1	Bird collisions with power lines: State of the art and priority
2	areas for research
3 4	Bernardino, J. ¹ ; Bevanger, K. ² ; Barrientos, R. ^{3,4} ; Dwyer, J.F. ⁵ ; Marques, A.T. ^{1,4,6} ; Martins, R.C. ^{1,4} ; Shaw, J.M. ^{7,8} ; Silva, J.P. ^{1,4,6} and Moreira, F. ^{1,4}
5	
6 7 8	¹ REN Biodiversity Chair, CIBIO/InBIO – Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Universidade do Porto, Campus Agrário de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal.
9 10	² Norwegian Institute for Nature Research (NINA), P.O. Box 5685 Torgarden, NO-7485 Trondheim, Norway.
11 12 13	³ Infraestruturas de Portugal Biodiversity Chair, CIBIO/InBIO - Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Universidade do Porto, Campus Agrário de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal.
14 15 16	⁴ CEABN/InBIO – Centro de Ecologia Aplicada "Professor Baeta Neves", Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal.
17	⁵ EDM International Inc., 4001 Automation Way, Fort Collins, CO 80525, USA.
18 19	⁶ cE3c – Centro de Ecologia, Evolução e Alterações Ambientais, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C2, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal.
20 21	⁷ FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology, DST-NRF Centre of Excellence, University of Cape Town, Private Bag X3, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa.
22	⁸ Scottish Natural Heritage, Stilligarry, Isle of South Uist, HS8 5RS, United Kingdom.
23	
24	Corresponding author
25	Joana Bernardino
26 27 28	Address: REN Biodiversity Chair, CIBIO/InBIO – Research Center in Biodiversity and Genetic Resources, Universidade do Porto, Campus Agrário de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal.
29	E-mail: jbernardino@cibio.up.pt

30 Phone: (00351) 918455345

31 Abstract

Transmission and distribution electricity grids are expanding rapidly worldwide, with 32 33 significant negative impacts on biodiversity and, in particular, on birds. We performed a 34 systematic review of the literature available on bird collisions with power lines to: (i) assess overall trends in scientific research in recent decades; (ii) review the existing 35 36 knowledge of species-specific factors (e.g. vision, morphology), site-specific factors (e.g. 37 topography, light and weather conditions, and anthropogenic disturbance), and power 38 line-specific factors (e.g. number of wire levels, wire height and diameter) known to 39 contribute to increased bird collision risk; and (iii) evaluate existing mitigation measures 40 (e.g. power line routing, underground cabling, power line configuration, wire marking), 41 as well as their effectiveness in reducing collision risk. Our literature review showed (i) 42 there is comparatively little scientific evidence available for power line-specific factors. 43 (ii) there is a scarcity of studies in Asia, Africa and South America, and (iii) several 44 recommendations of good practice are still not supported by scientific evidence. Based 45 on knowledge gaps identified through this review, we outline suggestions for future 46 research and possible innovative approaches in three main areas: bird behaviour (e.g. 47 further use of loggers and sensors), impact assessment (e.g. understanding the drivers 48 of mortality hotspots, assess population-level impacts, develop methods for automatic 49 detection of collisions) and mitigation measures (e.g. further need of BACI approaches 50 to compare the effectiveness of different wire marking devices). The complex and region-51 specific interactions between collision drivers and bird ecology continue to limit our ability 52 to predict impacts and the success of mitigation measures.

53

54 Highlights

- We review the scientific evidence on bird collisions with power lines.
- Research gaps are identified and lines of further research suggested.
- Several existing recommendations of best practice lack scientific evidence.
- Further studies in Asia, Africa and South America are needed.

59

60 Keywords

Bird mortality; Collision risk; Impact assessment and mitigation; Energy; Knowledgegaps; Transmission and distribution lines.

