- 1 Failure to coordinate management in transboundary populations hinders the
- 2 achievement of national management goals: the case of wolverines in
- 3 Scandinavia
- 4
- 5 Vincenzo Gervasi (Corresponding author)
- 6 CEFE, CNRS, University of Montpellier, University Paul Valéry Montpellier 3, EPHE, IRD,
- 7 Montpellier, France
- 8 Ph.: +33 467613314 Email: vincent.gervasi@gmail.com
- 9

10 John D. C. Linnell

- 11 Norwegian Institute for Nature Research
- 12 PO Box 5685 Torgard, NO-7485 Trondheim, Norway
- 13

14 Henrik Brøseth

- 15 Norwegian Institute for Nature Research
- 16 PO Box 5685 Torgard, NO-7485 Trondheim, Norway
- 17
- 18 Olivier Gimenez
- 19 Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive
- 20 UMR 5175, Campus CNRS, 1919 Route de Mende, F-34293 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

21

- 22 **Running title:** Compensatory immigration in transboundary populations
- Word count: Total 6998; Summary 349; Main text 5554; References 1089; Tables 269; Figure
- 24 legends 318.
- 25 **N. of tables**: 2

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

N. of figures: 7

N. of references: 40

28 Abstract

Large carnivores are expanding in Europe, and their return is associated with conflicts
 that often result in policies to regulate their population size through culling. Being wide ranging species, their populations are often distributed across several jurisdictions, which
 may vary in the extent to which they use lethal control. This creates the conditions for the
 establishment of source-sink dynamics across borders, which may frustrate the ability of
 countries to reach their respective management objectives.

- 2. To explore the consequences of this issue, we constructed a vec-permutation projection model, applied to the case of wolverines in south-central Scandinavia, shared between Norway (where they are culled) and Sweden (where they are protected). We evaluated the effect of compensatory immigration on wolverine population growth rates, and if the effect was influenced by the distance to the national border. We assessed to what extent compensatory immigration had an influence on the number of removals needed to keep the population at a given growth rate.
- 42 3. In Norway the model estimated a stable trend, whereas in Sweden it produced a 10%
- 43 annual increase. The effect of compensatory immigration corresponded to a 0.02
- 44 reduction in population growth rate in Sweden and to a similar increase in Norway. This
- 45 effect was stronger closer to the Norwegian-Swedish border, but weak when moving

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

46	away from it. An average of 33 wolverines were shot per year in the Norwegian part of
47	the study area. If no compensatory immigration from Sweden had occurred, 28
48	wolverines shot per year would have been sufficient to achieve the same goal. About
49	15.5% of all the individuals harvested in Norway between 2005-2012 were compensated
50	for by immigrants, causing a decrease in population growth rate in Sweden.
51	4. Synthesis and applications. When a population is transboundary, the consequences of
52	management decisions are also transboundary, even though the political bodies in charge
53	of those decisions, the stakeholders who influence them, and the taxpayers who finance
54	them are not. It is important that managers and citizens be informed that a difference in
55	management goals can reduce the efficiency, and increase the costs, of wildlife
56	management.
57	
58	Keywords : compensatory immigration; density-dependent dispersal; vec-permutation matrix
59	model; spatial harvest; source-sink dynamic; transboundary management, policy, transboundary
60	species.
	- F
61	

- 62
- 63
- 64

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

65 INTRODUCTION

In classic harvest theory, the existence of a spatial structure in the harvest of continuous 66 67 populations is considered to be a useful strategy to increase the yield of a game species while reducing the risks of overharvesting (McCullough, 1996). This is normally achieved by creating 68 a mosaic of harvested and non-harvested areas within the population distribution. Such a system 69 70 often generates an internal source-sink dynamic, with individuals emigrating from the nonharvested to the harvested portions of the population, a mechanism known as compensatory 71 immigration (Pulliam, 1988). Spatially-structured harvest has been increasingly employed, 72 especially in fisheries and small game systems, in an attempt to balance high yield and 73 74 population viability (Kerr, Cadrin, & Secor, 2010). However, the same type of mechanism can also emerge as an unintended consequence of 75 contrasting management strategies in transboundary populations, with less predictable 76 consequences on the overall dynamics of the population. Large carnivores in Europe provide an 77 78 example of the latter case. Being wide-ranging species, their populations always span across several administrative units, which are subject to different legislations, management goals, 79 80 conflicts and harvest regimes. At present, 33 functional European large carnivore populations 81 can be identified, 25 of which are spread over two or more countries, and many more subnational borders (Chapron et al., 2014). Out of them, 12 are distributed across a mosaic of 82 83 harvested and non-harvested areas, depending on the management policies implemented in the 84 countries which share portions of their distribution (Kaczensky et al., 2012). This creates the 85 conditions for the establishment of source-sink dynamics across the national borders, although This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

no population-level management plan was intended to create them (Relijic et al., 2018). The 86 consequences of such mechanisms are not easily predicted and could be undesirable for national 87 88 management authorities (Bischof, Brøseth, & Gimenez, 2016). However, based on the available literature on the properties of source-sink systems (Lebreton & Gonzalez-Davila, 1993; Hanski, 89 1996), we know that contrasting management regimes can induce and maintain an 90 91 immigration/emigration process between neighbouring patches. The main effect of such process is to stabilize population growth rates in the two patches, when compared to a situation with no 92 migration (Lebreton & Gonzalez-Davila, 1993). Ultimately, we can hypothesize that population 93 growth rate will lower than expected in the country acting as a source, whereas it will be higher 94 than expected in the country acting as a sink. 95 Based on this theoretical premise, the goal of the present study is to explore the dynamics of 96 transboundary harvested populations, in which a spatial structure in harvest is induced by the 97 existence of contrasting management regimes on the two sides of an administrative border. 98 99 Within this framework, we assessed how the resulting source-sink dynamics can affect population performance. We also assessed the resulting effects on the effectiveness of lethal 100 101 control as a management tool to limit the growth of each portion of the common population. 102 Lethal control of large carnivores usually implies high economic costs, as finding and killing large carnivores can be difficult and expensive (Bischof et al. 2012). It also has relevant social 103 104 costs, because it is a practice that usually polarizes the debate about coexistence with humans, 105 has ethical implications, and is legally controversial (Linnell, Trouwborst, & Fleurke, 2017). 106 Therefore, once a given management objective is set in relation to the ecological, economic and This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

