2	genetic stock identification methods
3	
4	
5	Martin-A. Svenning ^{1*} , Morten Falkegård ¹ , Eero Niemelä ² , Juha-Pekka Vähä ³ , Vidar Wennevik ⁴ , Mikhail
6	Ozerov ⁵ , Sergey Prusov ⁶ , J. Brian Dempson ⁷ , Michael Power ⁸ , and Per Fauchald ¹
7	
8	¹ Norwegian Institute for Nature Research (NINA), Tromsø, Norway, ² County Governor of Finnmark
9	(FMFI), Vadsø, Norway, 3 The Association for Water and Environment of Western Uusimaa, Finland
10	(LUVY), ⁴ Institute of Marine Research (IMR), Norway, ⁵ University of Turku, Finland, 7 ⁶ Knipovich Polar
11	Research Institute of Marine Fisheries and Oceanography (PINRO), Murmansk, Russia, ⁷ Fisheries &
12	Oceans Canada, St John's, NL A1C 5X1, Canada, ⁸ University of Waterloo, Dept Biol, Waterloo, ON,
13	Canada
14	
15	
16	* Corresponding author: tel: +47 93466725; fax: +47 77750401; e-mail: <u>martin.svenning@nina.no</u>
17	
18	
19	

Coastal migration patterns of the four largest Barents Sea Atlantic salmon stocks inferred using

20 Abstract

21 Combining detailed temporal and spatial catch data, including catch per unit effort, with a high-22 resolution microsatellite genetic baseline facilitated the development of stock-specific coastal 23 migration models for the four largest Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) populations, Målselv, Alta, Tana 24 and Kola rivers, contributing to the Barents Sea mixed-stock fishery. Målselv salmon displayed a 25 restricted coastal movement with 85% of the fish captured within 20 km of their natal river. Kola 26 salmon also demonstrated limited coastal movements in Norwegian waters, with most salmon (> 27 90%) caught in proximity to the Varanger Fjord, eastern Finnmark. Compared with Målselv salmon, 28 the majority of MSW Alta salmon were caught west of Alta fjord across a broader stretch of coast 29 with 1SW fish showing some more extensive movement along the coast prior to river entry. Salmon originating from the River Tana were detected over a broad expanse (600 km) of the North-30 31 Norwegian coast, possibly because of the large diversity inherent within the River Tana stock 32 complex. For all populations there were distinct age-specific return peaks with multi-sea-winter 33 (MSW) salmon dominating catches earlier in the season (May – June) while one-sea-winter (1SW) 34 fish were more common from July to August. This study provides an example of how traditional catch 35 and effort information may be combined with genetic methods to obtain insights into spatial and 36 temporal changes in Atlantic salmon catch composition and their associated migration patterns in a 37 mixed-stock coastal fishery.

38

39 Keywords: Atlantic salmon, Barents Sea, coastal fishery, genetics, salmon stock origin, migration

40 models

41

43 Introduction

44 One of the greatest challenges for the management and conservation of fish species whose populations comingle during ocean migration and feeding (e.g. Atlantic and Pacific salmon) involves 45 46 understanding the spatial and temporal use of marine coastal environments and the identification of 47 stocks that contribute to coastal mixed-stock fisheries (e.g. Hess et al., 2014; Satterthwaite et al., 48 2015; Gilbey et al., 2017). This is particularly relevant for managing Atlantic salmon populations 49 originating from the rivers along the Kola Peninsula, White Sea and as far east as the Pechora River 50 that may be heavily exploited and contributes to the fisheries in northern Norway (Svenning and 51 Prusov, 2011). Furthermore, the Atlantic salmon sea-fishery in the northern Norway, and especially 52 in Finnmark County, has a long cultural tradition, and any reduction in the fishery must be balanced 53 against the need to preserve the rich tradition and local economic importance of the resource (e.g. 54 Crozier et al., 2004).

55

56 The feasibility of using genetic techniques to discriminate among different salmon stocks depends on 57 the degree of isolation among the populations or regions (Griffiths et al., 2010), the diversity and 58 numbers of markers used (Jeffery et al., 2018) and whether there is sufficient representation of the spatial genetic diversity in the genetic baseline (Bradbury et al., 2015). Until recently it was only 59 possible to identify the region of origin of wild Atlantic salmon contributing to mixed-stock coastal 60 61 fisheries. Genetic stock identification methods have been used to define the home region of Atlantic 62 salmon contributing to past (e.g. Faroes - Gilbey et al., 2017) or current ocean fisheries (Labrador -Bradbury et al., 2015; West Greenland - Bradbury et al., 2016a; Northwest Atlantic, St. Pierre and 63 64 Miguelon - Bradbury et al., 2016b), as means of identifying incidental captures of salmon in coastal 65 waters (Iceland - Olafsson et al., 2016), on small geographic scales, as an evaluative technique to validate management decisions and more precisely exploit single stocks capable of maintaining a 66 harvestable surplus (Ensing et al. 2013). Elsewhere, GSI methods have been used to examine spatial 67 68 and temporal distributions, stock composition, abundance and migration pathways of various species

of Pacific salmon species (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) (e.g. Clemento et al., 2014; Beacham et al., 2014, 2016;
Satterthwaite et al., 2015; Urawa et al., 2016). Further refinements to genetic stock identification
methods now allowed identification of the natal river with a high degree of certainty (Ozerov et al.,
2017; Vähä et al., 2017; Bradbury et al., 2018). For North Norway and Russia, a comprehensive
genetic baseline for Atlantic salmon populations has been developed (Ozerov et al., 2017), making it
possible to identify the home region of salmon captured in the North-Norwegian coastal fisheries
and in most cases to determine the natal river of origin (Ozerov et al., 2017).

76

77 Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) have a complex life cycle, spending their first years as juveniles in 78 freshwater, and then migrating to the open sea to feed and grow for one to five years before 79 returning to their natal river to spawn (Mills, 1989). Rivers in northernmost Norway, Finland and 80 Russia, i.e. in the Barents Sea basin, support some of the world's largest wild Atlantic salmon stocks, and salmon in these areas have high socio-economic value associated with commercial, subsistence 81 82 and recreational fisheries (Svenning and Prusov, 2011). The total catch from coastal and riverine 83 fisheries in this area during the last 10-15 years (2005-2018) constitutes more than 50 % of the total 84 harvest of wild Atlantic salmon in the world (ICES, 2018). Although a few of these salmon stocks have 85 suffered from reduced numbers of spawners in recent decades, most of them are unique insofar as the majority have not declined, and currently retain a high level of production, especially when 86 87 compared to southern populations (Zubcheno et al., 1994; Svenning and Prusov, 2011; Zubchenko 88 and Prusov, 2011; Ozerov et al., 2017; ICES, 2018; Anon, 2018). The conservation and sound 89 management of these stocks is important given their size, contribution to fisheries, and diversity of 90 life-history forms (Erkinaro et al., 2019). For example, the average annual landings of the north 91 Norwegian multi-stock Atlantic salmon coastal fishery over the last 20 years (1998-2017) have varied 92 between 110 and 345 tonnes and are on par with the total in-river landings from the hundreds of North-Norwegian and Kola Peninsula salmon rivers in the Barents Sea (www.ssb.no; Table 08991). 93

94

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in ICES Journal of Marine Science following peer review. The version of record Svenning et al Coastal migration patterns of the four largest Barents Sea Atlantic Salmon Stocks inferred using genetic stock identification methods. ICES JMarSci 2019 is available online at: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/advance-article/doi/10.1093/icesjms/fsz114/5522970