63 1 INTRODUCTION

64 Global energy demand is expected to grow 30% between 2016 and 2040, particularly in parts of Asia (where more than half the increase is expected), Africa, Latin America, and 65 the Middle East (IEA, 2016). Bringing this energy to end users (people and industries) 66 67 will require a 7.2-8.1 trillion USD investment in the global electricity grid (IEA, 2016), which is growing at a rate of about 5% annually (Jenkins et al., 2010). This expansion 68 69 will require the construction of thousands of kilometres of new overhead power lines 70 (Gellings, 2015), which can be divided in two main types: "transmission lines" carry 71 electricity at high voltages from generating facilities to substations (where voltage is 72 reduced) and "distribution lines" deliver electricity to individual consumers at lower 73 voltages (IEA, 2016). The voltage threshold between these power line types usually 74 varies between 60 kV and 132 kV, depending on the country or region (CIGRE, 2017).

75 Overhead power lines and associated infrastructure entail various impacts on 76 biodiversity. One of the most well-known is bird mortality due to collision and 77 electrocution, which represents a major source of anthropogenic mortality and kills 78 hundreds of thousands to millions of birds every year (Erickson et al., 2005; Loss et al., 79 2015, 2014; Rioux et al., 2013). This paper is focused on collision as the most 80 widespread interaction of these infrastructures with birds in the sense that virtually any 81 aerial wire can pose an obstacle to flying birds, and it is thus associated with both 82 distribution and transmission power lines (e.g. Bevanger, 1994).

83 Several studies suggest that power line collision mortality can have significant 84 population-level impacts (Loss et al., 2012; Schaub et al., 2010; Schaub and Pradel, 85 2004), and red-listed and economically important species are commonly documented 86 casualties (Bevanger, 1998, 1995a; Hobbs, 1987; Janss, 2000). In some cases, there is 87 evidence that power line collision mortality can even lead to changes in migratory 88 patterns and flyways (Palacín et al., 2017). Thus, it is important to continuously improve 89 impact assessment methods and to design appropriate mitigation measures to be 90 applied when new power lines are designed and constructed, as well as when existing 91 lines are retrofitted. This would assist companies and authorities in ensuring that 92 infrastructure is developed in the most environmentally friendly way.

93 Scientific understanding of the links between power lines and bird collisions, and 94 effectiveness of mitigation measures, has steadily advanced over the past 20-30 years 95 (e.g. Barrientos et al., 2012, 2011, Bevanger, 1994, 1990; Jenkins et al., 2010; Loss et 96 al., 2015; Smith and Dwyer, 2016). The first peer-reviewed publications summarising 97 available information on drivers of bird collision, as well as mitigation measures, were 98 published by Bevanger (1998, 1994). Since then, there has been no peer-reviewed 99 update of scientific evidence, with the exception of Jenkins et al. (2010) which focussed 100 specifically on South Africa. Furthermore, there are still significant knowledge gaps that 101 need to be identified (e.g. Richardson et al., 2017) as, for example, some widely 102 accepted principles have never been tested, species-specific differences in collision risk 103 are not well understood, and the evaluation of effectiveness of mitigation measures to 104 date yields widely differing results (e.g. Barrientos et al., 2011; Jenkins et al., 2010).

In this review, we aim to evaluate the current science and practice of understanding and
mitigating bird collisions with power lines, and seek to identify major knowledge gaps
that should be the focus of subsequent research. For that purpose, we have structured
this paper into four major components:

- a) We first present results of a systematic literature review undertaken to assess the
 overall trends in scientific research on bird collisions with power lines in recent
 decades, as well as the more commonly studied topics;
- b) We then review factors known to contribute to increased collision risk, including
 species-specific factors (vision, morphology and ecology), site-specific factors
 (topography, landscape context, light and weather conditions, and anthropogenic
 disturbance) and power line-specific factors (number and spacing of wire levels,
 wire height and diameter);
- c) Thirdly, we summarise the existing strategies for reducing collision risk, namely
 power line routeing, underground cabling, power line configuration, wire marking,
 and habitat management, as well as understanding their effectiveness;
- d) We conclude by identifying knowledge gaps and suggesting future researchavenues to answer persisting questions.

