during the non-breeding season indicated potential parallel variation among 265 species (i.e. equivalent statistical support for the additive and interactive effect, Table 1; 266 Supplementary Material 6, Fig. S6). However, the amplitude of these interannual variations 267 was limited (Fig. 2). The body condition of the four species considered did not vary among 268 269 years (Table 1; Supplementary Material 6, Fig. S6) while the hatching success and chick

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

270 survival varied among years and not in parallel among species (Table 1; *Supplementary*271 *Material 6, Fig. S6*).

272	Interannual variations in δ^{13} C during both the breeding and non-breeding seasons and
273	interannual variation in δ^{15} N during the breeding season were not related to Svalbard seabird
274	body condition in our Svalbard seabird study system (Table 2). However, we found a negative
275	relationship between the interannual variation in $\delta^{15}N_{non\ breeding}$ and the average seabird
276	hatching success (slope of 0.59±0.20 SE; Table 2; Fig. 3). Interannual variation in $\delta^{15}N_{non}$
277	breeding explained more than a third of the interannual variation in hatching success ($R^2=35\%$).

278 4. **DISCUSSION**

We used seven years of isotope data (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N) to investigate interannual dietary 279 changes for four, ecologically-different seabird species from the High Arctic, and their 280 ultimate consequences in terms of breeding performance (hatching success and chick 281 survival). The interspecific differences in feeding ecology likely explain the different 282 variation in isotopic ratios we observed among species. Overall, the planktivorous, at-sea 283 foraging little auks had lower δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values than omnivorous glaucous gulls, which 284 forage both on land and at sea (Anker-Nilssen et al. 2000, Hovinen et al. 2014, Vihtakari et al. 285 2018a). Intermediate δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in kittiwakes and guillemots likely reflect their diet, based 286 on both fish and crustaceans, compared to that of little auks (plankton) and glaucous gulls 287 (from fish to birds). Although the feeding ecology of these four species may vary slightly 288 between the summer and winter (Erikstad 1990, Gaston & Jones 1998), the similar isotopic 289 patterns for both blood and feather samples suggested that the previous descriptions remain 290 291 generally true independent of the season considered.

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

Isotopic composition also varied annually, although to a varying extent depending on 292 293 the species, season, and isotope considered. For example, black-legged kittiwakes showed interannual variation of limited amplitude in δ^{15} N during the breeding period (0.5‰), while 294 little auks and Brünnich's guillemot's δ^{15} N values for the same period showed larger variation 295 $(\geq 1.0\%)$. In particular, the δ^{15} N in summer was much lower in 2014 for little auks and in 296 2014 and 2015 for guillemots. The observed interannual variation in isotopic ratios of 297 298 Svalbard seabirds may reflect changes in their trophic niche (i.e. prey) and/or changes in the isotopic composition of their prey driven by changes in isotopic baselines (Bond & Jones 299 2009). The δ^{15} N of bulk tissues integrates the ratios from distinct amino acids reflecting either 300 301 the baseline of a given food web (source) or the trophic level of the digested prey (Lorrain et al. 2009) and can indeed theoretically be affected solely by changes in baseline δ^{15} N. Changes 302 in baseline δ^{15} N would probably have led to parallel and synchronous changes in the isotopic 303 304 ratios of seabird species that feed within the same food chains, which was not the case (Supplementary Material 6). Even though we cannot completely rule out this hypothesis, 305 changes in baseline isotopic ratios of such amplitude seem less likely in the context of our 306 study. 307

Our results support the hypothesis that some changes in diet occurred for all four 308 species considered during both the breeding and non-breeding seasons, and that the changes 309 during the non-breeding season may have important consequences for seabird fitness. Indeed, 310 we found that the average hatching success was negatively correlated to the average trophic 311 level during the previous non-breeding season. This relationship was the same for all four 312 species considered. These species all winter in the North Atlantic but generally in different 313 314 areas: north of Iceland and in southwest Greenland for Brünnich's guillemots (Frederiksen et al. 2016), in the Greenland Sea for little auks (Fort et al. 2013), on the Great Banks, east of 315 Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. Marine Ecology Progress Series 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195 10.3354/meps12886

Canada, for kittiwakes (Frederiksen et al. 2012), and over most of the northeast Atlantic for glaucous gulls (B. Moe pers. comm.). However, it is possible that they forage within the same area close to Svalbard in the spring when they come back to breed. They would thus be exposed to the same environmental conditions at the time of chest feather moult, which could explain the lack of inter-species variation in the relationship between δ^{15} N and breeding success observed here.

This correlation does not necessarily imply a mechanistic relationship but may 322 indicate that feeding on prey at higher trophic levels during the non-breeding season has some 323 negative carry-over consequences on the breeding performance of seabirds breeding in 324 Svalbard. One potential explanation could be that in years with generally high productivity, 325 the abundance and availability of lower trophic organisms is higher and thus represents a 326 higher proportion of the diet in the upper trophic levels, including seabirds (Miller et al. 2010, 327 Miller et al. 2011). This would lead to negative associations between overall food availability, 328 average trophic level (and thus δ^{15} N) of seabirds and their reproductive output. In such a case, 329 330 the driver of the decreasing reproductive outputs would not be the increase in average trophic level per se but rather the lower food availability for low productive years. An alternative 331 explanation would be that high δ^{15} N is the consequence of birds using more of their 332 endogenous reserves (Cherel et al. 2005). Low food availability during the non-breeding 333 season could thus potentially lead to this negative association between δ^{15} N and subsequent 334 breeding success in Svalbard seabirds. These two alternative explanations remain speculative 335 and further studies examining the relationships between primary productivity, plankton and 336 337 fish availability at the wintering grounds, seabird diet and subsequent reproduction are 338 needed.