social carrying capacities, it is usually desirable for managers to reach that objective while 107 minimizing the number of individuals that need to be removed. This marks a fundamental 108 109 difference with respect to the harvest of game species, in which the objective is often to maximise the resulting yield of a given harvest strategy, while ensuring sustainability 110 111 (McCullough, 1996). 112 To illustrate the practical consequences of differential harvest regimes in transboundary large carnivore populations, we present the case of the wolverine (Gulo gulo) population in the south-113 central portion of the Scandinavian peninsula, which is shared between Norway and Sweden. 114 Wolverines are listed on appendix II of the Bern Convention for both countries and are therefore 115 formally "strictly protected". Exceptions can only be given under certain conditions. Due to 116 differences in conflict potential with free-ranging semi-domestic sheep (Ross et al., 2016; 117 Widman & Elofsson, 2018), Sweden has been very restrictive with respect to these exceptions, 118 while Norway has been much more liberal (Swenson & Andrén, 2005). During the period 2005-119 120 2012, the Swedish portion of the population increased from about 50 to around 130 individuals (Gervasi et al. 2016), reaching population levels which are in line with the national management 121 goal. In this period, only a few individuals were legally removed from the population, 122 123 approximating a harvest rate of zero. During the same period, the Norwegian portion of the population was substantially stable at around 130 individuals (Fig. 1), 45% above the 124 125 management goal of 88 (Persson & Brøseth, 2011). This happened despite the total number of 126 wolverines legally shot each year increasing from 14 in 2005 to 46 in 2012 (+228%;

127 www.rovbase.no). This increase in the number of harvested individuals was justified by the This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

128	specific culling practice applied in Norway, which sets regional population goals and allows the
120	
129	annual removal of all the individuals exceeding such goals. Moreover, as license hunting only
130	fulfils about 40% of the annual quota (Bischof et al. 2012), rangers from the Nature State
131	Inspectorate are charged with the task of removing an additional portion of the population, with a
132	particular focus on reducing depredation losses of domestic sheep and semi-domestic reindeer
133	(Linnell & Brøseth 2003; Tveraa et al. 2014). Gervasi et al. (2015) showed that the differential
134	harvest regimes between Norway and Sweden induced a compensatory migration process and a
135	source-sink dynamic, with a net immigration from Sweden to Norway throughout the study
136	period. The demographic consequences of this mechanism haven't been explored so far, nor has
137	the effect of such uneven spatial distribution of harvest intensity on the effectiveness of
138	management strategies in the two countries.
139	Based on the premises illustrated above, we built a population projection model for the
140	wolverine population in central-south Scandinavia. We used vec-permutation matrix models
141	(Hunter & Caswell, 2005) to simultaneously account for both the demographic and spatial
142	processes, with the aim to explore the following research questions:
143	- What was the effect of the harvest rate difference between the two countries, and of the
144	resulting compensatory immigration process, on wolverine population growth rates in the
145	Norwegian and Swedish portions of the population?
146	- Was such an effect similar at different distances from the Norwegian-Swedish national
	1 1 0

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

border?

147

148	-	To what extent does the compensatory immigration process influence the ability of the			
149		Norwegian management system to regulate the wolverine population growth rate and size			
150		in Norway?			

We discuss our results and provide recommendations in the light of the widespread mismatch currently existing between the scale of large carnivore populations in Europe and the scale of the administrative authorities in charge of their conservation and management.

154

155

156 MATERIALS AND METHODS

157 Vec-permutation models allow the demographic and spatial processes occurring each year in

each portion of the population to be included separately, but within a holistic model (Hunter &

159 Caswell, 2005). Given the relative complexity of the wolverine study case, we decided to first

assess the general properties of a simple system. Then we applied a more realistic version of the

same model to the case of the Scandinavian wolverine population. Accordingly, we initially

162 constructed a 2-patch 2-stage model in R (R Development Core Team, 2008), using a post-

163 breeding Leslie-Usher matrix (Caswell, 2001).

164 To parameterize the model, we chose a set of simulated vital rates consistent with the case of a

long-lived species, with high adult survival and a relatively low intrinsic growth rate. In both

patches we set the natural mortality rate to 0.5 and 0.2 for individuals in stage one ("dispersing")

and two ("resident"), respectively. We also fixed fecundity to zero and 0.8 for the two stages,

168 respectively. Harvest rate was absent in patch two, whereas it varied in a range of 0 - 0.2 in patch This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

one. The initial population size was set to 100 individuals in both patches, distributed accordingto the stable stage distribution (Caswell, 2001).

We also added a density-dependent dispersal function to the model, to link harvest rates and
population size with the magnitude of dispersal rates between the two patches. Following
Ylikarjula et al. (2000), we assumed that the net dispersal rate at time *t* was a function of the
difference in population size between the two patches, so that

175
$$\psi_{2,t} = \alpha \frac{(N_{2,t} - N_{1,t})}{(N_{1,t} + N_{2,t})}$$

in which $\psi_{2,t}$ is the net dispersal rate between patch two and patch one at time *t* (assuming that population size is larger in patch two than in patch one), $N_{1,t}$ and $N_{2,t}$ are population sizes in each of the two patches at time *t*, and α is the parameter controlling the maximum fraction dispersing. This parameter represented the strength of the compensatory immigration process. We simulated values of 0, 0.2 and 0.4 for this parameter (see Fig. S1 in Supporting Information).