122 2 METHODS

123 To review the literature, we compiled studies, both peer-reviewed and non-peer-124 reviewed (such as journal papers, books and book chapters, conference proceedings 125 and technical reports) focusing on bird collision with power lines. We started with a 126 systematic literature review, through the compilation of data from the search engines ISI 127 Web of Knowledge and Google Scholar. The search was carried out in December 2016, 128 using the term "power lines" combined with the following specific terms: "bird collision"; 129 "bird collision mitigation"; "bird mortality"; "bird avoidance"; and "bird collision guidelines". 130 Based on the recommendations of Haddaway et al. (2015) the Google Scholar search 131 focused on the first 300 results. All results from the ISI Web of Knowledge were checked 132 and only documents assessing bird collision with power lines were included in the 133 analysis (e.g. documents only reporting bird electrocutions or bird collisions with other man-made structures were excluded). Each document was assigned to one or more ofthe main topics of the manuscript (see Appendix, Table A1).

The systematic literature review had some limitations, as it was restricted to documents publicly available, accessible online, and written in English. Thus, whenever relevant to fulfil the objectives, we also reviewed key documents referenced by those identified through our systematic literature review, or included in our personal bibliographic collections (see Appendix, Table A2).

141

142 3 OVERALL TRENDS IN RESEARCH TOPICS

Overall, the systematic literature review resulted in 208 documents focusing on bird collision with power lines, of which 17 could not be accessed and were therefore excluded from the review. The first studies were carried out in the early 1970s and scientific evidence has been accumulating ever since, with the number of studies more than doubling over the last decade (Figure 1).

The majority of studies (60.2%), especially those published earlier, focused on quantifying bird fatalities from collisions (Figure 2). Collision risk factors were also frequently addressed, namely species-specific factors (51.3%), followed by site-specific (34.0%) and power line-specific factors (11.0%). Studies on strategies to mitigate bird collision events with power lines were also relatively frequent (46.6%).

153 Only a subset of 132 studies (69.1%) presented first-hand data on bird collisions with 154 power lines (Figure 3). These studies were conducted mainly in Europe and North 155 America (43.2% and 34.8%, respectively), which are currently the regions with the 156 largest extent of power lines (Wildemann et al., 2013). Transmission power lines were 157 by far the most studied type, with 91 studies (68.9%), compared to 49 (37.1%) on 158 distribution lines, even though distribution networks are significantly larger (CIGRE, 159 2017). However, some studies focused on both types, and surprisingly, a quarter of the 160 studies (25.8%) did not provide information about power-line type.

161 4 BIRD COLLISION RISK FACTORS

A wide range of factors can influence avian collision risk with power lines. For simplicity,
we have divided these into three main groups: species-specific, site-specific and powerline specific factors, although they are frequently interconnected.

165 4.1 SPECIES-SPECIFIC FACTORS

Species-specific physiology, morphology and ecology are key to understanding collision
risk. In this section, we summarise the current knowledge of how these factors may affect
collision risk.

169 4.1.1 Sensory perception

The morphology and physiology of the avian eye, and therefore how information from the eye is processed, likely influences collision risk and the effectiveness of collision mitigation. Avian vision shares common principles with other terrestrial vertebrates (Martin, 1990, 1985; Martin and Osorio, 2008; Sillman, 1973). There are however, important differences that may limit our ability to understand how power lines and mitigation, such as wire markers, are perceived by birds.

176 Birds with eyes located laterally have broad visual coverage of the surrounding world 177 (Martin, 2011, 1990, 1985), facilitating detection of conspecifics, predators and food 178 (Fernández-Juricic et al., 2008; Rogers, 2008). However, a very wide visual field may 179 also compromise a bird's ability to detect obstacles in the air. Martin (2011, 2009) argues 180 that most birds do not have the ability to estimate the distance to a specific object (relative 181 depth) due to the lateral position of the eyes, and that frontal binocular vision is important 182 to birds only when it comes to control of the bill and close objects. Some bird species 183 also have extensive blind regions above and behind the head, which can be fatal when 184 flying birds pitch their head downwards to look for prey, roost sites or conspecifics, and 185 the blind region projects forward in the direction of flight, therefore any obstacle lying 186 ahead is not detected (Martin, 2012, 2011; Martin and Shaw, 2010). This may help to 187 explain why even raptors with a visual acuity 2.5-3 times greater than humans 188 (Reymond, 1987, 1985), can sometimes fail to see a power line (Bevanger, 1994; Martin 189 and Shaw, 2010).