95 A key element in the life history of Atlantic salmon is natal homing, which serves to maintain reproductively isolated stocks and local adaptation through natural selection (King et al., 2007; 96 García de Leániz et al., 2007). Divergent selection on heritable traits enhancing the survival and 97 98 reproductive success of individuals under differing physical and biotic determinants has led to 99 significant variation in many morphological and life-history traits, as well as in behavioural 100 characteristics within and among populations (reviewed in García de Leániz et al., 2007). As a result, 101 Atlantic salmon inhabiting different rivers have accumulated significant inter-population genetic 102 variation with high levels of differentiation at sub-basin levels, for instance between tributary 103 populations of Atlantic salmon in the River Tana system (Vähä et al., 2007, 2017). This genetic 104 divergence provides the basis for the use of genetic techniques to identify the population origin of 105 individuals. 106 107 The aim of this study was to develop a temporal and spatial stock-specific migration model for four of 108 the largest Barents Sea Atlantic salmon populations, i.e. the Målselv, Alta and Tana (Norway) and 109 Kola (Russia) salmon based on a mass sampling of wild Atlantic salmon caught in the sea fisheries 110 along the North Norwegian coast in 2011 and 2012. The approach is analogous to those that have used genetic stock identification and relative abundance from catch-per-unit effort (CPUE) data to 111 112 determine distributions and seasonal migrations of various chinook (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and 113 sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) stocks along the west coast of North America (Beacham et al., 114 2014; Satterthwaite et al., 2014; Bellinger et al., 2015). In addition to providing a more 115 comprehensive understanding of the direction and timing of the coastal movements of returning 116 Atlantic salmon, results from this study will also assist in providing a more precise, and informed regulatory regime for the management of Barents Sea Atlantic salmon stocks. 117 118

- 119
- 120

121 Materials and Methods

122 Sampling of adult Atlantic salmon

123 A total of 17383 adult wild Atlantic salmon were sampled in 2011 and 2012 (Table 1) along

approximately 950 km of the North-Norwegian coast from 12.5 to 30.5 °E and 67.5 to 69.5 °N (Figure

125 1). Samples were obtained from 58 Norwegian Environment Agency licensed professional fishers

126 who employed commercial fishing gears (bend nets and/or bag nets) and were allowed to fish

127 beyond the official fishing season, i.e. from early May until early September in these two years

128 (Svenning et al., 2014).

129

130 Numbers of Atlantic salmon caught, location, capture method, and date were recorded. Fork length

131 (FL, cm) and body mass (g) were measured and a scale sample was taken for: 1) age and growth

determination, 2) genetic analysis, and 3) establishing the frequency of escaped farmed fish in the

133 catch. Based on scale pattern analysis fish were categorized as wild or escaped farmed fish, with only

134 wild fish being subsequently used for genetic analysis.

135

136 *Genetic analyses*

137 Total genomic DNA was extracted from the scales of 16096 (92.6%) wild fish (Table 1) using protocols 138 described in Ozerov et al. (2017) and surveyed for genetic variation at 31 microsatellite DNA loci 139 identified and applied in previous studies (Ellis et al., 2011; Vähä et al., 2017). Genotype data were 140 generated at University of Turku, Finland, and the Institute of Marine Research, Norway, and cross-141 calibrated and compared (Ozerov et al., 2017). The amplification procedure was only slightly 142 modified from Vähä et al. (2008), where the genotyping error rate for the described procedure was 143 estimated to be low (<0.4 %). To minimize genotyping errors, electropherograms and allele scores 144 were reviewed by two persons independently. Further, all genotype calls were subjected to manual 145 checking by two persons independently. The genotyping quality threshold was initially set to having 29 of 31 loci producing unambiguous data with failure resulting in re-analysis from either the DNA 146

147 extraction or PCR amplification step. Samples showing evidence of contamination were re-extracted 148 using single scale and re-genotyped. If contamination persisted, the sample was discarded. Further, 149 samples were screened for cross-contamination using the percentage of alleles that matched 150 between pairs of multilocus genotypes in the Microsatellite Toolkit for Excel (Park, 2001). If two 151 individuals shared more than 95% of alleles in 27-31 loci, they were considered as cross-152 contaminated. If both samples of the pair were provided by the same fisherman, the sample with 153 less complete information or later reported sampling time was discarded. In all other cases, both 154 samples were discarded. 155 156 Genetic stock identification

157 River of origin for each successfully genotyped adult individual was estimated using the Bayesian GSI

158 methodology described in Pella and Masuda (2001) and implemented in cBayes 5.0.1 (Neaves et al.,

159 2005). Genetic information on 185 Atlantic salmon populations spanning from the R. Pechora

160 (Russia) in the East to the R. Beiarelva (Norway) in the West was used as baseline population data,

161 described in detail in Ozerov et al. (2017). The advantages of the Bayesian method when compared

to the conditional maximum likelihood approach (e.g. in ONCOR, Kalinowski et al., 2008) for GSI have

been illustrated in earlier studies (e.g., Beacham et al., 2005; Koljonen et al., 2005; Vähä et al., 2017;

164 Ozerov et al., 2017). Of particular note is the fact that the Bayesian approach provides more accurate

165 estimates in the power analysis of the applied baseline genetic data (see Ozerov et al., 2017).

166

167 Stock estimates were expected to be affected by the population composition of the mixture sample

since GSI methods utilize this information (Pella and Masuda, 2001). Thus, dividing large mixture

samples into subsets based on location, time or life-history characteristics of individuals may be

beneficial to improve the sensitivity of stock estimates (Vähä et al., 2017). As the number of fishery

171 catch samples of adult wild Atlantic salmon from each of the 58 localities per month of each year

were small, they were grouped into 24 analysis regions and two time periods within each sampling

year: period 1 (May-June) and period 2 (July-August). Collectively, the 16 096 samples were divided 173 174 into 88 temporally and spatially distinct subsets for analysis, including four subsets, which were 175 combined within each year (Table 2, Fig. 1). Genetic stock identification analyses were performed 176 using five independent chains of 100K iterations starting from three random stocks in CBAYES. These 177 specifications appeared sufficient as sample-wise Raftery-Lewis diagnostics (Lewis and Raftery, 1997) 178 of the preliminary test runs suggested that 40K-80K iterations were adequate to reach a MCMC 179 convergence. The last 10K iterations of each chain were combined and used to estimate individual 180 assignment to the population (river) of origin to remove the influence of initial starting values, with 181 data for n = 5448 individuals from the Målselv, Alta, Kola and Tana rivers retained for further analysis 182 (Table 2).

183

184 Following Vähä et al. (2011; 2014) and Bradbury et al. (2014) the probability (p) threshold for

assignment of an individual to a population was \geq 0.7 and was applied to all four stocks (Alta,

186 Målselv, Kola and Tana).

187

188 Catch Data and Statistical Analyses

189 Along with the location of capture, fishers provided daily information on when the fishing gear was

190 set and hauled. To calculate catch per unit effort (CPUE), we combined the catch data (data on

191 individual fish) with the data on fishing effort (reported from individual fishers). Because effort was

192 regulated by a maximum allowed number of fishing days per week, week was used as the basic unit

in the calculations. Thus, weekly CPUE was calculated as the number of fish caught during a week

194 divided by the number of fishing days in the same week. Weekly CPUE data were averaged over

195 months to provide monthly maps of CPUE by region of origin.

196

197 Catch data for each of the four river systems were used to model the inshore migration pattern of

198 wild Atlantic salmon. Initial analyses and visual inspection of the data suggested that the migration

199 patterns in 2011 and 2012 were similar (Svenning et al., 2014). Therefore, to investigate the general 200 pattern of migration, irrespective of year, we combined data from both years in the analyses. Initial 201 analyses also suggested differences in the timing of migration for different sea age classes. 202 Consequently, we modeled one sea-winter (1SW) and multi-sea winter (MSW) separately. 203 We used Generalized Additive Models (GAM) from the "mgcv "library (Wood 2006) in R v.2.13.0 204 (R_Development_Core_Team 2011) to model how the CPUE of Atlantic salmon from different rivers 205 and age classes changed geographically over time. Weekly CPUE of 1SW and MSW (CpueS) 206 originating from the four study rivers were modeled as dependent variables using a negative 207 binomial distribution and a log-link function. Fishing location and time in the fishing season were 208 used as independent variables. Fishing location was defined by a two-dimensional coordinate system 209 where Axis 1 (CoastY) is the distance (in km) along the outer Norwegian coastline (Figure 1) from the 210 southwestern limit of the study area to the fishing location, and Axis 2 (CoastX) is the inshore-211 offshore gradient defined by the perpendicular distance (in km) from the fishing location to the outer 212 coastline. The time in the fishing season (Time) was defined by the week number since May 1st. The 213 CpueS was modeled with a three-dimensional smoothing function dependent on CoastX, CoastY and 214 Time using thin plate regression splines (Wood 2006). Based on the fitted models, we used the 215 "predict" function in the "mgcv" library to predict the average spatial distribution for a given week on 216 a 2x2 km² grid covering the area inshore of the outer coastline from Nordland county (ca 12.5 °E, 217 30.5 °E, 67.5 °N) in the southwest to the Norwegian border to Russia in the northeast (69.5 °N). The 218 area covered all fishing locations as shown in Figure 1.