181 Based on this model structure, harvest rate (*h*) in patch one was expected to influence population

growth rate in two ways: on one hand it reduced it by increasing the annual mortality rate of

individuals in patch one; on the other hand, it increased the net dispersal rate from patch two to

184 patch one, thus also increasing the resulting annual population growth rate in patch one. By

- simulating a range of h and α values, we explored the net effect of these two interacting
- processes on the demography of the spatially structured population. We ran 1,000 model
- 187 iterations, each of them parameterized with a different combination of values for the parameters
- 188 h and α . We ran the model over a period of 25 years and evaluated its outcome in terms of the

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

average population growth rate in patch one (λ_1) , in patch two (λ_2) and in the whole population 189 (λ_{tot}) . As the population matrix was updated at each time step, we estimated the above mentioned 190 quantities following Tuljapurkar et al. (2003), as the nth root of the ratio between population size 191 in year *n* and population size in year one. For each iteration and year, we also estimated the 192 number of individuals harvested in patch one, as the product between the harvest rate parameter 193 194 h and population size at time t. Finally, we performed a sensitivity analysis of population growth rates to variation in the harvest rate h, using a life-stage simulation analysis (Wisdom, Mills, & 195 Doak, 2000). A full description of how the vec-permutation model was formulated is provided in 196 Appendix 1. 197 After exploring the general dynamics of the simple 2-patch 2-stage model, we increased its 198 structural complexity to apply it to the case of the south-central Scandinavian wolverine 199 population. We initially constructed the model considering two patches (Norway and Sweden), 200 two sexes, and 14 age classes. We also included two possible reproductive states (with cubs and 201 202 without cubs) for the female segment of the population. Overall, the model comprised a total of 42 stages for each country. Stages from 1 to 14 included reproducing females, from 15 to 28 203 non-reproducing females, and from 29 to 42 they included males. Gervasi et al. (2015) have 204 205 previously shown that only the individuals within 50 km from the Norwegian-Swedish border had a significant probability to disperse from one country to the other in a given year, so that the 206 207 demographic effects of the compensatory immigration process were likely to be different at 208 different distances from the national border. Therefore, in an effort to add spatial realism to the

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

model, we sub-divided each of the two patches into five 50 km belts at increasing distances from
the Norwegian-Swedish border (Fig 2).

211 Before using the model for predictive purposes, we first assessed how well it fit with the observed population trends in Norway and Sweden during the period 2005-2012, as estimated by 212 Gervasi et al. (2016). To this aim we resorted to a set of demographic parameters, estimated for 213 214 the Scandinavian wolverine population during the last 20 years. We derived wolverine reproductive rates from Rauset et al. (2015). The resulting probability distribution is shown in 215 Fig. S2. We used a litter size of 1.38 cubs per female, derived from the same work by Rauset et 216 al. (2015). To describe wolverine mortality patterns, we first included the effect of non-human 217 mortality sources, then accounted for the harvest rates determined in each country by the national 218 management regimes. Gervasi et al. (2015) estimated a 0.11 and 0.18 annual probability for 219 female and male wolverines, respectively, to die from causes other than legal human harvest. We 220 also resorted to the estimates provided by Persson et al. (2003) to parameterize the population 221 222 model with realistic juvenile survival rates. Harvest rates on adult wolverines of both sexes in Norway were also formally estimated by Gervasi et al. (2015) for the period 2005-2012. We 223 used these estimates to generate overall mortality rates for wolverines older than one year during 224 225 the study period, assuming full additivity between human-related and other mortality sources. During the same period, legal harvest in south-central Sweden was minimal, to the point that it 226 227 could be reasonably approximated to zero.

228 To account for wolverine dispersal across the Swedish-Norwegian national border, and across

229 the five 50-km belts in each country, we used the same density-dependent dispersal function as This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

230	in the simpler version of the model, setting the slope parameter $\alpha = 0.44$ (see Appendix 2 for
231	details). We applied the function to all neighbouring 50-km belts in the model, using the
232	difference in their local densities to produce a patch-to-patch dispersal rate. Dispersal in
233	wolverines occurs to a different extent in all ages and for both sexes (Aronsson & Persson, 2018;
234	Vangen, Persson, Landa, Andersen, & Segerström, 2001), but females tend to reduce their home
235	range and be more sedentary when raising cubs. The dispersal process was therefore applied to
236	males of all ages and to females without cubs, assuming that females with cubs would not
237	disperse from their residential home range (Aronsson & Persson, 2018).
238	We used capture-recapture population size estimates for the Norwegian and Swedish portions of
239	the population in year 2005 (Gervasi et al., 2016) to build the initial population vector in the
240	model. The initial population sizes (112 individuals in Norway, 48 in Sweden) were first
241	distributed into the 10 patches using the proportion of reproductions detected in each patch, then
242	among all age and sex classes using the stable stage distribution of each matrix. A synthetic
243	description of all parameter values is shown in Tab. 1.
244	To assess the effect of the compensatory immigration process on population growth rates, we
245	first ran the vec-permutation model including the density-dependent dispersal process, then
246	excluding it. The comparison of the population growth rates resulting from the two models
247	provided the increase/decrease in population growth rate, induced by the compensatory
248	immigration process. We evaluated this effect both at the national level and for all the ten 50-km
249	zones, under the hypothesis that the net effect would be stronger close to the national border than
250	far away from it.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

We also evaluated to what extent the source-sink dynamic between Sweden and Norway would 251 affect the number of wolverines that would need to be culled each year in Norway, in order to 252 253 maintain a given population growth rate. We ran the population model 1,000 times, with randomly generated harvest rate values in Norway (range: 0 - 0.2) and no harvest in Sweden. 254 Then, for each iteration we also ran the alternative model formulation, which excluded the 255 256 compensatory immigration process. For each iteration we recorded the resulting number of wolverines shot each year. The comparison between the population growth rates obtained with, 257 and without, the source-sink process for a given number of shot wolverines provided the net 258 effect of the compensatory immigration process and also an estimate of the efficiency of the 259 Norwegian harvest system. 260