The majority of bird species have a single fovea area of the retina in which photoreceptors occur at high densities, providing a localised region of high spatial resolution (Sillman, 1973). Typical hunters like hawks, bitterns and swallows have two areas (Schmidt-Morand, 1992; Sillman, 1973). However, some birds, e.g. Galliformes, lack or have a very poorly developed area (Lisney et al., 2012). This is interesting as this taxon has one of the highest collision rates with power lines and fences (Baines and Summers, 1997; Bevanger, 1995a, 1995b; Bevanger and Brøseth, 2000).

The majority of bird species have also the ability to perceive ultraviolet (UV) light below
400 nm (for some species, to as low as 320 nm) (Cuthill et al., 2000; Ödeen et al., 2011;
Zhang, 2003). Thus, some authors (e.g. Lee, 1978; Tyler et al., 2014) have suggested
that the noise and UV emissions of the corona effect (small electromagnetic discharges

from transmission lines) and the electromagnetic field around conductors may be perceived by birds and, consequently, reduce the collision risk. No experiments have been conducted to confirm this hypothesis, however the intensity of UV light in corona discharges is very low and unlikely to be visible to birds given their relative low sensitivity to UV (Lind et al., 2014).

206 4.1.2 Morphological features

207 Over the last 30 years, aerodynamic theory has become an important tool in 208 understanding bird flight, and in examining how body morphology and physiology enable 209 flight (e.g. Hedenström, 2002; Norberg, 1990; Rayner, 1988). Rayner (1988) categorised 210 bird species according to how well they manoeuvre in the air to avoid oncoming 211 obstacles, based on wing loading (ratio of weight to wing area) and wing aspect ratio 212 (ratio of wingspan squared to wing area). He demonstrated that some bird groups 213 (named "poor fliers") were less manoeuvrable in flight than others, and data on species 214 vulnerability to power line collisions have subsequently confirmed Rayner's (1988) 215 classification. Power line collision victims are frequently species with high wing loading 216 and low or average wing aspect ratio, such as Anseriformes, Podicipediformes, 217 Gruiformes and Charadiiformes (e.g. Bevanger, 1998; Crowder, 2000; Janss, 2000; 218 Rioux et al., 2013; Rubolini et al., 2005). A good example of a "poor-flier" is the Great 219 bustard (Otis tarda), which due to its heavy body and relatively small wings is less able 220 to avoid unexpected obstacles, and has been consistently reported as a collision victim 221 in Europe (e.g. Barrientos et al., 2012; Janss and Ferrer, 2000; Reiter, 2000).

Within some groups (e.g. Anatidae) there are, however, significant differences between wing loads and aspect ratios, highlighting the importance of species-specific assessment of manoeuvrability (Rayner, 1988). However, even when groups have similar wing morphology (e.g. cranes, storks, eagles and vultures), and presumably similar physical collision susceptibility, they may have different mortality rates because of different flight behaviour and local/regional abundance (Janss, 2000).

228 Rayner's (1988) work was an important contribution to understanding the impact of body 229 weight and wing form on birds' ability to manoeuvre in flight. Nonetheless, there are still 230 important questions remaining, for example regarding the relationship between wing 231 loading and the minimum flight speed (required for sustained flight), or the role of the tail. 232 For instance, it is known that tail provides lift, helps in flight control and steering, and is 233 vital for maintaining balance and stability (Hedenström, 2002). Contradicting results on 234 how the length of the tail influences the collision risk (e.g. Janss, 2000; Rubolini et al., 235 2005) highlight, however, the need of further research on the topic.