- 219
- 220 Results
- 221 Genetic stock identification

In total, 11 320 of the 16 096 genotyped individuals could be assigned to specific rivers (cut-off p≥

223 0.7). The cut-off at $p \ge 0.7$ was supported by analyzing a limited set of 27 Carlin-tagged Alta salmon

presented in Vähä et al. (2014) which allowed reaching the accuracy of > 90% while keeping 88% of

the samples (Table 3). Thus, of the 11 320 salmon that could be identified to river of origin, 4 527

were assigned to the four large rivers included in this study (see Table 4), while the remaining 6 793

fish were assigned to another 134 rivers spread from southern Nordland, Norway, to Pechora area,

- 228 Russia (see Figure 1 in Ozerov et al. 2017).
- 229

230 <u>Total catches</u>

- The total catches of wild salmon (n=17 383, Table 1) were dominated by 1SW (41.6 %) and 2SW (41.0
- 232 %) fish, while 3-5SW salmon constituted 17.4 % of the catch. Catches in May and June were dominated
- by MSW (2-5 SW; 82 %) salmon, while 1SW salmon were more commonly captured in July-September
- 234 (61 %). Catches of both 1SW and MSW salmon decreased during August.
- The catch per unit of effort (weekly CPUE) varied from 0 to 23.5, while the average CPUE of wild salmon (May-August) in 2011 (3.91) and 2012 (4.33) was similar (t-test, p=0.43; Table 1). Highest CPUE occurred in July and was lowest in May for both years. September was excluded from CPUE-analysis since very few fishers continued fishing in September, and thus few Atlantic salmon were caught (Table 1). CPUE varied among localities, with the highest values in mid-Troms (vest of Malangen/Målselv fjord system) and in Finnmark (Figure 1).
- 241

242 GAM-models (Målselv, Alta, Tana and Kola salmon)

The GAM-models of the weekly CPUE as a function of fishing location and the time in the fishing season for the four rivers Målselv, Alta, Tana and Kola, explained from 51 to 91 % of the variation in the CPUE data. The three-dimensional smoothing term (CoastX, CoastY, Time) was highly significant in all of the river-specific age group models (Table 5). Predicted values showed strong patterns with a clear peak

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in ICES Journal of Marine Science following peer review. The version of record Svenning et al Coastal migration patterns of the four largest Barents Sea Atlantic Salmon Stocks inferred using genetic stock identification methods. ICES JMarSci 2019 is available online at: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/advance-article/doi/10.1093/icesjms/fsz114/5522970

247 in catch close to the respective river mouths (Målselv, Alta and Tana) and increased catches of salmon 248 originating from Kola in the northeast (Figure 2). For all river systems, the GAM models indicated a 249 slightly earlier arrival of MSW salmon compared to 1SW fish. In general, the models indicated that all 250 salmon from the Målselv River arrived directly from the sea and relatively close to the Målselv River 251 mouth, with little evidence of any extensive movement along the coast. This was also partly true for 252 MSW Alta salmon, while 1SW Alta salmon were found to be more spatially spread-out with small local 253 peaks west and east of the Alta river (Figure 2). In contrast, River Tana salmon to migrate more 254 extensively along the coast both to the east and west of the Tana Fjord, although the CUPE values were 255 highest in areas closest to the Tana Fjord. Kola salmon showed limited coastal movements in North-256 Norwegian waters, with most salmon (> 90%) caught proximate to the Varanger Fjord, eastern 257 Finnmark, although some MSW fish were noted from Nordland and western Finnmark very early (mid-258 to late May) in the season.

259

260 Catches of Målselv salmon

261	MSW fish dominated Målselv salmon catches during May-June (523; 87.3 %), while 1SW fish were
262	more common from July to September (n=528; 66.7 %). Only MSW-salmon were captured in May,
263	while CPUE increased during late May and remained high until the first week of August and then
264	decreased strongly during mid to late August (Figure 2). Most 1SW salmon were caught in a limited
265	area located around outer coastal islands west of the Malangen Fjord and in coastal areas proximate
266	to the Målselv River (Figure 1, 2). Similar catch patterns were observed for MSW-salmon. In total,
267	more than 85 % of Målselv salmon were captured at sea less than 20 km from the river mouth, and
268	more than 91 % were caught along a rather restricted 60 km stretch of the nearly 950 km long
269	coastal line extending from southwestern Nordland to northeastern Finnmark (see Figure 1, 2).

270

271 Catches of Alta salmon

272 MSW Alta salmon dominated in catches during May-June (93.3 %), while 1SW and MSW-salmon 273 contributed equally to the catches from June to September (52.6 and 47.4 %, respectively; Table 4). 274 The CPUE of MSW Alta salmon increased in western Finnmark during the last week of May, increased 275 towards southwest in mid-June (e.g. Senja and southwards to Lofoten; Figure 1), and overall remained 276 high throughout July and most of August (Figure 2). CPUE of 1SW salmon was moderately high both in 277 western Finnmark and Troms counties from July until mid-August, with many 1SW Alta-salmon being 278 captured in the southwestern, western, and north-western coastal areas adjacent to the Alta Fjord. 279 The majority of Alta salmon were caught west of the Alta fjord and generally across a broader stretch 280 of the coast by comparison with Målselva salmon. In total, 45 % of Alta salmon were captured along a 281 60 km section of the coast proximate to the Alta River, while 15 % were captured more than 200 km 282 from the Alta fjord.

283

284 Catches of Tana salmon

285 MSW Tana-salmon were captured along the majority of coastal Troms and Finnmark counties in the 286 beginning of May, with CPUE increasing throughout May and remaining high in the outer coastal areas 287 of Troms and Finnmark throughout June until mid-July (Figure 2). CPUE decreased during the last half 288 of July, and in August only a few MSW salmon were caught in the North Norwegian coastal area. The 289 CPUE of 1SW salmon increased from the second half of June across a broad region stretching from 290 Lofoten, Nordland, in the southwest to Varanger in the east. The highest catches were recorded along 291 the outer coast of Troms and Finnmark in mid-July, before tapering off in early August. Although many 292 Tana salmon were caught close to the River Tana, with many captured in the Tana Fjord itself, a 293 relatively high fraction were caught both east and west of the Tana Fjord, and even in the same weeks. 294 In total 25 % of Tana salmon were captured more than 250 km east of Tana Fjord, and 40 % were 295 captured more than 300 km west of Tana fjord.

297 Catches of Kola salmon

298 MSW fish dominated in Kola catches in the May-June period (71.3 %) whereas 1SW fish dominated in

- 299 July-September (88.9 %). The relative abundance of Kola River salmon, as inferred from CPUE, was
- 300 close to zero throughout May and early June (Figure 2). From mid-June onwards, CPUE increased in
- 301 the Varangerfjord (Eastern Finnmark) in the first half of July, before decreasing in late July and August.

MSW salmon were present in the catches in the Varangerfjord from the beginning of May and increased in late May to a mid-July peak before tapering off to the point where they were absent from catches in August. The coastal exploitation pattern of MSW salmon followed a similar geographical pattern as that of the 1SW salmon, with higher CPUE being observed mostly in the Varangerfjord. Some catches of Kola salmon, especially MSW fish, were also recorded in the May-June period in Nordland and western Finnmark (Figure 2). In total, 92 % of Kola salmon were captured within the Varangerfjord,

i.e. within a radius of 20 km from the mid-Varangerfjord.