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

The apparent correlation between reproductive success and average δ^{15} N compared to 339 the absence of a relationship between average δ^{15} N and body condition may be indicative of a 340 trade-off between a bird's own maintenance and reproduction. Indeed, in long-lived species 341 like seabirds, individuals are expected to prioritize their own condition and thus survival, at 342 the expense of the survival of their egg or chicks (Saether & Bakke 2000). Seabirds may 343 maintain a relatively constant body condition even in years with limited food availability but 344 at the cost of a lower reproductive investment and therefore a lower reproductive success 345 (Sæther et al. 1993, Mauck & Grubb Jr 1995, Erikstad et al. 1998). This explanation fits well 346 with the absence of clear interannual variation in seabird body condition. Alternatively, our 347 348 proxy for body condition may not provide an adequate picture of bird physiological condition 349 and health, and/or an adequate picture of the average condition during the breeding season. We estimated bird condition only once per season and per bird and thus, it may mostly reflect 350 351 near-term environmental conditions and/or bird breeding status (i.e. the condition of a bird may be dependent on its offspring developmental stage). As a consequence, there could be 352 some relationship between trophic level during the non-breeding season and bird body 353 condition during pre-breeding or breeding, but that were not detected using our proxy of body 354 condition. Obtaining measures of body condition during the pre-breeding period and/or 355 356 repeated measures of condition during the breeding season, may be necessary to reveal such relationships. 357

358 5. Conclusion

Food abundance is generally considered to be a key determinant of individual breeding performance in free-living populations (Martin 1987, Zera & Harshman 2001), but the importance of diet composition, in terms of prey species, is less clear. Here, we found that

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

interannual variation in the average breeding performance of four Svalbard seabirds was 362 363 negatively correlated with interannual variation in their average trophic level during the nonbreeding season. This suggests that changes in the structure and functioning of marine food 364 webs during the non-breeding season (resulting from changes in marine productivity) may 365 have consequences for seabirds' reproductive performance. Several Svalbard seabird species 366 are currently experiencing rapid changes (Descamps et al. 2013, Petersen et al. 2015, 367 368 Descamps et al. 2017b) and it has generally been assumed that changes in the food chain are a key driver. Our study supports the hypothesis that environmentally-driven changes in seabird 369 diet may affect their reproduction and thus, that reproductive success of Svalbard seabirds 370 371 may be used to some extent as bioindicators of their (non-breeding) marine environment. Our 372 results are based on indirect measures of diet that have important limitations. Further studies identifying the prey consumed during the non-breeding season by seabirds and their inter-373 374 annual changes are now needed to move forward.

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

- 375 Acknowledgements. We would like to thank all the summer research assistants who helped collect data
- 376 over the years, and in particular D. Ruché, S. Svarvarsdottir, I. Lopez-Saraza, A. Vilches, B. Merkel
- and E. Lorentzen. This work was funded by a grant from the Svalbard Environmental Protection Fund
- and by the programs MOSJ (www.mosj.no) and SEAPOP (www.seapop.no). SD, AT, FR and MF
- designed the study; SD, JH, AT and FR prepared and analyzed data; SD, JH, and AT wrote the paper
- with contributions from FR.
- 381

382 LITERATURE CITED

- Afán I, Chiaradia A, Forero MG, Dann P, Ramírez F (2015) A novel spatio-temporal scale based on
 ocean currents unravels environmental drivers of reproductive timing in a marine predator.
 Proc R Soc B 282:20150721
 Anken Nilseen T, Balden M, Galendrin AN, Bianki M, Tatasinkeen JD (2000) The status of
- Anker-Nilssen T, Bakken V, Strøm H, Golovkin AN, Bianki VV, Tatarinkova IP (2000) The status of
 marine birds breeding in the Barents sea region. In, Book 113. Norsk Polarinstitutt
- Ballesteros M, Bårdsen B-J, Fauchald P, Langeland K, Stien A, Tveraa T (2013) Combined effects of
 long-term feeding, population density and vegetation green-up on reindeer demography.
 Ecosphere 4:1-13
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B (2011) Ime4: Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes. R package
 version 0.999375-42.
- Bearhop S, Adams CE, Waldron S, Fuller RA, MacLeod H (2004) Determining trophic niche width: a
 novel approach using stable isotope analysis. J Anim Ecol 73:1007-1012
- Bearhop S, Waldron S, Votier SC, Furness RW (2002) Factors that influence assimilation rates and
 fractionation of nitrogen and carbon stable isotopes in avian blood and feathers. Physiol
 Biochem Zool 75:451-458
- Bond AL, Jardine TD, Hobson KA (2016) Multi-tissue stable-isotope analyses can identify dietary
 specialization. Meth Ecol Evol 7:1428-1437
- Bond AL, Jones IL (2009) A practical introduction to stable-isotope analysis for seabird biologists:
 approaches, cautions and caveats. Marine Ornithology 37:183-188
- Bost C, Le Maho Y (1993) Seabirds as bio-indicators of changing marine ecosystems: new
 perspectives. Acta 14:463-470
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information theoretic approach, Vol. Springer-Verlag, New York
- 406Bustnes JO, Erikstad KE, Bakken V, Mehlum F, Skaare JU (2000) Feeding ecology and the407concentration of organochlorines in glaucous gulls. Ecotoxicology 9:179-186
- 408 Ceia FR, Ramos JA (2015) Individual specialization in the foraging and feeding strategies of seabirds: a
 409 review. Mar Biol 162:1923-1938
- 410 Cherel Y, Connan M, Jaeger A, Richard P (2014) Seabird year-round and historical feeding ecology:
 411 blood and feather δ13C and δ15N values document foraging plasticity of small sympatric
 412 petrels. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 505:267-280
- Cherel Y, Hobson KA, Bailleul F, Groscolas R (2005) Nutrition, physiology, and stable isotopes: new
 information from fasting and molting penguins. Ecology 86:2881-2888