261

262 **RESULTS**

263 **Theoretical model**

264 The 2-stage 2-patch model, parameterized with no harvest in both patches, produced the same population growth rate $\lambda_0 = 1.148$ in both portions of the population. When increasing harvest 265 rate values were simulated in patch one, population trajectories in the two patches diverged 266 267 depending on the strength of the compensatory immigration process. Under the hypothesis of no spatial connection between the two patches ($\alpha = 0$), population growth rate decreased linearly for 268 increasing harvest rate values in patch one, whereas it remained constant at the λ_0 value in patch 269 270 two (Fig. 3a and b). When dispersal and compensatory immigration were taken into account ($\alpha =$ 0.2 and $\alpha = 0.4$), population growth rate in patch one was always higher than in the previous 271 This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

scenario (Fig. 3a), as a consequence of the density differential between the two patches, which 272 generated the compensatory immigration process. Such differences in population growth rates 273 274 increased for increasing harvest rate values. It was about 3% when the harvest rate was set at 275 0.05 in patch one, but it increased to 15% for h = 0.2. Conversely, population growth rate in patch two was always lower than λ_0 , even though no harvest occurred in this portion of the 276 277 population (Fig. 3b). When looking at the process from the perspective of the total population, the net effect of the compensatory immigration process was to reduce its overall growth rate λ_t , 278 by up to a 44% reduction in the scenario including the highest simulated harvest rate and the 279 strongest density-dependent dispersal (h = 0.2, $\alpha = 0.4$; Fig. 3c). The source-sink dynamic 280 initiated by the differential harvest rates in the two patches of the continuous population was not 281 a simple transfer of individuals from one portion of the population to the other. On the contrary, 282 it induced a reduction in the overall population performance, by promoting immigration from an 283 area at lower risk of mortality to one with a higher. 284 285 The compensatory immigration process also had an influence on the relationship between the

number of individuals harvested each year in patch one and the resulting population growth rate.

287 Under the $\alpha = 0$ scenario, the removal of 15 individuals per year was sufficient to keep the

population in patch one substantially stable ($\lambda_1 = 1.01$; Fig. 4). When considering the source-sink

dynamic induced by the compensatory immigration process ($\alpha = 0.2$ and $\alpha = 0.4$), the same

number of annual removals corresponded to $\lambda_I = 1.06$ and $\lambda_I = 1.08$, respectively (Fig. 4). In

these two cases, 23 and 26 annual removals were necessary, respectively, in order to keep the

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

population stable, with a 53-73% increase in the effort required to reach the same managementgoal.

294 The sensitivity analysis of population growth rates to changes in harvest rate was also influenced by the strength of the compensatory immigration process. In patch one it decreased from -1.41 295 (no dispersal scenario) to -0.71 (strong density-dependent dispersal scenario; Tab. 2), confirming 296 297 that the effectiveness of any management action in this part of the population was reduced by the inclusion of compensatory immigration. In patch two the sensitivity of population growth rate to 298 harvest rate in patch one was zero for $\alpha = 0$, but it increased to up to -0.40 in the $\alpha = 0.4$ scenario 299 (Tab. 2), showing that management actions in patch one had an effect on the demography of the 300 population in the neighbouring unharvested patch. 301

302

303 The wolverine case study

304 When parameterized with the vital rates estimated for the Scandinavian wolverine population

305 (see Tab. 1), the model showed a good fit with the capture-recapture based population trends at

the national level in both countries (Fig. 5). In Norway the population model produced an

average growth rate of $\lambda = 1.00$, close to the 1.01 previously estimated for the same population

and study period (Gervasi et al., 2016). In Sweden the two approaches provided the same

estimate of $\lambda = 1.10$. In Norway, the population size in 2005 was estimated at 112 individuals

310 (Gervasi et al. 2016). When accounting for the existence of a compensatory immigration process,

the model generated a stable population over the 8-year period and a final population size of 113

individuals (Fig. 6a), about 28% higher than the population goal of 88 individuals (14This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

reproductions; Brøseth, Tovmo, & Andersen, 2012, Anon 2013). The exclusion of the 313 compensatory immigration process generated a λ =0.980 and a final population size of 96 314 315 individuals, about 15% lower than in the other scenario and 9% higher than the management goal. In Sweden, the wolverine population grew at the rate of about 11% each year ($\lambda = 1.108$). 316 The effect of the compensatory immigration process corresponded to a 0.024 reduction in the 317 318 annual population growth rate (Fig. 6b). When excluding the dispersal process, the population grew at the rate of about 13% each year ($\lambda = 1.132$), with a final population size in 2012 of 115 319 individuals (16% higher than the observed 99; Fig. 6b). 320

The influence of compensatory immigration on population growth rate was stronger closer to the 321 Norwegian-Swedish border, but rather weak when moving away from it. In zone N₅, which 322 corresponded to the 50-km belt closest to the border on the Norwegian side, growth rate was 0.04 323 higher when accounting for dispersal from Sweden than when disregarding it (Fig. 7). Similarly, 324 in zone S₁, which corresponded to the 50-km belt closest to the border in Sweden, dispersal to 325 326 Norway caused a 0.03 reduction in λ (Fig. 7). At the other extreme, zones N₁ and S₅, which were the most distant from the national border, only exhibited a 0.01 or lower variation in the annual 327 zone-specific growth rate as a consequence of the compensatory immigration process (Fig. 7). 328 329 During the 8 years of the study periods, an average of 33.4 wolverines were shot per year in southern Norway, which corresponded to $\lambda = 1.00$. If no density-dependent dispersal from 330 331 Sweden had occurred, removal of 28.2 wolverines per year would have been sufficient to 332 generate the same population growth rate. In other terms, about 15.5% of all the individuals

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

harvested in Norway between 2005-2012 were replaced by immigrants, and also causing anunintended decrease in population growth rate in Sweden.