309

310

311 Discussion

312 Understanding ocean migrations of highly migratory species such as the Atlantic salmon is

313 challenging as inferences are usually determined from the capture of fish in pelagic research surveys

314 (e.g. Reddin and Shearer, 1987; Holm et al., 2000), from the distribution of tag recoveries from

- surveys or capture fisheries (Reddin et al., 2012; Jacobsen et al., 2012; Downie et al., 2018), or more
- recently, from biotelemetry methods (Crossin et al., 2014). The latter methods, however, are usually
- dependent upon the spatial and temporal distribution of fishing effort (Jacobsen et al., 2012), with
- 318 conclusions often based on a limited number of tag recaptures, frequently from only one or a few

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in ICES Journal of Marine Science following peer review. The version of record Svenning et al Coastal migration patterns of the four largest Barents Sea Atlantic Salmon Stocks inferred using genetic stock identification methods. ICES JMarSci 2019 is available online at: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/advance-article/doi/10.1093/icesjms/fsz114/5522970

319 populations (Ulvan et al., 2018). In this study, stock identification and relative abundance from CPUE data were used to examine stock-specific coastal migration patterns of four of the largest Atlantic 320 321 salmon populations contributing to the Barents Sea mixed-stock fishery, with coastal movements 322 inferred from over four thousand five hundred individual assignments. Further, sample fishing was 323 designed to cover most of the coastal areas along the North-Norwegian coast, and obtain detailed 324 CPUE information from each fisher included in the study with a more prolonged fishing period use as 325 compared to the ordinary fishing season. Approaches used here parallel studies that have examined 326 the ocean distribution and relative abundance of Chinook and sockeye salmon stocks along the west 327 coast of North America (e.g. Beacham et al., 2014; Bellinger et al., 2015; Satterthwaite et al., 2015;), 328 where in some situations the fishing season was also extended to cover a greater period of time 329 stocks could potentially migrate through the region (Satterthwaite et al., 2014). 330 Combining detailed temporal and spatial catch data, including detailed CPUE information, with a 331 newly developed high-resolution microsatellite genetic baseline for North Norwegian and Russian 332 origin Atlantic salmon (Ozerov et al., 2017) facilitated the development of stock-specific migration

models for the Målselv, Alta and Tana (Norway) and Kola River (Russia) Atlantic salmon populations.

334 The use of GSI methods combined with stock-specific CPUE data has been shown to provide

enhanced information over GSI alone in elucidating migratory patterns in situations where stock

origin and relative abundance data are available (Bellinger et al., 2015). Here the combined use of

337 techniques has provided more detailed understanding of both spatial and temporal migration

338 pattern than either GIS or stock-specific CPUE data could alone. Results showed a limited movement

along the North-Norwegian coast by returning salmon for the Målselv population prior to river entry,

340 with more extensive movement for fish originating from the River Alta and particularly from the

341 River Tana. Most of Kola salmon (> 90 %) were captured within the Varangerfjord, about 250 km

342 from the river mouth by coast, whereas some MSW fish were also recorded in Nordland and western

343 Finnmark.

344 There were also distinct age-specific return peaks for each population with MSW salmon dominating 345 catches earlier in the season (May – June) while 1SW fish were more common from July to 346 September. This is in accordance with several previous studies from Scotland (Shearer, 1992), 347 Norway (Svenning et al., 2017), and the Baltic Sea (Siira et al., 2009), showing a general tendency for 348 earlier coastal return of MSW versus 1SW salmon. While the recent study of Ulvan et al. (2018) found 349 a temporal difference in returns to River Alta, with MSW fish returning earlier than 1SW fish, they 350 found no significant differences in the spatial distribution of age-related recaptures. Ulvan et al. 351 (2018) relied recaptures obtained during the regulated fishing season, i.e. lasting two weeks in 352 Nordland, four to six weeks in Troms and up to eight weeks in Finnmark county (see Figure 1). As a result, the spatial fraction of MSW and 1SW salmon in coastal areas estimated by Ulvan et al. (2018) 353 354 may be less representative by comparison with our study where all fishers were given a special 355 licence to fishing from the first week of May until early September, i.e. for more than 17 weeks along 356 the whole North-Norwegian coast. Further, Ulvan et al. (2018) had no information on how many days 357 per week the different fishers actually fished, while each fisherman had to report daily-CPUE in our 358 study.

359

360 Atlantic salmon continue to be harvested at sea as a mixed-stock fishery along coastal regions of the 361 Troms and Finnmark counties in north Norway. Mixed stock migrations expose fish to common 362 exploitation risks irrespective of existing population-specific viabilities or risks of population collapse 363 such that the exploitation has the potential to differentially weaken populations (Griffiths et al. 2010) 364 because of among-stock differences in characteristics such as run timing (e.g., Hess et al., 2014). 365 Thus, it is important to understand the sequential movements of salmon as they migrate back to 366 their natal rivers, especially in areas with well developed interception fisheries. With improved 367 information mixed stock fisheries can avoid overharvesting smaller stocks, or those with existing conservation issues (e.g. Bradbury et al., 2015, 2016b) and aid the development of essentially single-368 369 stock fisheries as has been documented for the River Foyle, Ireland (Ensing et al., 2013).

15

371

372	unique to ocean fisheries for Atlantic salmon. GSI methods are becoming integrated into fisheries
373	management for other species, particularly Pacific salmon, where GSI results have been shown to be
374	consistent with those of conventional methods (e.g. coded-wire tags) for understanding run-timing
375	and estimating abundance (Hess et al., 2014; Beacham et al., 2014; Bellinger et al., 2015). Similarly,
376	use of GSI to examine Baltic Sea brown trout (Salmo trutta) dispersal and migration routes and rates
377	has been deemed essential for understanding of migration patterns and estimating exploitation rates
378	for fisheries management purposes (Östergren et al., 2016).
379	
380	Temporal regulations aimed at reducing fishing pressure on wild salmon have exploited knowledge of
381	differential return time in an attempt to optimize management (e.g. Siira et al., 2009). Yet, relatively
382	little effort has been directed toward understanding the ocean migrations of Atlantic salmon
383	originating from north Norway and Russia, particularly with respect to the timing and nature of their
384	coastal movements as they return from the broad areas of the north Atlantic known to be used by
385	Norwegian origin Atlantic salmon, e.g. East and West Greenland (Reddin et al., 2012), Icelandic
386	waters (Olafsson et al., 2016), the Norwegian Sea (Holm et al., 2000; Jacobsen et al., 2001, 2012) and
387	high latitude areas in the Eastern Barents Sea and north to Svalbard (Svenning and Ozerov, 2018). An
388	exception is the recent study of Strøm et al. (2018) that followed the entire ocean migration of six
389	post-spawned adult Atlantic salmon from the River Alta based on high-resolution light-based
390	geolocation archival tags.
391	
392	Recaptures of adult salmon tagged as out-migrating hatchery-reared smolts from the several
393	Norwegian rivers have indicated that Atlantic salmon approach the coast from both north and south
394	of their natal rivers (Hansen et al., 1993; Ulvan et al., 2018). Despite the wide geographical dispersion

The requirement to better understand and resolve stock-specific migration patterns, however, is not

of tag recaptures, the majority of fish in the Ulvan et al. (2018) study were caught in areas proximate

396 to the Alta Fjord, particularly the larger MSW fish. Their findings parallel results from the current 397 study that noted the return migration of 1SW Alta Atlantic salmon extended over hundreds of 398 kilometres of coastline while MSW Alta Atlantic salmon approached the coast over a more restricted 399 area. Directed, non-random patterns of movement have been noted in other migratory species, 400 including chum salmon, Onchorhynchus keta, (Friedland et al., 2001) and striped bass, Morone 401 saxatilis (Callihan et al., 2015). Although older evidence for Atlantic salmon suggests fish may first 402 encounter the coast as much as a 1000 km from their river of origin, location of their natal river by 403 trial and error has been ruled out on the basis of migration speeds and abilities to keep an apparent 404 constant compass course close to the surface (see Hansen et al., 1993). The directed nature of 405 returning migrations is similarly highlighted by our CPUE data, with the highest CPUE values of more 406 than 1500 salmon genetically identified as originating from the Alta River also occurring in areas 407 close to their natal river.