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

415 Choi JY, Kim SK, La GH, Chang KH, Kim DK, Jeong KY, Park MS, Joo GJ, Kim HW, Jeong KS (2016) Effects 416 of algal food quality on sexual reproduction of D aphnia magna. Ecol and Evol 6:2817-2832 417 Coulson JC (2011) The Kittiwake, Vol. T & AD Poyser, London 418 del Hoyo J, Elliott A, Sargatal J (1996) Handbook of the Birds of the World, vol. 3: Hoatzin to Auks., 419 Vol. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain 420 DeNiro MJ, Epstein S (1978) Influence of diet on the distribution of carbon isotopes in animals. 421 Geochimica et cosmochimica acta 42:495-506 422 Descamps S, Aars J, Fuglei E, Kovacs KM, Lydersen C, Pavlova O, Pedersen AO, Ravolainen V, Strøm H 423 (2017a) Climate change impacts on wildlife in a High Arctic archipelago - Svalbard, Norway. 424 Glob Change Biol 23:490-502 425 Descamps S, Anker-Nilssen T, Barrett RT, Irons DB, Merkel F, Robertson GJ, Yoccoz NG, Mallory ML, 426 Montevecchi WA, Boertmann D (2017b) Circumpolar dynamics of a marine top-predator 427 track ocean warming rates. Glob Change Biol 23:3770-3780 428 Descamps S, Strøm H, Steen H (2013) Decline of an arctic top predator: synchrony in colony size 429 fluctuations, risk of extinction and the subpolar gyre. Oecologia 173:1271-1282 430 Dunton KH, Weingartner T, Carmack EC (2006) The nearshore western Beaufort Sea ecosystem: 431 circulation and importance of terrestrial carbon in arctic coastal food webs. Prog Oceanogr 432 71:362-378 433 Durant JM, Hjermann DO, Frederiksen M, Charrassin JB, Le Maho Y, Sabarros PS, Crawford RJM, 434 Stenseth NC (2009) Pros and cons of using seabirds as ecological indicators. Clim Res 39:115-435 129 436 Dwight J (1925) The gulls (Laridae) of the world: their plumages, moults, variations, relationships and 437 distribution, Vol 438 Dwight Jr J (1901) The sequence of moults and plumages of the Laridae (gulls and terns). The Auk:49-439 63 440 Erikstad KE (1990) Winter diets of four seabird species in the Barents Sea after a crash in the capelin 441 stock. Polar Biol 10:619-627 442 Erikstad KE, Fauchald P, Tveraa T, Steen H (1998) On the cost of reproduction in long-lived birds: The 443 influence of environmental variability. Ecology 79:1781-1788 444 Erikstad KE, Sandwik H, Fauchald P, Tveraa T (2009) Short- and long-termn consequences of 445 reproductive decisions: an experimental study in the puffin. Ecology 90:3197-3208 446 Fort J, Grémillet D, Traisnel G, Amélineau F, Bustamante P (2016) Does temporal variation of mercury 447 levels in Arctic seabirds reflect changes in global environmental contamination, or a 448 modification of Arctic marine food web functioning? Environmental Pollution 211:382-388 449 Fort J, Moe B, Strom H, Gremillet D, Welcker J, Schultner J, Jerstad K, Johansen KL, Phillips RA, 450 Mosbech A (2013) Multicolony tracking reveals potential threats to little auks wintering in 451 the North Atlantic from marine pollution and shrinking sea ice cover. Divers Distrib 19:1322-452 1332 453 Frederiksen M, Descamps S, Erikstad KE, Gaston AJ, Gilchrist HG, Grémillet D, Johansen KL, 454 Kolbeinsson Y, Linnebjerg JF, Mallory ML (2016) Migration and wintering of a declining 455 seabird, the thick-billed murre Uria lomvia, on an ocean basin scale: Conservation 456 implications. Biol Conserv 200:26-35 Frederiksen M, Moe B, Daunt F, Phillips RA, Barrett RT, Bogdanova MI, Boulinier T, Chardine JW, 457 458 Chastel O, Chivers LS (2012) Multicolony tracking reveals the winter distribution of a pelagic 459 seabird on an ocean basin scale. Divers Distrib 18:530-542 460 Furness RW, Camphuysen K (1997) Seabirds as monitors of the marine environment. ICES J Mar Sci 461 54:726-737 462 Gaston AJ, Jones IL (1998) The Auks, Vol. Oxford University Press