335

336 **DISCUSSION**

Administrative borders can be seen as a particular kind of ecological edge between two 337 338 otherwise contiguous landscape patches, whose characteristics are defined by the specific management actions implemented on each side (Minnie et al. 2016). The risks linked to this type 339 of artificial edge have been more often studied in the context of protected areas and their 340 unprotected surroundings, in which the establishment of source-sink dynamics can potentially 341 jeopardize species persistence and even trigger extinction (Woodroffe & Ginsberg, 1998). 342 Our study shows that the dynamics occurring across administrative borders can also influence, 343 and sometimes frustrate, the achievement of management goals and the effective use of the 344 available economic resources. In this sense, the theoretical and applied approach provided 345 346 complementary insights into the effect of compensatory immigration. The simpler and more general version of the vec-permutation model provided three main results : 1) the source-sink 347 dynamics induced by different harvest regimes on the two sides of a border can reduce the 348 349 overall population growth rate; 2) such a difference in harvest intensity can increase the number of removals necessary to achieve a certain population goal; and 3) the overall effect of this type 350 351 of process is to reduce harvest efficiency in the country with the highest harvest intensity, as part 352 of the effort in lethal control produces demographic effects in the neighbouring country, and not 353 locally. From a demographic point of view, the patterns emerging from the theoretical vec-This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

permutation model are in line with the previously demonstrated general stabilizing effect of 354 migration in subdivided populations (Lebreton & Gonzalez-Davila, 1993). When two portions of 355 356 a population have different intrinsic growth rates, because of a difference in the underlying demographic parameters, density-dependent migration has the effect of reducing both the overall 357 population growth and the difference in patch-specific growth rates (Lebreton, 1996). However, 358 359 the sensitivity analysis (Tab. 2) shows that this effect is strongly dependent on the strength (and likely on the shape) of the density-dependent dispersal process. Therefore, while our approach 360 provides a flexible framework for a wide variety of wide-ranging species, particular care should 361 be used in identifying an appropriate mathematical description of the link between density and 362 dispersal rates. No generalizations can be easily made, as dispersal has been shown to be 363 sometimes directly positively (Herzig, 1995) and sometimes inversely (Woodroffe, Macdonald, 364 & Da Silva, 1993) related to population density, but also highly affected by other factors not 365 related to density or competition (Waser, 1985). 366

367 By promoting dispersal from an area with low mortality to an area with high mortality, without reaching an equilibrium point between additions and subtractions, compensatory immigration 368 creates the conditions for the establishment of an ecological trap sensu Dwernychuk & Boag 369 370 (1972). Ecological traps, also defined as attractive sinks, are areas in a species' distribution in which high suitability and high mortality risks overlap (Battin, 2004). These areas usually attract 371 372 individuals from the surroundings, thanks to the availability of food, cover, mating opportunities, 373 and other crucial resources. At the same time, they reduce individual survival because of a 374 localized mortality risk which is not correlated with the otherwise high suitability of the area.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

375 Attractive sinks can threaten the conservation of small endangered populations (Nielsen,

Stenhouse, & Boyce, 2006), in particular in those ecosystems where human-related mortality
risks have become predominant (Battin, 2004).

In our case, the attractive sink was initiated and maintained by the local density gradient across 378 the Norwegian-Swedish national border, resulting from the differential harvest regimes in the 379 380 two countries. This situation attracted wolverines to the area in which competition for resources was likely lower, which was also the area in which mortality risks were higher (Gervasi et al., 381 2015). Our results show that, besides having consequences on population persistence and 382 extinction risk, attractive sinks can also affect the costs and benefits of a given management 383 strategy, when lethal control is used as a tool to limit population size and growth. On average, 384 one in every six wolverines shot in Norway during the study period was replaced by another 385 wolverine immigrating from the Swedish side of the border. Given the high costs associated with 386 lethal control of large carnivores, and the polarized debate about its ethical and legal aspects, this 387 388 type of practice should be carefully evaluated before setting national harvest rates to levels that could initiate and maintain a transboundary source sink-dynamic, especially when a 389 neighbouring country exerts a lower harvest pressure on its portion of the shared population. 390 391 This is a rather common and widespread situation in European large carnivore populations, as about 40% of them are shared between countries that apply contrasting management regimes 392 393 when it comes to lethal control (Kaczensky et al., 2012). The Alpine wolf population, shared 394 between France and Italy is a well-known example of such a case, as the French legislation 395 allows a yearly quota-based culling, whereas the Italian one does not (Kaczensky et al., 2012). This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Similar examples of contrasting management regimes are provided by the Dinaric-Balkan and 396 North-Western Iberian wolf populations, or by the Scandinavian lynx (Lynx lynx) population, 397 which are either protected or harvested in specific national portions of their distribution 398 (Kaczensky et al., 2012). From an international perspective, one of the consequences of the 399 Swedish-Norwegian compensatory immigration process was also that part of the effort 400 401 performed by the Norwegian management system in reducing wolverine population growth rate in Norway actually produced a reduction on the Swedish side of the border (33% reduction in the 402 overall population growth over the study period; see Fig. 6b). This highlights that, when a 403 population is shared among two or more countries, the consequences of management decisions 404 are also transboundary, even though the political bodies which are in charge of those decisions, 405 the stakeholders who influenced them, and the taxpayers who financed them are not. However, 406 some differences in management goals between neighbouring countries are unavoidable, for 407 multiple reasons: i) the material conflict between humans and large carnivores is sometimes 408 409 different between neighbouring countries, depending for example on the different importance of farming and livestock herding in the national economic system. Sheep farming, for example, has 410 a higher economic and social importance in Norway than in Sweden, creating the conditions for 411 412 different levels of potential material conflict (Swenson & Andrén, 2005); ii) even when the material conflict is similar, people from different countries often have a different perception of 413 414 the potential threat that large carnivores represent to their property or values, depending on their 415 social, cultural and historical context. People living in larger communities have been shown to be 416 in general more positive towards large carnivores than those from small rural communities This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