408

409 Salmon identified as originating from the River Tana returned over a broad expanse of the North-410 Norwegian coast although the CUPE values were highest in areas closest to the Tana Fjord. The 411 greater dispersion of returning Tana salmon may, in part, be due to the large diversity inherent within the River Tana stock complex (Vähä et al., 2017; Erkinaro et al., 2019). Several studies have 412 413 concluded that both genetics and environment influenced migration timing (see Jonsson et al., 2007 414 and references therein), and in the Baltic, Siira et al. (2009) noted large variations in migration 415 patterns and run timing between sea age groups, stock components and among and within regions. 416 Thus, distinct main stem and tributary populations within the Tana system may reflect variations in 417 life-history attributes, including homeward migration patterns. This would be consistent with other 418 studies that have noted salmon of differing sea-ages can use variable oceanic areas that result in fish returning at different times and from different directions (Spares et al., 2007; Dadswell et al., 2010; 419 420 Ulvan et al., 2018). Variable stock-specific migrations may, therefore, contribute to differences in

growth, survival and hence productivity among stocks as noted for populations of sockeye salmon
(*Oncorhynchus nerka*) (Beacham et al., 2014).

423

424 Understanding temporal patterns of return migrations of differing sea-age classes could assist in 425 managing fisheries to protect the important MSW component. For those populations where MSW 426 are caught earlier in the season, delaying the opening dates of coastal fisheries has the potential to 427 reduce the interception of the larger fish, allowing greater opportunities for them to return to their 428 natal streams. As studies have shown, large dominant MSW salmon have higher reproductive success 429 than smaller conspecifics (Grant et al., 2003) and are consequently more important for the determination of overall population abundance. The strategy was implemented in Newfoundland to 430 431 reduce the interception of MSW salmon in commercial fisheries with desired effect (O'Connell et al., 432 1992). Similarly, in the River Foyle, Ireland, understanding derived from GSI has been used to validate 433 fisheries management action plans designed to transform mixed-stock to single-stock fisheries 434 (Ensing et al., 2013), suggesting accurate and precise management rules can be implemented at local 435 scales provided the sort of stock-specific route and timing information derivable from GSI studies is 436 available. 437 438 The ability to use genetic stock identification (GSI) procedures to accurately identify river-specific 439 contributions in mixed-stock fisheries is dependent upon an adequate genetic baseline and sufficient 440 divergence among populations of interest. In this study, the Kola, Tana, Alta and Målselv populations 441 could reliably be distinguished owing to their high GSI accuracy (Ozerov et al., 2017). Because of the 442 high GSI accuracy, unsampled (ghost) populations (Bradbury et al., 2015) that were not included within the existing baseline are unlikely to have influenced our results. Therefore, based on the 443 444 spatial distribution of CPUE of Alta and Målselv salmon, it is doubtful that these stocks are entering

445 Russian waters east of the Varangerfjord, while it is more likely that salmon originating from the

446 River Tana will be found in this area. Salmon fisheries in Russian waters of the Barents Sea are

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in ICES Journal of Marine Science following peer review. The version of record Svenning et al Coastal migration patterns of the four largest Barents Sea Atlantic Salmon Stocks inferred using genetic stock identification methods. ICES JMarSci 2019 is available online at: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/advance-article/doi/10.1093/icesjms/fsz114/5522970

prohibited and the lack of corresponding coastal fishery samples from Russian waters precludedconfirmation of Tana (or other) salmon in this area.

449

450	The understanding of the timing and movements of these four Barents Sea salmon stocks was
451	derived from two years of data, but as noted by Satterthwaite et al. (2014) for Chinook salmon, more
452	complex patterns may exist had studies continued over a longer period of years, particularly if
453	oceanic climate conditions differed substantially among years. Nevertheless, this study provides an
454	example of how traditional catch and effort information may be complemented by genetic methods
455	to construct a detailed understanding of spatial and temporal changes in catch composition and
456	hence migration patterns in a mixed-stock coastal Atlantic salmon fishery. Improved spatial and
457	temporal resolution in understanding the pattern of arrival timing provides more precise information
458	that could aid in the design of more informed regulatory regimes for the management and
459	conservation of Atlantic salmon populations in the Barents Sea. Further refinement of genetic
460	approaches, such as use of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; Bourett et al., 2013; Ozerov et
461	al., 2013), or the application of large sequenced microsatellite panels (Bradbury et al., 2018) and the
462	inclusion of additional contributory populations in the genetic baseline would add to the usefulness
463	of the approach applied here to the conservation and management of these important northern
464	Atlantic salmon populations.
465	

466 Acknowledgement

We acknowledge the Atlantic salmon fishing organizations in Nordland, Troms and Finnmark
counties, and are especially grateful to the 58 fishers who collected more than 17 000 wild adult
Atlantic salmon along the North-Norwegian coast. We also acknowledge Trond Johansen for
help with the figures. The study received financial support from the Kolarctic ENPI CBC project KOI
97, and the Norwegian Research Council (244086/E50).

472

473 References

- Anon. 2018. Status of wild Atlantic salmon in Norway 2018. Report from the Norwegian Scientific
 Advisory Committee for Atlantic Salmon. Appendix 11d. 347 pp (in Norwegian).
 https://brage.bibsys.no/xmlui/handle/11250/2503390
- Bakshtansky, E. L., and Nesterov, V. D. 1973. Some data to evaluate the impact of foreign fisheries on
 Atlantic salmon stocks. Journal "Rybnoe khozjaistvo", 7: 18-21. (in Russian).

Beacham, T. D., Candy, J. R., McIntosh, B., MacConnachie, C., Tabata, A., Kaukinen, K., Deng, L. T. et al.
2005. Estimation of stock composition and individual identification of sockeye salmon on a Pacific Rim
basis using microsatellite and major histocompatibility complex variation. Transactions of the
American Fisheries Society, 134: 1124–1146.

- Beacham, T. D., Cox-Rogers, S., MacConnachie, C., McIntosh, B., and Wallace, C.G. 2014. Population
 structure and run timing of sockeye salmon in the Skeena River, British Columbia. North American
 Journal of Fisheries Management, 34: 335–349.
- Bellinger, M. R., Banks, M. A., Bates, S. J., Crandall, E. D., Garza, J. C., Sylvia, G., and Lawson, P. W. 2015.
 Geo-referenced, abundance calibrated ocean distribution of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) stocks across the West coast of North America. PLoS ONE, 10: e0131276-25.
- Bourret, V., Kent, M. P., Primmer, C. R., Vasemagi, A., Karlsson, S., Hindar, K., Lien, S. et al. 2013. SNParray reveals genome-wide patterns of geographical and potential adaptive divergence across the
 natural range of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Molecular Ecology, 22(3): 532–551.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12003
- Bradbury, I. R., Hamilton, L. C., Rafferty, S., Meerburg, D., Poole, R., Dempson, J. B., Robertson, M. J. et
 al. 2015. Genetic evidence of local exploitation of Atlantic salmon in a coastal subsistence fishery in
 the Northwest Atlantic. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 72: 83-95.
- Bradbury, I. R., Hamilton, L. C., Sheehan, T. F., Chaput, G. Robertson, M. J., Dempson, J. B., Reddin, D
 et al. 2016a. Genetic mixed-stock analysis disentangles spatial and temporal variation in composition
 of the West Greenland Atlantic salmon fishery. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 73: 2311-2321.
- Bradbury, I. R., Hamilton, L. C., Chaput, G., Robertson, M. J., Goraguer, H., Walsh, A., Morris, V. et al.
 2016b. Genetic mixed stock analysis of an interceptory fishery Atlantic salmon fishery in the Northwest
 Atlantic. Fisheries Research, 174: 234-244.
- Bradbury, I. R., Wring, B. F., Watson, B., Paterson, I., Horne, J., Beiko, R., Lehmert, S. J. et al. 2018.
 Genotyping-by-sequencing of genome-wide microsatellite loci reveals fine-scale harvest composition
 in a coastal Atlantic salmon fishery. Evolutionary Applications, 11: 918-930.
- Callihan, J. L, Harris, J. E, and Hightower, J. E. 2015. Coastal Migration and Homing of Roanoke River
 Striped Bass. Marine and Coastal Fisheries: Dynamics, Management, and Ecosystem Science, 7: 301315.
- Clemento, A.J., Crandall, E.D., Garza, J.C., and Anderson, E.C. 2014. Evaluation of a single nucleotide
 polymorphism baselien for genetic stock indenificantion of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus* tshawytcha) in the California Current large marine ecosystem. Fisheries Bulletin. 112:112-130.