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

- Goutte A, Angelier F, Bech C, Clement-Chastel C, Dell'Omo G, Gabrielsen GW, Lendvai AZ, Moe B,
 Noreen E, Pinaud D, Tartu S, Chastel O (2014) Annual variation in the timing of breeding, prebreeding foraging areas and corticosterone levels in an Arctic population of black-legged
 kittiwakes. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 496:233-247
- Gremillet D, Charmantier A (2010) Shifts in phenotypic plasticity constrain the value of seabirds as
 ecological indicators of marine ecosystems. Ecological Applications 20:1498-1503
- Harding AMA, Egevang C, Walkusz W, Merkel F, Blanc S, Grémillet D (2009) Estimating prey capture
 rates of a planktivorous seabird, the little auk (Alle alle), using diet, diving behaviour, and
 energy consumption. Polar Biol 32:785-796
- Hobson KA, Bond AL (2012) Extending an indicator: year-round information on seabird trophic
 ecology from multiple-tissue stable-isotope analyses. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 461:233-243
- Hobson KA, Gloutney ML, Gibbs HL (1997) Preservation of blood and tissue samples for stable-carbon
 and stable-nitrogen isotope analysis. Canadian Journal of Zoology 75:1720-1723
- Hovinen JEH, Wojczulanis-Jakubas K, Jakubas D, Hop H, Berge J, Kidawa D, Karnovsky NJ, Steen H
 (2014) Fledging success of little auks in the high Arctic: do provisioning rates and the quality
 of foraging grounds matter? Polar Biol 37:665-674
- Hussey NE, MacNeil MA, McMeans BC, Olin JA, Dudley SF, Cliff G, Wintner SP, Fennessy ST, Fisk AT
 (2014) Rescaling the trophic structure of marine food webs. Ecol Lett 17:239-250
- Jaeger A, Blanchard P, Richard P, Cherel Y (2009) Using carbon and nitrogen isotopic values of body
 feathers to infer inter-and intra-individual variations of seabird feeding ecology during moult.
 Mar Biol 156:1233-1240
- Jakob EM, Marshall SD, Uetz GW (1996) Estimating fitness: a comparison of body condition indices.
 Oikos:61-67
- Jakubas D, Iliszko L, Wojczulanis-Jakubas K, Stempniewicz L (2012) Foraging by little auks in the
 distant marginal sea ice zone during the chick-rearing period. Polar Biol 35:73-81
- Jakubas D, Iliszko LM, Strøm H, Darecki M, Jerstad K, Stempniewicz L (2016) Foraging behavior of a
 high-Arctic zooplanktivorous alcid, the little auk, at the southern edge of its breeding range.
 Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 475:89-99
- Kouwenberg AL, Mark Hipfner J, McKay DW, Storey AE (2013) Corticosterone and stable isotopes in
 feathers predict egg size in Atlantic Puffins Fratercula arctica. Ibis 155:413-418
- Laidre KL, Stern H, Kovacs KM, Lowry L, Moore SE, Regehr EV, Ferguson SH, Wiig Ø, Boveng P, Angliss
 RP, Born EW, Litovka D, Quakenbush L, Lydersen C, Vongraven D, Ugarte F (2015) Arctic
 marine mammal population status, sea ice habitat loss and conservation recommendations
 for the 21st century. Conservation Biology 29:724-737
- 497 Logan JM, Jardine TD, Miller TJ, Bunn SE, Cunjak RA, Lutcavage ME (2008) Lipid corrections in carbon
 498 and nitrogen stable isotope analyses: comparison of chemical extraction and modelling
 499 methods. J Anim Ecol 77:838-846
- Lorrain A, Graham B, Ménard F, Popp B, Bouillon S, Van Breugel P, Cherel Y (2009) Nitrogen and
 carbon isotope values of individual amino acids: a tool to study foraging ecology of penguins
 in the Southern Ocean. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 391:293-306
- Louzao M, Afán I, Santos M, Brereton T (2015) The role of climate and food availability on driving
 decadal abundance patterns of highly migratory pelagic predators in the Bay of Biscay.
 Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 3:90
- Martin TE (1987) Food as a limit on breeding birds: a life-history perspective. Annu Rev Ecol Syst
 18:453-487
- Martone RG, Micheli F (2012) Geographic variation in demography of a temperate reef snail:
 importance of multiple life-history traits. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 457:85-99
- 510 Mauck R, Grubb Jr T (1995) Petrel parents shunt all experimentally increased reproductive costs to 511 their offspring. Animal Behaviour 49:999-1008