(Røskaft et al., 2007). This is the case when comparing the Swedish and Norwegian contexts, 417 with the first exhibiting a higher level of urbanization than the second (United Nations, 2015); 418 419 *iii*) Governments of different political orientation can generate contrasting management policies in neighbouring countries, in order to achieve different political goals. Therefore, while agreeing 420 on a common management policy at the population level would be desirable from the point of 421 422 view of management efficiency, it might not always be easy to implement in practice. It's important, though, that managers and citizens be informed about the ecological and economic 423 consequences of a difference in management goals with a neighbouring country and take these 424 effects into account (Relic et al. 2018), especially when limited resources are available to 425 promote coexistence between humans and large carnivores. In practical and applied terms, we 426 recommend that policy integration and transboundary communication be promoted between 427 countries sharing portions of the same large carnivore population. Such integration should 428 include population monitoring, through the standardization of methods and data sharing (Gervasi 429 430 et al. 2016), and also the political processes that lead to the establishment of national population goals and management strategies. Such integration is already going on for the Scandinavian 431 432 wolverine population, which is now monitored through a shared and standardized monitoring 433 program in Norway and Sweden, which makes use of a common field methodology and of a shared database of all DNA-sampled individuals which facilitated our analysis (Brøseth et al., 434 435 2012). This model should be further extended to other similar cases and large carnivore 436 populations in other parts of the continent.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

On the other hand, the effort to match the scale of ecological processes with that of management 437 decisions should not overshadow the idea that the consequences of national and international 438 439 management decisions can be substantially different at smaller spatial scales and in more local contexts. In our case, the eastern part of southern Norway (the area closest to the national border) 440 was highly affected by the compensatory immigration process, as it received most of the 441 442 wolverines immigrating from Sweden. As a consequence, the efficiency of the Norwegian lethal control on wolverines was rather low in this part of the country. On the other extreme, the 443 western part of the country (the most distant from the national border) was minimally affected by 444 the transboundary source-sink dynamic, and the trajectory of wolverine abundance in that area, 445 with and without the compensatory immigration process, would have been rather similar (Fig. 7). 446 This suggests the positive value of combining national and regional population goals in a way 447 that allows monitoring the overall population trend in the country, while taking into account the 448 local dynamics occurring in the different portions of the species distribution. Regions and sub-449 450 national administrative units closer to national borders should explicitly incorporate the evaluation of transboundary dynamics in their decision-making process, under the risk of 451 otherwise generating unrealistic expectations and ineffective management actions. 452 453 Ultimately, the results of our study underline the need to consider both sides of large carnivore population management: on one hand, it would be advisable that the general goals of large 454 455 carnivore management be set at the population (and therefore often international) level, to avoid 456 a waste of economic resources and to maintain a balance between the investment in each country 457 and the resulting demographic trends. On the other hand, local factors should also be considered This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

when dealing with the consequences of the general management strategies, accounting for the
fact that citizens inhabiting different local contexts will be affected to a different extent by the
consequences of larger scale management decisions. This reflects the great challenge at the heart
of large carnivore management: the need to develop institutional structures and procedures that
can simultaneously coordinate actions at international scales while remaining flexible enough to
deal with local level considerations (Linnell, 2015; Linnell & Kaltenborn, 2019).

464

465 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

466 All authors conceived the research questions and designed the methodology; V. Gervasi and O.

467 Gimenez analysed the data; V. Gervasi led the writing of the manuscript. J. Linnell provided

details of the management and policy contexts and implications. All authors contributed

469 critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

470

471 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study would not have been possible without the enormous sampling effort by dedicated

473 wardens from Nature State Inspectorates in Norway and Sweden. V. Gervasi was supported by a

474 grant from LabEx CEMEB, University of Montpellier. J. Linnell was funded by the Research

475 Council of Norway (grant 251112). We thank Jean Dominique Lebreton for his comments and

476 suggestions on an earlier version of the manuscript.

477

478 DATA ACCESSIBILITY

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

479	All the data used for the analyses present in this article was previously published on peer-
480	reviewed journals. In particular, most input parameters for the wolverine population model were
481	derived from Gervasi et al. (2015) and Rauset et al. (2015). The R code to build and run the vec-
482	permutation matrix model is stored in Gervasi V., Linnell J.D.C, Brøseth H., Gimenez O. (2019)
483	Data from: Failure to coordinate management in transboundary populations hinders the
484	achievement of national management goals: the case of wolverines in Scandinavia. Dryad Digital
485	Repository, doi:10.5061/dryad.rd300kf.
486	
487	REFERENCES
488	Aronsson, M., & Persson, J. (2018). Female breeding dispersal in wolverines, a solitary
489	carnivore with high territorial fidelity. European Journal of Wildlife Research, 64(1).
490	doi:10.1007/s10344-018-1164-3
491	Battin, J. (2004). When good animals love bad habitats: ecological traps and the conservation of
492	animal populations. Coservation Biology, 18(6), 1482–1491.
493	Bischof, R., Brøseth, H., & Gimenez, O. (2016). Wildlife in a politically divided world:
494	insularism inflates estimates of brown bear abundance. Conservation Letters, 9(2), 122-
495	130. doi:10.1111/conl.12183
496	Brøseth, H., Tovmo, M., & Andersen, R. (2012). Yngleregistreringer av jerv i Norge i 2012.
497	Trondheim, Norway.
498	Caswell, H. (2001). Matrix population models. Construction, analysis and interpretation (2nd.
499	editi). Sunderland, Massachussets: Sinauer & Associates Inc.
	This is the peer reviewed version of the following article
	Gervasi, V. et al 2019 Failure to coordinate management in transboundary populations hinders the achievement of national management goals: The case of wolverines in Scandinavia. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> 2019 which has been

management goals: The case of wolverines in Scandinavia. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 2019 which has been published in final form at <u>10.1111/1365-2664.13379</u>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

- 500 Chapron, G., Kaczensky, P., Linnell, J. D. C., Von Arx, M., Huber, D., Andrén, H., ... Boitani,
- 501 L. (2014). Recovery of large carnivores in Europe's modern human-dominated landscapes.