236 4.1.3 Flight behaviour

237 Collision susceptibility may be influenced by flight behaviour. Gregarious species are 238 generally thought to be more vulnerable than species with solitary habits (APLIC, 2012; 239 Drewitt and Langston, 2008). Birds such as ducks, cranes, pigeons and starlings tend to 240 form large flocks and fly closely grouped together, which reduces the vision of trailing 241 birds and gives them less space to manoeuvre around unexpected obstacles (e.g. 242 Alonso and Alonso, 1999; Scott et al., 1972). On the other hand, Crowder (2000) 243 observed that flocks with >10 individuals reacted at greater distances to power lines than 244 single birds, suggesting that with more birds scanning for obstacles, flocks can adjust 245 their flight path faster and better avoid power lines. However, trailing birds in large flocks 246 (often immatures or juveniles; see section 4.1.5) may still have a higher collision risk.

247 During long distance migration flights, most birds fly at altitudes well above the height of 248 power lines (Gauthreaux, 1978; Newton, 2010), unless unexpected changes in flight 249 conditions occur (see section 4.2.3). Hence, collisions may occur mostly when birds 250 cross power lines in their local, daily movements. Birds may spend a large part of their 251 day flying between breeding/nesting or roosting sites, and foraging areas (or between 252 foraging areas). These movements, often during crepuscular periods with low light levels 253 (see section 4.2.3), can have a high collision risk, especially if the areas are relatively 254 close together and birds tend to fly between them at lower altitudes (APLIC, 2012; 255 Bevanger, 1994; Drewitt and Langston, 2008). Although raptors are infrequently reported 256 as collision victims, power lines intersecting the home range of some eagle species can 257 be problematic (Manosa and Real, 2001; Rollan et al., 2010; Watts et al., 2015). The 258 exact location is important though; power line spans placed close to the nest may never 259 be crossed by individuals, whereas spans more distant may pose a higher collision risk 260 if located directly along flight paths between the nest and foraging areas (Rollan et al., 261 2010).

Henderson et al. (1996) suggested that the pressure to deliver food to hungry nestlings may change flight behaviour of parents and thereby increase their susceptibility to collision. The authors observed that, during the breeding season, adult terns flew more frequently under or between power lines, presumably to reduce their journey time between feeding areas and the nest when feeding chicks. Once their young had become free flying, however, these same birds resumed flying over power lines.

There are other flight behaviours that increase collision risk. During the breeding season, some species perform display flights and territorial disputes that can distract them from the surrounding environment (Bevanger, 1994; Sundar and Choudhury, 2005). Likewise, the hunting behaviour of some raptors (e.g. falcons and goshawks) can increase collision risk, as they entail high-speed flights in pursuit of prey (Bevanger, 1994) or because they
may not be looking ahead when searching for prey and carrion on the ground below
(Martin et al., 2012). Willard (1978) also described a situation in Klamath Basin (USA)
where adult American white pelicans (*Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*) flying along canals,
collided with power lines while searching for food.

277 **4.1.4** Phenology and circadian habits

278 While local movements can be riskier than migration (if birds are travelling high), there 279 are several studies which have documented high collision rates of migratory species 280 (Shaw, 2013; van Rooyen and Diamond, 2008). This is because during migration, birds 281 undertake long distance movements into unfamiliar terrain, tend to form large 282 aggregations, fly at lower altitudes near stopover areas and therefore can increase their 283 probability of collision with power lines (e.g. Faanes, 1987; Janss and Ferrer, 2000; 284 Stehn and Wassenich, 2008). Resident species, on the other hand, have a profound 285 knowledge of all the obstacles within their home range, and seem to adapt their flight to 286 avoid the exposure to power lines (e.g. Shimada, 2001).