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

512 Miller T, Van der Lingen C, Brodeur R, Omori K, Hamaoka H, Isobe T (2011) Understanding what 513 drives food web structure in marine pelagic ecosystems. In: Omori K, X. Guo, Yoshie N, Fujii 514 N, Handoh IC, Isobe A, Tanabe S (eds) Interdisciplinary Studies on Environmental Chemistry— 515 Marine Environmental Modeling & Analysis 516 Miller TW, Brodeur RD, Rau G, Omori K (2010) Prey dominance shapes trophic structure of the 517 northern California Current pelagic food web: evidence from stable isotopes and diet 518 analysis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 420:15-26 519 Newsome SD, Martinez del Rio C, Bearhop S, Phillips DL (2007) A niche for isotopic ecology. Frontiers 520 in Ecology and the Environment 5:429-436 521 Nicol S, Pauly T, Bindoff NL, Wright S, Thiele D, Hosie GW, Strutton PG, Woehler E (2000) Ocean 522 circulation off east Antarctica affects ecosystem structure and sea-ice extent. Nature 523 406:504-507 524 Nordli O, Przybylak R, Ogilvie AEJ, Isaksen K (2014) Long-term temperature trends and variability on 525 Spitsbergen: the extended Svalbard Airport temperature series, 1898-2012. Polar Res 526 33:21349 527 Ogden LJE, Hobson KA, Lank DB (2004) Blood isotopic (delta C-13 and delta N-15) turnover and diet-528 tissue fractionation factors in captive Dunlin (Calidris alpina pacifica). Auk 121:170-177 529 Parsons M, Mitchell I, Butler A, Ratcliffe N, Frederiksen M, Foster S, Reid JB (2008) Seabirds as 530 indicators of the marine environment. ICES J Mar Sci 65:1520-1526 Perry RI, Cury P, Brander K, Jennings S, Möllmann C, Planque B (2010) Sensitivity of marine systems 531 532 to climate and fishing: concepts, issues and management responses. Journal of Marine 533 Systems 79:427-435 534 Petersen A, Irons DB, Gilchrist HG, Robertson GJ, Boertmann D, Strøm H, Gavrilo M, Artukhin Y, 535 Clausen DS, Kuletz KJ (2015) The status of Glaucous Gulls Larus hyperboreus in the 536 circumpolar Arctic. Arctic:107-120 537 Piatt JF, Harding AMA, Shultz M, Speckman SG, van Pelt TI, Drew GS, Kettle AB (2007) Seabirds as 538 indicators of marine food supplies: Cairns revisited. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 352:221-234 539 Post DM, Layman CA, Arrington DA, Takimoto G, Quattrochi J, Montana CG (2007) Getting to the fat 540 of the matter: models, methods and assumptions for dealing with lipids in stable isotope 541 analyses. Oecologia 152:179-189 542 Ramírez F, Tarroux A, Hovinen J, Navarro J, Afán I, Forero MG, Descamps S (2017) Sea ice phenology 543 and primary productivity pulses shape breeding success in Arctic seabirds. Scientific Reports 544 7:4500 545 Reiertsen TK, Erikstad KE, Anker-Nilssen T, Barrett RT, Boulinier T, Frederiksen M, Gonzalez-Solis J, 546 Gremillet D, Johns D, Moe B, Ponchon A, Skern-Mauritzen M, Sandvik H, Yoccoz NG (2014) 547 Prey density in non-breeding areas affects adult survival of black-legged kittiwakes Rissa 548 tridactyla. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 509:289-+ 549 Ruffino L, Salo P, Koivisto E, Banks PB, Korpimäki E (2014) Reproductive responses of birds to 550 experimental food supplementation: a meta-analysis. Frontiers in zoology 11:80 551 Sæther B-E, Andersen R, Pedersen HC (1993) Regulation of parental effort in a long-lived seabird an 552 experimental manipulation of the cost of reproduction in the Antarctic petrel, Thalassoica 553 antarctica. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 33:147-150 554 Saether BE, Bakke O (2000) Avian life history variation and contribution of demographic traits to the 555 population growth rate. Ecology 81:642-653 556 Salton M, Saraux C, Dann P, Chiaradia A (2015) Carry-over body mass effect from winter to breeding 557 in a resident seabird, the little penguin. Royal Society open science 2:140390 558 Saupe S, Schell D, Griffiths W (1989) Carbon-isotope ratio gradients in western arctic zooplankton. 559 Mar Biol 103:427-432

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

- Schulte-Hostedde AI, Zinner B, Millar JS, Hickling GJ (2005) Restitution of mass–size residuals:
 validating body condition indices. Ecology 86:155-163
- Schwemmer P, Garthe S (2008) Regular habitat switch as an important feeding strategy of an
 opportunistic seabird species at the interface between land and sea. Estuarine, Coastal and
 Shelf Science 77:12-22
- Sorensen MC, Hipfner JM, Kyser TK, Norris DR (2009) Carry-over effects in a Pacific seabird: stable
 isotope evidence that pre-breeding diet quality influences reproductive success. J Anim Ecol
 78:460-467
- Stempniewicz L (1981) Breeding biology of a little auk Plautus alle in the Hornsund region,
 Spitsbergen. Acta ornithologica
- 570 Strøm H (2006) Birds of Svalbard. Birds and mammals of Svalbard Polarhåndbok 13:86-191
- 571Swanson HK, Lysy M, Power M, Stasko AD, Johnson JD, Reist JD (2015) A new probabilistic method572for quantifying n-dimensional ecological niches and niche overlap. Ecology 96:318-324
- 573 Tarroux A, Ehrich D, Lecomte N, Jardine TD, Be^{*}ty J, Berteaux D (2010) Sensitivity of stable isotope
 574 mixing models to variation in isotopic ratios: evaluating consequences of lipid extraction.
 575 Meth Ecol Evol 1:231-241
- Thompson PM, Ollason JC (2001) Lagged effects of ocean climate change on fulmar population
 dynamics. Nature 413:417-420
- 578 Vihtakari M, Welcker J, Moe B, Chastel O, Tartu S, Hop H, Bech C, Descamps S, Gabrielsen GW
 579 (2018a) Black-legged kittiwakes as messengers of Atlantification in the Arctic. Scientific
 580 reports 8:1178
- Vihtakari M, Welcker J, Moe B, Chastel O, Tartu S, Hop H, Bech C, Descamps S, Gabrielsen GW
 (2018b) Black-legged kittiwakes as messengers of Atlantification in the Arctic. Scientific
 Reports 8:1178
- Winkler DW, Ringelman KM, Dunn PO, Whittingham L, Hussell DJ, Clark RG, Dawson RD, Johnson LS,
 Rose A, Austin SH (2014) Latitudinal variation in clutch size–lay date regressions in
 Tachycineta swallows: effects of food supply or demography? Ecography 37:670-678
- Tachycineta swallows: effects of food supply or demography? Ecography 37:670-678
 Wold A, Jæger I, Hop H, Gabrielsen GW, Falk-Petersen S (2011) Arctic seabird food chains explored by
 fatty acid composition and stable isotopes in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. Polar Biol 34:1147-1155
- 589 Woo KJ, Elliott KH, Davidson M, Gaston AJ, Davoren GK (2008) Individual specialization in diet by a
 590 generalist marine predator reflects specialization in foraging behaviour. J Anim Ecol 77:1082 591 1091
- Zera AJ, Harshman LG (2001) The physiology of life history trade-offs in animals. Annu Rev Ecol Syst
 32:95-126
- 594

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

596	Table 1 Interannual variation in δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N isotopic ratios (during the breeding and non-breeding seasons), body condition and reproductive
597	performance of Svalbard seabirds. For δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N and body condition, results are from generalized mixed effect models that include bird identity
598	as a random effect. For reproductive variables, results are from linear models; only one value per nest (among all available years) was randomly
599	sampled to avoid pseudo-replication (mixed models could not be used due to convergence issues). Variables $\delta^{13}C_{\text{breeding}}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{\text{breeding}}$ have been
600	adjusted for the sampling date (to remove the intra-seasonal variation in isotopic ratios during the breeding season). Body condition represents
601	the body mass adjusted for capture date and body size (wing length). Hatching success represents the probability of hatching at least one chick,
602	and the chick survival variable represents the probability of having at least one chick survive up to 15 days of age. df represents the degrees of
603	freedom, AIC the Akaike Information Criterion, and ΔAIC the difference in AIC from the model with the lowest AIC.