502 *Science*, *346*(6216), 1517–1519. doi:10.1126/science.1257553

- 503 Dwernychuk, L. W., & Boag, D. . A. (1972). Ducks nesting in association with gulls an
- ecological trap? *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *50*(5), 559–563.
- 505 Gervasi, V., Brøseth, H., Gimenez, O., Nilsen, E. B., Odden, J., Flagstad, Ø., & Linnell, J. D. C.
- 506 (2016). Sharing data improves monitoring of trans-boundary populations: the case of
- 507 wolverines in central Scandinavia. *Wildlife Biology*, 22(3), 95–106. doi:10.2981/wlb.00142
- 508 Gervasi, V., Brøseth, H., Nilsen, E. B., Ellegren, H., Flagstad, Ø., & Linnell, J. D. C. (2015).
- 509 Compensatory immigration counteracts contrasting conservation strategies of wolverines
- 510 (Gulo gulo) within Scandinavia. *Biological Conservation*, 191(October), 632–639.
- 511 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2015.07.024
- 512 Herzig, A. L. (1995). Effects of population-density on long-distance dispersal in the goldenrod
- 513 beetle trirhabda-virgata. *Ecology*, *76*(7), 2044–2054. doi:10.2307/1941679
- 514 Hunter, C. M., & Caswell, H. (2005). The use of the vec-permutation matrix in spatial matrix
- 515 population models. *Ecological Modelling*, *188*(1), 15–21.
- 516 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.05.002
- 517 Kaczensky, P., Chapron, G., Arx, M. Von, Huber, D., Andrén, H., & Linnell, J. D. C. (2012).
- 518 Status, management and distribution of large carnivores-bear, lynx, wolf & wolverine in
- 519 *Europe*.
- 520 Kerr, L. A., Cadrin, S. X., & Secor, D. H. (2010). The role of spatial dynamics in the stability,This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

- 521 resilience, and productivity of an estuarine fish population. *Ecological Applications*, 20(2),
- 522 497–507. doi:10.1890/08-1382.1
- Lebreton, J. D. (1996). Demographic models for subdivided populations: the renweal equation
 approach. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *49*, 291–313.
- 525 Lebreton, J. D., & Gonzalez-Davila, G. (1993). An introduction to models of subdivided
- 526 populations. *Journal of Biological Systems*, 1(4), 389–423.
- 527 Linnell, J. D. C. (2015). Defining scales for managing biodiversity and natural resources in the
- 528 face of conflicts. In S. M. Redpath, R. J. Guiiérrez, K. A. Wood, & J. C. Young (Eds.),
- 529 *Conflicts in conservation: navigating towards solutions* (pp. 208–218). Cambridge, UK:
- 530 Cambridge University Press.
- Linnell, J. D. C. & Brøseth, H. (2003). Compensation for large carnivore depredation of
 domestic sheep 1994-2001. *Carnivore Damage Prevention News*, 6, 11-13.
- 533 Linnell, J. D. C., & Kaltenborn, B. P. (2019). Institutions for achieving human wildlife
- 534 coexistence: the case of large herbivores and large carnivores in Europe. In F. B. Glickman
- 535 & S. Marchini (Eds.), *Human-wildlife interactions: turning conflict into coexistence*.
- 536 Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 537 Linnell, J. D. C., Trouwborst, A., & Fleurke, F. M. (2017). When is it acceptable to kill a strictly
- 538 protected carnivore? Exploring the legal constraints on wildlife management within
- Europe's Bern Convention. *Nature Conservation*, *21*, 129–157.
- 540 doi:10.3897/natureconservation.21.12836
- 541 McCullough, D. R. (1996). Spatially structured populations and harvest theory. *The Journal of* This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

- 542 *Wildlife Management*, 60(1), 1–9.
- Minnie, L., Gaylard, A. & Kerley, G. I. H. (2016). Compensatory life-history responses of a
 mesopredator may undermine carnivore management efforts. *Journal of Applied Ecology*,
 53, 379-387.
- 546 Nielsen, S. E., Stenhouse, G. B., & Boyce, M. S. (2006). A habitat-based framework for grizzly
- 547 bear conservation in Alberta. *Biological Conservation*, *130*(2), 217–229.
- 548 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2005.12.016
- 549 Persson, J., & Brøseth, H. (2010). *Wolverines in Scandinavia: status and distribution 1996-2010*.
 550 Trondheim, Norway (in Norwegian).
- 551 Persson, J., Willebrand, T., Landa, a, Andersen, R., & Segerstrom, P. (2003). The role of
- intraspecific predation in the survival of juvenile wolverines Gulo gulo. *Wildlife Biology*,
 9(April 2002), 21–28.
- Pulliam, H. R. (1988). Sources, sinks, and population regulation. *The American Naturalist*, *132*(5), 652–661.
- R Development Core Tea. (2008). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.
- 558 Rauset, G. R., Low, M., & Persson, J. (2015). Reproductive patterns result from age-related
- sensitivity to resources and reproductive costs in a mammalian carnivore. *Ecology*, 96(12),
- 560 3153–3164. doi:10.1890/15-0262.1
- 561 Reljic, S., Jerina, K., Nilsen, E. B., Huber, D., Kusak, J., Jonozovic, M., & Linnell, J. D. C.
- 562 (2018). Challenges for transboundary management of a European brown bear population.This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

- 563 *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *16*, e00488. doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00488
- 564 Ross, L. C., Austrheim, G., Asheim, L., Bjarnason, G., Feilberg, J., Fosaa, A. M., ... Gudru, A.
- 565 (2016). Sheep grazing in the North Atlantic region : A long-term perspective on
- 566 environmental sustainability. doi:10.1007/s13280-016-0771-z
- 567 Swenson, J. E., & Andrén, H. (2005). A tale of two countries: large carnivore depredation and
- 568 compensation schemes in Sweden and Norway. In R. Woodroffe, S. Thirgood, & A.
- 569 Rabinowitz (Eds.), *People and wildlife: conflict or coexistence?* (pp. 323–339). Cambridge,
- 570 UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 571 Tuljapurkar, S., Horvitz, C. C., & Pascarella, J. B. (2003). Growth rates and elasticities in
- 572 random environments. *The American Naturalist*, *162*, 489–502.
- 573 Turgeon, K., & Kramer, D. L. (2012). Compensatory immigration depends on adjacent
- 574 population size and habitat quality but not on landscape connectivity. *Journal of Animal*