511 Crossin, G. T., Cooke, S. J., Goldbogen, J. A., and Phillips, R. A. 2014. Tracking fitness in marine 512 vertebrates: current knowledge and opportunities for future research. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 513 496: 1-17 514 Crozier, W. W., Schön, P.-J., Chaput, G. J., Potter, E. C. E., Maoiléidigh, N. Ó., and MacLean, J. C. 2004. 515 Managing Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in the mixed stock environment: challenges and 516 considerations. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 61, 1344-1358.

517 Dadswell, M. J., Spares, A. D., Reader, J. M., and Stokesbury, M. J. W. 2010. The North Atlantic subpolar
518 gyre and the marine migration of Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*: the 'Merry-Go-Round' hypothesis.
519 Journal of Fish Biology, 77: 435–467.

Downie, H., Hanson, N., Smith, G. W, Middlemas, S. J., Anderson, J., Tulett, D., and Anderson, H. 2018.
Using historic tag data to infer the geographic range of salmon river stocks likely to be taken by a
coastal fishery. Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science Vol 9 No 6, doi: 10.7489/12095-1

- Ellis, J. S., Gilbey, J., Armstrong, A., Balstad, T., Cauwelier, E., Cherbonnel, C., Consuegra, S. et al. 2011.
 Microsatellite standardization and evaluation of genotyping error in a large multipartner research
 programme for conservation of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Genetica, 139: 353–367.
- Ensing, D., Crozier, W.W., Boylan, P., O'Maoléidigh, N., and McGinnity, P. 2013. An analysis of genetic
 stock identification on a small geographical scale using microsatellite markers, and its application in
 the management of a mixed-stock fishery for Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* in Ireland. *Journal of Fish Biology*. 82, 2080–2094
- 530 Friedland, K. D., Walker, R. V., Davis, N. D., Myers, K. W., Boehlert, G. W., Urawa, S., and Ueno, Y. 2001.
- 531 Open-ocean orientation and return migration routes of chum salmon based on temperature data from 532 data storage tags. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 216: 235-252.
- García de Leániz, C., Fleming, I. A., Einum, S., Verspoor, E., Jordan, W. C., Consuegra, S., Aubin-Horth,
 N. et al. 2007. A critical review of adaptive genetic variation in Atlantic salmon: implications for
 conservation. Biological Reviews, 82, 173-211.
- Gilbey, J., Wennevik, V., Bradbury, I. R., Fiske, P., Hansen, L. P., Jacobsen, J. A., and Potter, T. 2017.
 Genetic stock identification of Atlantic salmon caught in the Faroese fishery. Fisheries Research, 187:
 110-119.
- Grant, D., Dodson, J. J., and Bernatchez, L. 2003. Differential reproductive success and heritability of
 alternative reproductive tactics in wild Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Evolution, 57:1133-1141.
- Griffiths, A.M., Machado-Schiaffino, G., Dillane, E., Coughlan, J., Horreo, J.L., Bowkett, A.E., Minting, P.
 et al. 2010. Genetic stock identification of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) populations in the southern
 part of the European range. BMC Genetics. 11:31
- Hansen, L. P., Jonsson, N., and Jonsson, B. 1993. Oceanic migration of homing Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. Animal Behaviour 45, 927–941.
- Hess, J.E., Whiteaker, J.M., Fryer, J.K., and Narum, S.R. 2014. Monitoring Stock-Specific Abundance,
 Run Timing, and Straying of Chinook Salmon in the Columbia River Using Genetic Stock Identification
 (GSI), North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 34: 184-201.
- Holm, M., Holst, J. C., and Hansen, L. P. 2000. Spatial and temporal distribution of post-smolts of
 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in the Norwegian Sea and adjacent areas. ICES Journal of Marine
 Science, 57: 955–964.
- ICES. 2018. Report of the Working Group on North Atlantic Salmon (WGNAS), 4-13 April 2018. ICES CM
 2018/ACOM:21, Woods Hole, MA, USA, 386 pp.

- Jacobsen, J. A., Lund, R. A., Hansen, L. P., and O'Maoile'idigh, N. 2001. Seasonal differences in the origin of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in the Norwegian Sea based on estimates from age structures and tag recaptures. Fisheries Research, 52: 169–177.
- Jacobsen, J. A., Hansen, L. P., Bakkestuen, V., Halvorsen, R., Reddin, D. G., White, J., O' Maoiléidigh, N.
 et al. 2012. Distribution by origin and sea age of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in the sea around the
 Faroe Islands based on analysis of historical tag recoveries. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 69: 1598–
 1608.
- 561 Jeffery, N.W., Wringe, B.F., McBride, M.C., Hamilton, L.C., Stanley, R.R.E., Bernatchez, L., Kent, M., et 562 al, 2018. Range-wide regional assignment of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) using genome wide single-563 nucleotide polymorphisms. Fisheries Research. 206:163-175.
- Jonsson, B., Jonsson, N., and Hansen, L. P. 2007. Factors affecting river entry of adult Atlantic salmon in a small river. Journal of Fish Biology, 71: 943-956.
- Kalinowski, S. T., Manlove, K. R., and Taper, M. L. 2008. ONCOR: a computer program for genetic stock
 identification, v.2. Available from <u>http://www.montana.edu/kalinowski/Software/ONCOR.htm</u>.
- King, T. L., Verspoor, E., Spindle, A. P., Gross, R., Phillips, R. B., Koljonen, M-L., Sanchez, J. A., and
 Morrison, C. L. 2007. Biodiversity and population structure. *In* The Atlantic Salmon: Genetics,
 Conservation and Management, pp. 117-166. Ed. by E. Verspoor, L. Stradmeyer, and J. Nielsen.
 Blackwell Publishing Ltd. 520 pp.
- 572 Koljonen, M. L., Pella, J. J., and Masuda, M. 2005. Classical individual assignments versus mixture 573 modeling to estimate stock proportions in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) catches from DNA 574 microsatellite data. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 62: 2143–2158.
- 575 Garcia de Leaniz, C., Fleming, I. A., Einum, S., Verspoor, E., Jordan, W. C., Consuegra, S., Aubin-Horth, 576 N., et al. 2007. A critical review of adaptive genetic variation in Atlantic salmon: implications for 577 conservation. Biological Reviews, 82: 173–211.
- Lewis, S. M., and Raftery, A. E. 1997. Estimating Bayes factors via posterior simulation with the LaplaceMetropolis estimator. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 92: 648-655.
- 580 Mills, D. 1989. Ecology and management of Atlantic salmon. Chapman and Hall, London/New York.
- Neaves, P. I., C. G. Wallace, J. R. Candy, and T. D. Beacham. 2005. CBayes: Computer program for mixed
 stock analysis of allelic data. Version v5.01. Free program distributed by the authors over the internet
 link: https://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/facilities-installations/pbs-sbp/mgl-lgm/apps/index eng.html (Accessed October 12, 2012).
- 585 O'Connell, M. F., Dempson, J. B., and Reddin, D. G. 1992. Evaluation of the impacts of major 586 management changes in the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) fisheries of Newfoundland and Labrador, 587 Canada, 1984-1988. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 49: 69–87.
- Olafsson, K., Einarsson, S. M., Gilbey, J., Pampoulie, C., Hreggvidsson, G. O., Hjorleifsdottir, S., and
 Gudjonsson, S. 2016. Origin of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) at sea in Icelandic waters. ICES Journal of
 Marine Science, 73: 1525-1532.
- Östergren, J., Nilsson, J., Lndquist, H., Dannevitz, J., and Palm, S. 2016. Genetic baseline for
 conservation and management of sea trout in the northern Baltic Sea. Conservation genetics, 17 (1):
 177-191.
- 594

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in ICES Journal of Marine Science following peer review. The version of record Svenning et al Coastal migration patterns of the four largest Barents Sea Atlantic Salmon Stocks inferred using genetic stock identification methods. ICES JMarSci 2019 is available online at: https://academic.oup.com/icesjms/advance-article/doi/10.1093/icesjms/fsz114/5522970

595 Ozerov, M., Vasemägi, A., Wennevik, V., Diaz-Fernandez, R., Kent M., et al. 2013. Finding markers that
 596 make a difference: DNA pooling and SNP-arrays identify population informative markers for Genetic
 597 Stock Identification. PLoS One 8(12): e82434.