Response variable Fixed effects		df	AIC	ΔΑΙC
$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}$	Year×Species	20	-45.18	0.00
(n=250 from 205	Year+Species	10	43.19	88.37
individuals)	Year	8	50.32	95.50
	Species	4	210.52	255.70
	Null (intercept only) model	2	204.52	249.70
$\delta^{15}N_{breeding}$	Year×Species	20	321.30	0.00
	Year	8	382.62	61.32

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress* Series 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195

10.3354/meps12886

(n=251 from 205	Year+Species	10	384.99	63.69
individuals)	Null (intercept only) model	2	446.71	125.41
	Species	4	452.70	131.40
$\delta^{13}C_{non\ breeding}$	Year×Species	20	525.27	0.00
(n=239 from 212	Year+Species	10	526.01	0.74
individuals)	Species	4	534.27	9.00
	Null (intercept only) model	2	698.82	173.55
	Year	8	701.13	175.86
$\delta^{15}N_{non\ breeding}$	Year×Species	20	699.45	0.00
(n=281 from 224 individuals)	Species	4	720.79	21.34
	Year+Species	10	725.89	26.44
	Year	8	920.29	220.84
	Null (intercept only) model	2	922.45	223.00
Body condition	Null (intercept only) model	2	2674.23	0.00
(n=301 from 288	Year×Species	20	2680.23	6.00
individuals)	Year	8	2680.29	6.06
	Species	4	2685.71	11.48
	Year+Species	10	2686.16	11.93
Hatching success	Year×Species	21	799.37	0.00
	Year+Species	9	853.20	53.83

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress*

Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress* Series 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195

10.3354/meps12886

(n= 305 from 305	Species	4	922.37	123.00
nests)	Year	7	946.06	146.69
	Null (intercept only) model	1	985.58	186.21
Chick survival	Year×Species	16	455.66	0.00
(n=194 from 194	Year+Species	9	506.73	51.07
nests)	Species	3	526.59	70.93
	Year	7	565.66	110.00
	Null (intercept only) model	1	565.08	109.42

606

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195 <u>10.3354/meps12886</u> **Table 2** Relationships between average annual carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratios (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N) during the breeding and non-breeding seasons and average seabird condition and reproductive performance in Svalbard. Results are from linear models and all variables have been normalized (within species normalizing) so that models with only *species* or "*species* + δ^{13} C" or "*species* + δ^{15} N" as fixed effects were not informative. δ^{13} C_{breeding} and δ^{15} N_{breeding} have been adjusted to the sampling date (to remove the intra-seasonal variation in these two traits during the breeding season). df represents the degrees of freedom, AIC_C the Akaike Information Criterion corrected for small sample size, and Δ AIC_C the difference

612 in AIC_C from the model with the lowest AIC_C and R^2 represents the proportion of variance explained by the model.

613

Response variable	n	Fixed effects		AICc	ΔAICc	\mathbb{R}^2	
Average body	17	Null (intercept only)	1	49.21	0.00		-
condition	$\frac{\delta^{13}C_{\text{breeding}}}{\delta^{15}N_{\text{breeding}}} = 2 \qquad 51.17$	2	51.17	1.96	< 0.01		
		50.64	1.43	0.12			
		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}\times Species$	6	60.57	11.36	0.15	
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}} imes \mathrm{Species}$	6	62.61	13.40	0.43	
	17	$\delta^{13}C_{non\ breeding}$	2	47.62	0.00	0.16	-
		Null (intercept only)	1	47.95	0.33		
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{non \ breeding}}$	2	48.44	0.82	0.12	
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{non\ breeding}} imes \mathrm{Species}$	8	69.38	21.76	0.45	

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195

10.3354/meps12886

		$\delta^{13}C_{non\ breeding}\times Species$	8	70.62	23.00	0.41
Average hatching	17	Null (intercept only)	1	47.95	0.00	
success		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}$	2	49.80	1.85	0.04
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}}$	2	50.21	2.26	0.01
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}} imes \mathrm{Species}$	8	73.25	25.30	0.32
		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding} \times Species$	8	73.59	25.64	0.30
	19	$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{non \ breeding}}$	2	45.67	0.00	0.35
		Null (intercept only)	1	50.81	5.14	
		$\delta^{13}C_{non\ breeding}$	2	53.36	7.69	< 0.01
		$\delta^{13}C_{\text{non breeding}}\times Species$	8	75.85	30.18	0.23
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{non\ breeding}} imes \mathbf{Species}$	8	68.57	22.90	0.49
Average chick	15	$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}}$	2	42.72	0.00	0.21
survival		Null (intercept only)	1	43.53	0.81	
		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}$	2	45.89	3.17	0.02
		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding} \times Species$	6	56.46	13.74	0.38
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}} imes \mathrm{Species}$	6	59.68	16.96	0.24
	14	Null (intercept only)	1	40.68	0.00	
		$\delta^{13}C_{non\ breeding}$	2	42.40	1.72	0.07
		$\delta^{13}C_{\text{non breeding}}\times Species$	6	58.08	17.40	0.26