575 *Ecology*, 81(6), 1161–1170. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2012.01990.x

- 576 Tveraa, T., Stien, A., Brøseth, H. & Yoccoz, N. G. (2014). The role of predation and food
- 577 limitation on claims for compensation, reindeer demography and population dynamics.
- 578 *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 51, 1264-1272.
- 579 Vangen, K. M., Persson, J., Landa, A., Andersen, R., & Segerström, P. (2001). Characteristics of
- dispersal in wolverines. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 79(9), 1641–1649. doi:10.1139/z01-
- 581 124
- 582 Waser, P. M. (1985). Does competition drive dispersal? *Ecology*, *66*(4), 1170–1175.
- 583 Widman, M., & Elofsson, K. (2018). Costs of Livestock Depredation by Large Carnivores inThis is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

- 584 Sweden 2001 to 2013. *Ecological Economics*, *143*, 188–198.
- 585 doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.07.008
- 586 Wisdom, M. J., Mills, L. S., & Doak, D. F. (2000). Life stage simulation analysis: estimating
- vital rate effects on population growth for conservation. *Ecology*, *81*(3), 628–641.
- Woodroffe, R., & Ginsberg, J. R. (1998). Edge effects and the extinction of populations inside
 protected areas. *Science*, 280, 2126–2128.
- Woodroffe, R., Macdonald, D. W., & Da Silva, J. (1993). Dispersal and philopatry in the
 European badger, Meles meles. *Journal of Zoology*, 237, 227–239.
- 592 Ylikarjula, J., Alaja, S., Laakso, J., & Tesar, D. (2000). Effects of patch number and dispersal
- patterns on population dynamics and synchrony. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 207(3),
- 594 377–387. doi:10.1006/jtbi.2000.2181

595

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Parameter	Value / Interval		Source
	Norway	Sweden	Source
Reproduction probability	0 - 0.7	0 - 0.7	Rauset et al. 2015
Litter size ^a	1.38	1.38	Rauset et al. 2015
Adult female mortality for other causes than harvest (> one year old)	0.11	0.11	Gervasi et al. 2015
Adult male mortality for other causes than harvest (> one year old)	0.18	0.18	Gervasi et al. 2015
Juvenile mortality for other causes than harvest (< one year old) ^b	0.29	0.29	Persson et al. 2003
Harvest rate on adult females (> one year old)	0.05 - 0.15	0	Gervasi et al. 2015
Harvest rate on males (> one year old)	0.06 - 0.17	0	Gervasi et al. 2015
Harvest rate on juveniles of both sexes (< one year old)	0.09 - 0.36	0	www.rovdata.no
Initial Population size	112	48	Gervasi et al. 2016
Strength of the density-dependent dispersal (α)	0.44	0.44	Gervasi et al. 2015

^a Refers to the number of cubs still alive in the first week of June.

^b Refers to the period between 3 and 12 months of age.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Tab. 1 - Input parameters for the population projection model of wolverines (Gulo gulo) in south-central Scandinavia.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

	$\alpha = 0$	$\alpha = 0.2$	$\alpha = 0.4$
λ_1	-1.41	-0.75	-0.71
λ_2	0.00	-0.27	-0.40
λ_{tot}	-0.24	-0.43	-0.52

Tab. 2 - Sensitivity analysis of population growth rate to changes in harvest rate for a 2-patch 2stage vec-permutation model including density-dependent dispersal. Sensitivity values are provided for the harvested patch (λ_1), in the non-harvested patch (λ_2) and for the whole population (λ_{tot}) under three scenarios of incrasing strenght in the density-dependent dispersal function, controlled by the parameter α .

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Fig. 1 - Population size estimates (based on counts of reproductive units) in southern Norway and southern Sweden during the period 2005–2017. The number of wolverines harvested each year in southern Norway is also shown.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Fig. 2 – Distribution of wolverine (*Gulo gulo*) DNA samples collected in south-central Scandinavia during the period 2005-2012 (black dots), divided into 10 x 50-km belts around the

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Norwegian-Swedish border (continuous grey line). The E14 highway marking the northern border of the study area is also shown (grey dotted line).

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Fig. 3 – Relationship between harvest rate and population growth rate in a 2-patch 2-stage vec-permutation model including densitydependent dispersal. Harvest was simulated only in patch one in a range of 0-0.2. The resulting population growth rate is shown for patch one (a), patch two (b) and for the whole population (c) under three scenarios of incrasing strenght in the density-dependent dispersal function, controlled by the parameter α .

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Fig. 4 - Relationship between the number of individuals harvested and population growth rate in a 2-patch 2-stage vec-permutation model including density-dependent dispersal. The relationship is shown under three scenarios of incrasing strength in the density-dependent dispersal function, controlled by the parameter α .

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Fig. 5 – Comparison between the population trajectories of wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) in southern Norway (a) and Sweden (b), obtained through DNA-sampling and capture-recapture modelling (Gervasi et al. 2016) and by applying the vec-permutation population projection model.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Fig. 6 – Population trajectories of wolverines in southern Norway (a) and Sweden (b) between 2005-2012, obtained by running the

vec-permutation projection model with and without the effect of the compensatory immigration process.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Fig. 7 – Variation in annual population growth rate induced by compensatory immigration (from Sweden to Norway) in each of the ten 50-km zones identified for the wolverine (*Gulo gulo*)

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

population in south-central Scandinavia, during the period 2005-2012. Zones N_1 - N_5 refer to the Norwegian portion of the population (see Fig. 2), S_1 - S_5 to the Swedish portion.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Fig. S1 – Relationship between density differential and dispersal rate in the vec-permutation matrix model.

Fig. S2 – Age specific reproduction probability of female wolverines in Scandinavia (from Rauset et al. 2015).

Appendix 1 - Formulation of the 2-patch 2-stage vec-permutation model.

Appendix 2 - Derivation of the appropriate value for the strength of the density dependent dispersal process in Scandinavian wolverines.

Appendix 3 - R-code used to run the 2-patch 2-stage vec-permutation model.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article

Gervasi, V. et al 2019