598 Ozerov, M., Vähä, J-P., Wennevik, V., Niemelä, E., Svenning, M-A., Prusov, S., Fernandez, R. D. et al.
599 2017. Comprehensive microsatellite baseline for genetic stock identification of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in northernmost Europe. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 74: 2159-2169.

- 601 Park, S. D. E. 2001. Trypanotolerance in West African cattle and the population genetic effects of 602 selection. PhD thesis. University of Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.
- 603 Pella, J., and Masuda, M. 2001. Bayesian methods for analysis of stock mixtures from genetic 604 characters. Fishery Bulletin, 99: 151–167.
- 605 R Development Core Team, 2011. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R 606 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Reddin, D. G., Shearer, W. M., and Dadswell, M. J. 1987. Sea-surface temperature and distribution of
Atlantic salmon in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean. Common Strategies of Anadromous and
Catadromous Fishes. American Fisheries Society Symposium, 1: 262-275.

- 610 Reddin, D. G., Hansen, L. P., Bakkestuen, V., Russel, I., White, J., Potter, E. C. E., Dempson, J. B. et al.
- 611 2012. Distribution and biological characteristics of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) at Greenland based
- on the analysis of historical tag recoveries. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 69: 1589–1597.

Satterthwaite, W. H., Mohr, M. S., O'Farrell, M. R., Anderson, E. C., Banks, M. A., Bates, S. J., Bellinger,
M. R. et al. 2014. Use of genetic stock identification data for comparison of the ocean spatial
distribution, size at age, and fishery exposure of an untagged stock and its indicator: California Coastal
versus Klamath River Chinook salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 143: 117–133.
Shearer, W. M. 1992. The Atlantic Salmon: Natural History, Exploitation and Future Management.
Fishing News Books, Oxford.

- Siira, A., J. Erkinaro, P. Suuronen, and P. Jounela. 2009. Run timing and migration routes of returning
 Atlantic salmon in the Northern Baltic Sea; implications for fisheries management. Fisheries
 Management and Ecology, 16: 177–190.
- Spares, A. D., Reader, J. M., Stokesbury, M. J. W., McDermott, T., Zikovsky, L., Avery, T. S., and Dadswell,
 M. J. 2007. Inferring marine distribution of Canadian and Irish Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in the
 North Atlantic Ocean from tissue concentrations of bioaccumulated caesium-137. ICES Journal of
 Marine Science, 64: 394–404.
- 526 Strøm, J. F., Thorstad, E. B., Hedger, R. D., and Rikardsen, R. H. 2018. Revealing the full ocean migration 527 of individual Atlantic salmon. Animal Biotelemetry, 6(2): 1-16.

Svenning, M. A., and Prusov, S. 2011. Atlantic Salmon. *In* The Barents Sea. Ecosystem, Resources,
Management. Half a century of Russian-Norwegian cooperation, pp. 363-372. Ed. by T. Jakobsen, T.
and, V. K. Ozhigin. Tapir Akademisk, Trondheim, Norway. 825 pp.

Svenning, M. A., Falkegård, M., Fauchald, P., Yoccoz, N., Niemelä, E., Vähä, J-P., Ozerov, M. et al. 2014.
Region- and stock-specific catch and migration models of Barents Sea salmon. Report ENPI CBC –
Kolarctic salmon project (KO197), 95 pp.

634 <u>https://prosjekt.fylkesmannen.no/Kolarcticsalmon/Documents/Reports/Scientific-reports/</u> (Report –

635 working paper on exploitation and migration models)

- Svenning, M. A., Lamberg, A., Dempson, B., Strand, R., Hanssen, Ø. K., and Fauchald, P. 2016. Incidence
 and timing of wild and escaped farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in Norwegian rivers inferred from
 video surveillance monitoring. Ecology of Freshwater Fish. doi: 10.1111/eff.12280.
- Svenning, M. A., and Ozerov, M. 2018. Adult Atlantic salmon captured in Adventfjord, Svalbard. Where
 are their origin rivers? Final report (2011-2016), Svalbard Environmental Protection Fund. NINA report
 1496, 20 pp (in Norwegian). https://brage.bibsys.no/xmlui/handle/11250/2493384
- Ulvan, E. M., Foldvik, A., Jensen, A. J., Finstad, B., Thorstad, E. B., Rikardsen, A. H., and Næsje, T. F.
 2018. Return migration of adult Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) to northern Norway. ICES Journal of
 Marine Science, 75: 653–661.
- Vähä, J.-P., Erkinaro, J., Niemelä, E., and Primmer, C. R. 2007. Life-history and habitat features influence
 the within-river genetic structure of Atlantic salmon. Molecular Ecology, 16: 2638-2654.
- Vähä, J.-P., Erkinaro, J., Niemelä, E., Primmer, C.R. 2008. Temporally stable genetic structure and low
 migration in an Atlantic salmon population complex: implications for conservation and management.
 Evolutionary Applications, 1: 137-154.
- Vähä, J.-P., Erkinaro, J., Niemelä, E., Primmer, C. R., Saloniemi, I., Johansen, M., Svenning, M.-A. et al.
 2011. Temporally stable population-specific differences in run timing of one-sea-winter Atlantic
 salmon returning to a large river system. Evolutionary Applications, 4: 39-53.
- 653 Vähä, J.-P., Wennevik, V., Ozerov, M., Diaz Fernandez, R., Unneland, L., Haapanen, K., Niemelä, E., 654 Svenning, M.S., Falkegård, M., Prusov, S., Lyzhov, I., Rysakova, K., Kalske, T., Christiansen, B., 655 Ustyuzhinsky, G. 2014. Genetic structure of Atlantic salmon in the Barents region and genetic stock 656 identification of coastal fishery catches from Norway and Russia. Kolarctic ENPI CBC – Kolarctic salmon 657 (KO197) Genetireport, project 95 pp., 658 https://prosjekt.fylkesmannen.no/Documents/Kolarctic%20salmon/Dokument/Scientific%20reports/
- 659 Genetic%20REPORT_FINAL%2023%204%202014.pdf
- Vähä, J.-P, Erkinaro, J., Falkegård, M., Orell, P., and Niemelä, E. 2017. Genetic stock identification of
 Atlantic salmon and its evaluation in a large population complex. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and
 Aquatic Sciences, 74: 327-338.
- Wood, S.N. 2006. Generalized additive models: An introduction with R. Chapman and Hall/CRC, BocaRaton, US.
- Zubchenko, A. V., Loenko A. A., Popov N. G., Antonova P., Valetov V. A. 1994. Fishery for and status of
 Atlantic salmon stocks in north-west Russia in 1994. ICES CM: M:40.
- Zubchenko, A. V., and Prusov S. V. 2011. Inventory of Salmon Rivers of Murmansk Region. Barents Sea
 basin, PINRO Press, Murmansk.

Table 1 Number and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of wild Atlantic salmon captured monthly in the
 extended coastal fishery in Northern Norway in 2011 and 2012, and the numbers of Atlantic salmon
 genotyped. CPUE in September was not calculated, since only five localities were fished in this

673 month, and only for a few days.

674

Year	Wild adult salmon	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Total
	# salmon captured	783	2 539	3 435	511	9	7 277
2011	CPUE	1.55	4.68	7.88	2.22		3.91
	# salmon genotyped	757	2 391	2 958	479	4	6 589
	# salmon captured	381	3 989	4 335	1 353	48	10 106
2012	CPUE	0.85	6.68	7.46	2.51		4.33
	# salmon genotyped	368	3 750	4 094	1 254	41	9 507
	# salmon captured	1 164	6 528	7 770	1 864	57	17 383
Total	CPUE	1.16	5.82	7.64	2.40		4.15
	# salmon genotyped	1 125	6 141	7 052	1 733	45	16 096

675

676

678 **Table 2** Coastal fishery samples arranged in 88 subsets for GSI analyses based on their spatial and

679 temporal distribution (1: May-June; 2: July-August). Samples with low number of individuals (*) were

680 combined within a year and region.