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195 <u>10.3354/meps12886</u>

$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{non \ breeding}}$	2	42.73	2.05	0.05
$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{non\ breeding}} imes \mathbf{Species}$	6	59.22	18.54	0.20

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195 <u>10.3354/meps12886</u>

615 FIGURE LEGENDS

- **Fig. 1** Breeding sites of little auks (red circle), black-legged kittiwakes (blue circle),
- Brünnich's guillemots (green circle), and glaucous gulls (black circle) included in the study
- 618 (Svalbard Archipelago). Glaucous gulls were all breeding within Kongsfjorden and not in a
- 619 specific colony.
- **Fig. 2** Average stable isotopic ratios (\pm 1SD) of carbon (δ^{13} C) and nitrogen (δ^{15} N) in little
- auks, black-legged kittiwakes, the Brünnich's guillemots, and glaucous gulls during a)
- breeding and b) non-breeding seasons in 2009-2015. Dots represent individual values for each
- 623 species and year.
- 624 Fig. 3 Average hatching success of Svalbard seabirds in relation to nitrogen isotopic ratio
- during the previous non-breeding season. The line represents the prediction from a linear
- model and its associated 95% confidence interval (shaded areas).

627 Supplementary material 2. Seabird body condition

We defined bird body condition as the residual from a linear regression of individual body mass on individual capture date (in Julian days) and wing length (a proxy of body size). As the regression parameters may differ among species, we considered the interactions between capture date and species and between wing length and species. The year and individual identity (ring number) were included as random factors. Model was run with the *lmer* function of the package *lme4*.

- The R-output is represented in Fig. S1.
- 635

```
Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
Formula: Mass ~ (Julian + Wing) * Species + (1 | YR) + (1 | MetalRing)
   Data: Selection
REML criterion at convergence: 5692.9
Scaled residuals:
     Min
                     Median
               10
                                           Мах
                                  30
-10.3800 -0.0145
                     0.0014
                              0.0377
                                       2.7941
Random effects:
                        Variance Std.Dev.
 Groups
           Name
 MetalRing (Intercept) 32740.6
                                 180.94
           (Intercept)
                          104.9
 YR
                                  10.24
 Residual
                          982.9
                                  31.35
Number of obs: 493, groups: MetalRing, 232; YR, 7
Fixed effects:
                      Estimate Std. Error t value
(Intercept)
                     -5499.579
                                 1147.655
                                            -4.792
Julian
                         9.745
                                    3.363
                                             2.898
                                    2.300
Wing
                        11.240
                                             4.886
SpeciesLiau
                      5736.100
                                 1474.300
                                             3.891
SpeciesRitri
                      5584.727
                                 1529.180
                                             3.652
SpeciesUrlom
                      6617.300
                                 1294.259
                                             5.113
                                    3.501
Julian:SpeciesLiau
                       -10.736
                                            -3.066
Julian:SpeciesRitri
                       -10.867
                                    3.378
                                            -3.217
                                    3.449
Julian:SpeciesUrlom
                       -11.326
                                            -3.284
                                    7.535
Wing:SpeciesLiau
                       -10.199
                                            -1.353
                                    3.916
Wing:SpeciesRitri
                        -9.648
                                            -2.464
Wing:SpeciesUrlom
                       -10.511
                                            -2.981
                                    3.526
```

Analysis of Variance Table					
	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	
Julian	1	145379	145379	147.9138	
Wing	1	438557	438557	446.2046	
Species	3	701919	233973	238.0528	
Julian:Species	3	12613	4204	4.2776	
Wing:Species	3	11121	3707	3.7716	

637

640

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

⁶³⁸ Figure S1. R-output for the linear regression of individual body mass on individual capture date and

⁶³⁹ wing length (with the species as an interacting factor).

- 641 Supplementary material 3. *Relationships between Svalbard seabird body*
- 642 condition, breeding success and isotopic ratios (during the breeding season)
- 643 when excluding the years based on different tissue samples (i.e. red blood cells
- instead of whole blood for little auks in 2011 and Brünnich's guillemots in
- 645 *2010*).
- 646 Results are from linear models and all variables were normalized (within species normalizing)
- 647 so that models with only species or "species + δ^{13} C or δ^{15} N" as fixed effects were not
- 648 informative. $\delta^{13}C_{\text{breeding}}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{\text{breeding}}$ were adjusted to the sampling date (to remove the
- 649 intra-seasonal variation in isotopic ratios during the breeding season). df represents the
- 650 degrees of freedom, AIC_C the Akaike Information Criterion corrected for small sample size,
- and ΔAIC_C the difference in AIC_C from the model with the lowest AIC_C and R² represents the
- 652 proportion of variance explained by the model.
- 653

Table S4. Results from linear models testing the effects of isotopic ratios (during the breeding season)

- 655 on seabird body condition and breeding success when excluding the years based on different tissue
- 656 *samples*

Response variable	n	Fixed effects	df	AICc	ΔAICc
Average body	15	Null (intercept only)	1	43.53	0.04
condition		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}$	2	46.20	2.71
		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}\times Species$	6	60.04	16.55
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}}$	2	43.49	0.00
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}} imes \mathrm{Species}$	6	53.38	9.89
Average hatching	16 ^a	Null (intercept only)	1	45.09	0.00
success		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}$	2	47.44	2.36
		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}\times Species$	8	75.06	29.98
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}}$	2	47.55	2.47
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}} imes \mathrm{Species}$	8	73.31	28.23

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

Average chick survival	14 ^a	Null (intercept only)	1	40.68	0.65
		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding}$	2	43.29	3.26
		$\delta^{13}C_{breeding} \times Species$	6	55.29	15.26
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}}$	2	40.03	0.00
		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{breeding}} imes \mathrm{Species}$	6	58.39	18.36

658 *a*: no reproduction data for Brünnich's guillemot in 2010 so that the only difference here with analyses presented in Table 2

(main document) is the absence of little auk reproductive data for 2011.

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

660 Supplementary material 4. *Repeatability in black-legged kittiwake isotopic*





662

663 *Figure S2.* Bootstrapped repeatability of blood $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$, and their associated 95% confidence

664 *intervals, in black-legged kittiwakes breeding on Svalbard (Grumantbyen colony, 78°17'N 15°10'E).*

665 *Repeatability has been calculated using the rpt function of the rptR package (Stoffel et al., 2017).*

666 *Kittiwakes were sampled during chick-rearing and isotopic ratios were centered on their annual mean*

667 (within year centering). N=37 samples from 18 individuals and 4 years (2011, 2012, 2014 and 2015).

668 Likelihood ratio tests indicate that repeatability in both ratios is significantly different from zero

669 $(\delta^{13}C: D=6.82, df=1, p=0.005; \delta^{15}N: D=8.78, df=1, p=0.002).$

670 <u>Literature cited</u>

671 Stoffel MA, Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H (2017) rptR: repeatability estimation and variance decomposition by generalized

- 672 linear mixed-effects models. Meth Ecol Evol 8:1639-1644
- 673

674

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.



Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

677 Figure S3. Raw isotope values (carbon and nitrogen) as a function of the sampling date. The line and shaded areas represent the estimated relationship between isotope and sampling date, and the 678 associated 95% confidence interval.

679

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

- 680 Results below are from a linear mixed-effects model with individual identity as a random
- factor. Model was run with the *lmer* function of the package *lme4*.
- 682 The R-output is represented in *Figs. S4 and S5*.

Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood ['lmerMod'] Formula: D13C ~ Julian * Species + (1 | MetalRing) Data: Select logLik deviance df.resid AIC BIC 218.5 253.7 -99.3 198.5 240 Scaled residuals: Median Min 1Q 3Q Мах -3.08274 -0.71102 0.04843 0.84172 2.53235 Random effects: Variance Std.Dev. Groups Name MetalRing (Intercept) 0.0000 0.0000 Residual 0.1295 0.3599 Number of obs: 250, groups: MetalRing, 205 Fixed effects: Estimate Std. Error t value (Intercept) -24.45617 4.72286 -5.178Julian 0.03133 0.02930 1.069SpeciesLiau 7.77766 4.85065 1.603 SpeciesRitri 6.26982 4.82887 1.298 SpeciesUrlom 2.39238 4.79335 0.499Julian:SpeciesLiau -0.05367 0.02980 -1.801-0.04461 0.02975 Julian:SpeciesRitri -1.500Julian:SpeciesUrlom 0.02961 -0.754 -0.02233 Analysis of Variance Table Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Julian $\mathbf{1}$ 28.281 28.2810 218.3269 3 Species 21.186 7.0622 54. 5195 Julian:Species 3 3.232 1.0773 8.3168

685 *Figure S4. R*-output for the linear mixed testing the effect of Julian date (with species as an

686 *interacting factor) on the carbon isotopic ratio during the breeding season.*

687

684

683

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

```
Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood ['lmerMod']
Formula: D15N ~ Julian * Species + (1 | MetalRing)
   Data: Select
     AIC
                    logLik deviance df.resid
              BIC
   460.6
            495.8
                    -220.3
                              440.6
                                          241
Scaled residuals:
    Min
          1Q Median
                             3Q
                                    Мах
-2.3288 -0.5652
                0.0863 0.5757
                                 4.8233
Random effects:
 Groups
                       Variance Std.Dev.
           Name
 MetalRing (Intercept) 0.09557
                                0.3091
 Residual
                                0.4989
                       0.24891
Number of obs: 251, groups: MetalRing, 205
Fixed effects:
                     Estimate Std. Error t value
(Intercept)
                                7.701848
                    13.013977
                                            1.690
Julian
                     0.002295
                                0.047783
                                            0.048
SpeciesLiau
                    -4.620921
                                7.903832
                                           -0.585
SpeciesRitri
                     4.551149
                                 7.846941
                                            0.580
SpeciesUrlom
                    -4.456133
                                 7.813495
                                           -0.570
Julian:SpeciesLiau
                                            0.303
                     0.014725
                                0.048569
Julian:SpeciesRitri -0.027886
                                0.048398
                                           -0.576
Julian:SpeciesUrlom 0.019897
                                0.048277
                                            0.412
Analysis of Variance Table
                  Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
               Df
Julian
                1 10.2616 10.2616 41.2257
Species
                3 22.8421 7.6140 30.5892
Julian:Species 3 5.9638 1.9879 7.9865
```

- 690 Figure S5. R-output for the linear mixed testing the effect of Julian date (with species as an
- 691 *interacting factor) on the nitrogen isotopic ratio during the breeding season.*

692

689

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien.

693 **Supplementary material 6.** *Inter-annual variation in carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratios, body condition, hatching* 694 *success and chick survival during the breeding and non-breeding season*

695 (a)



696

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195 <u>10.3354/meps12886</u>



699



705

Hovinen, Johanna Emilia Heidi; Tarroux, Arnaud; Ramirez, Francisco; Forero, Manuela; Descamps, Sébastien. Relationships between isotopic ratios, body condition and breeding success in a High Arctic seabird community. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2019 ;Volum 613. s. 183-195 <u>10.3354/meps12886</u>

697