6	Q	1
υ	ο	т.

	Year 2011			20	12	
Region	Period	1	2	1	2	TOTAL
name						
1 - Sør-Varanger - East		295	96	407	117	915
2 - Sør-Varanger - West		657	567	548	651	2423
3 - Nesseby - Fjord		151	146	159	234	690
4 - Vadsø		130	247	180	274	831
5 - Vardø		50	91	47	81	269
6 - Båtsfjord-Berlevåg		90	51	105	86	332
7 - Tana		80	6*	78	179	343
8 - Gamvik		131	253	6*	12	402
9 - Lebesby		168	229	129	539	1065
10 - Nordkapp – Outer		100	85	116	425	726
11 - Nordkapp – Inner		15	8*	233	192	448
12 – Porsanger				26	327	353
13 – Måsøy		9*	46	147	122	324
14 - Kvalsund-Hammerfe	st	81	73	38	59	251
15 – Hasvik		108	45	123	30	306
16 — Loppa		83	126	139	232	580
17 – Alta		44	254	123	202	623
18 - NTroms – Inner		187	287	236	420	1130
19 - NTroms – Outer				86	144	230
20 - STroms – North ou	t	281	166	162	155	764
21 - STroms – Middle o	ut	313	481	480	624	1898
22 - STroms – Middle in	l	79	86	158	113	436
23 - Nordland - North		59	63	108	44	274
24 - Nordland - South		37	35	284	127	483
TOTAL		3148	3441	4118	5389	16096

- 683 **Table 3**. GSI of tagged adult salmon, originating from the River Alta and caught in North-Norwegian
- 684 coastal fisheries in 2011

ID	Assigned to	р	Catch region	Date of catch	Tag ID
Kola201104208	R. Alta	1.000	18 - NTroms – Inner	6.7.2011	NL-051256
Kola201104803	R. Alta	0.593	18 - NTroms – Inner	19.7.2011	NK-046305
Kola201105386	R. Alta	0.999	10 - Nordkapp – Outer	19.7.2011	NL-021595
Kola201106148	R. Alta	0.999	22 - STroms – Middle in	4.7.2011	NL-032207
Kola201106286	R. Manndalselva	0.928	22 - STroms – Middle in	12.7.2011	NL-23355
Kola201106290	R. Alta	0.996	22 - STroms – Middle in	13.7.2011	NL-001633
Kola201106304	R. Alta	0.996	22 - STroms – Middle in	19.7.2011	NK-099517
Kola201106435	R. Alta	0.933	14 - Kvalsund-Hammerfest	26.7.2011	NL-031834
Kola201106497	R. Klokkarelv	0.371	15 - Hasvik	27.7.2011	NK-053352
Kola201106790	R. Alta	1.000	22 - STroms – Middle in	26.7.2011	NL-022404
Kola201106833	R. Alta	0.998	22 - STroms – Middle in	27.7.2011	NK-099170
Kola201107005	R. Skøelv	0.422	21 - STroms – Middle out	28.7.2011	NL-24089
Kola201107075	R. Alta	1.000	18 - NTroms – Inner	2.8.2011	NL-029309
Kola201107671	R. Alta	1.000	17 - Alta	15.7.2011	NL-030938
Kola201107688	R. Alta	0.998	17 - Alta	19.7.2011	NL-097074
Kola201107693	R. Alta	1.000	17 - Alta	20.7.2011	NL-030889
Kola201107808	R. Alta	0.999	17 - Alta	3.8.2011	NK-042475
Kola201107841	R. Alta	1.000	17 - Alta	29.7.2011	NK-044284
Kola201108212	R. Alta	0.999	17 - Alta	6.7.2011	NL-029568
Kola201108280	R. Alta	1.000	17 - Alta	8.8.2011	NL-030511
Kola201108281	R. Alta	1.000	17 - Alta	8.8.2011	NL-000872
Kola201108286	R. Alta	1.000	17 - Alta	9.8.2011	NK-097353
Kola201105064	R. Alta	1.000	16 - Loppa	6.7.2011	NL-027274
Kola201107788	R. Alta	0.996	17 - Alta	26.7.2011	NL-028834
Kola201107061	R. Salangsvassdraget	0.954	18 - NTroms – Inner	28.7.2011	NL-027515
Kola201107859	R. Alta	1.000	17 - Alta	12.7.2011	NL-027909
Kola201106724	R. Alta	0.970	22 - STroms – Middle in	13.7.2011	NL-028865

Table 4 Number of wild Atlantic salmon captured in coastal areas in Northern Norway in 2011 and

689 2012 assigned to the Målselv, Alta, Tana and Kola rivers with an assignment probability threshold p ≥
690 0.7.

			Målselv	,		Alta			Tana			Kola			Total	
		1SW	MSW	Total	1SW	MSW	Total	1SW	MSW	Total	1SW	MSW	Total	1SW	MSW	Total
	May-Jun	18	223	241	14	69	83	43	275	318	37	109	146	112	676	788
2 011	Jul-Sep	176	82	258	337	212	549	147	52	199	144	15	159	804	361	1 165
	Total	194	305	499	351	281	632	190	327	517	181	124	305	916	1 037	1 953
	May-Jun	54	264	318	6	188	194	136	313	449	35	84	119	231	849	1 080
2 012	Jul-Sep	314	159	473	240	312	552	223	100	323	125	21	146	902	592	1 494
	Total	368	423	791	246	500	746	359	413	772	160	105	265	1 133	1 441	2 574
Total	May-Jun	72	487	559	20	257	277	179	588	767	72	193	265	343	1 525	1 868
	Jul-Sep	490	241	731	577	524	1 101	370	152	522	269	36	305	1 706	953	2 659
	Total	562	728	1 290	597	781	1 378	549	740	1 289	341	229	570	2 049	2 478	4 527

691

687

694	Table 5 . Summary of GAM results modeling the weekly CPUE of wild Atlantic salmon as a function of
695	the fishing location (CoastX, CoastY) and the time in the fishing season (Time). Separate models are
696	shown for each river system and two sea-age-groups. Fishing location and time were modeled with a
697	three-dimensional smooth function (s(CoastX, CoastY, Time)). CPUE was modeled with a negative
698	binomial distribution with a log-link function. Sample size was 1176 observations (Atlantic salmon)
699	for each model.

River origin	Age- group	Estimated degrees of freedom	Estimated residual degrees of freedom	Chi- square	Ρ	Deviance explained (%)
Målselv	1 SW	15.7	16.6	277.2	<0.0001	87.2
	MSW	28.7	33.4	348.6	<0.0001	91.6
A +->	1 SW	28.0	33.7	336.3	< 0.0001	75.6
Alld	MSW	39.8	47.7	589.7	< 0.0001	76.3
Tana	1 SW	29.3	35.6	250.3	< 0.0001	55.8
Idild	MSW	38.9	47.1	368.9	< 0.0001	51.7
Kala	1 SW	9.0	9.0	150.1	< 0.0001	82.5
NUId	MSW	28.3	34.2	240.4	< 0.0001	69.7



Figure 1. Map showing the outer Norwegian coast line (stipple line), the 58 fishing locations (triangle dots), and the four rivers (Målselv, Alta, Tana, and Kola) featured in this study.



Figure 2. Predicted CPUE by week (*z*-axis) of 1SW and MSW wild Atlantic salmon from Målselv, Alta, Tana, and Kola Rivers along 950 km of the North-Norwegian coast (*x*-axis) from South-western Nordland to Eastern Finnmark (see Figure 1) from early May to late August (*y*-axis). The coastal study area corresponds to the dashed line shown on the map in Figure 1. The location of the river mouths0along the coast (*x*-axis) is Målselv, 260 km; Alta, 480 km; Tana, 760 km. The Russian (Kola and White Sea) rivers are found outside the range of the *x*-axis (i.e. outside the range of the coastal fishing locations).