- 287 Circadian habits (often in association with gregarious behaviour and light conditions) can 288 also influence exposure risk to power line collision (i.e., power-line crossings per unit 289 time; Janss and Ferrer, 2000), both for migrant and resident birds. For example, cranes 290 and a wide variety of water birds such as gulls, flamingos, and herons tend to make 291 regular dusk and dawn flights between their roosts and feeding areas, and/or even forage 292 during the night (e.g. Janss and Ferrer, 2000; McNeil et al., 1985; Murphy et al., 2009; 293 Scott et al., 1972; Tere and Parasharya, 2011). Nocturnal migrants, such as rails, 294 thrushes, starlings, and other passerines, appear to be more susceptible to collision than 295 diurnal migrants (Drewitt and Langston, 2008; Scott et al., 1972). Diurnal migrants 296 include swifts, skylarks, cranes and raptors, which can take advantage of thermals 297 developed during the day and, with daylight, may have improved ability to see and avoid power lines (Luzenski et al., 2016). Despite their nocturnal habits, owls and nighthawks 298 299 seem to collide with power lines in relatively small numbers, especially compared to other 300 anthropogenic sources of mortality (e.g. Alonso et al., 1994; Schaub et al., 2010).
- 301 4.1.5 Age, sex and health

Several authors found that immature birds, in particular waterfowl and other water birds such as egrets and cranes, are more susceptible to collision than adults (e.g. Anderson, 1978; Brown and Drewien, 1995; Krapu, 1974; Sundar and Choudhury, 2005; Ward and Anderson, 1992). On some occasions, the proportion of juveniles recorded killed by power lines was over 90% (e.g. Crivelli et al., 1988). It has been hypothesized that young, inexperienced birds are not only less manoeuvrable, but also unfamiliar with the area and consequently unaware of the presence of overhead power lines. Furthermore,
immatures usually fly behind their parents which may reduce their ability to avoid sudden
obstacles. Henderson et al. (1996) observed that juvenile terns flew consistently closer
to wires than adults, with most juvenile crossings <1 m above the top wire. Most juveniles
also reacted late to the power line and many needed a second attempt to cross it.

313 Some studies have identified gender as a possible collision risk factor. It has been 314 suggested that male ducks are more prone to collision during breeding season, as they 315 may be less alert to overhead wires when in aerial pursuit of a female (Anderson, 1978; 316 Faanes, 1987). Male-biased collision mortality has also been observed in studies of 317 tetraonids and bustards, in this case probably because males are larger, heavier and 318 less manoeuvrable (Bevanger, 1995b; Jenkins et al., 2011). Such differences may be 319 affected by the higher detectability of male carcasses (see Bevanger, 1995b; Ponce et 320 al., 2010), so this should be taken this into account.

321 Studies addressing health condition as a possible collision risk factor are scarce. One 322 exception is a study by Kelly & Kelly (2005), who observed that Mute swans (*Cygnus* 323 *olor*) with moderately elevated blood lead levels suffered an increased risk of collision, 324 while individuals with even higher blood lead levels did not, possibly because they were 325 too weak to fly.

326 4.2 SITE-SPECIFIC FACTORS

Power lines can be found in a large range of landscape contexts (including habitat types),
variations in weather and light conditions, and topography, which may affect collision
risk. Disturbance caused by human activities is also highlighted as a site-specific risk
factor.

331 **4.2.1 Topography**

332 Geyr von Schweppenburg (1929) introduced a classic term – "*leading line*" - to describe 333 landforms, like coastlines, which are of great importance to migrating birds, as these 334 contribute to defining migratory flyways. The placement of a power line perpendicular to 335 these major flyways can pose high risk for shorebirds and other species on migration, 336 when birds fly at lower altitudes (e.g. Shobrak, 2012).

River valleys, topographical depressions, mountain passes and ridges can also act as leading lines as they tend to channel and concentrate flight paths (Bevanger, 1994; Thompson, 1978). For instance, mountain chains provide excellent flyways for soaringgliding birds due to the development of thermals and other updrafts (Newton, 2010). It is expected that power lines bisecting such migration corridors would result in frequent collision events (e.g. Stehn and Wassenich, 2008). However, there is little scientific evidence to date to support this. Rollan et al. (2010) found that topographic position is
not a determining factor in predicting collision risk for Bonelli's eagles (*Aquila fasciata*),
although there is a slight tendency for eagles to fly lower relative to ground level over
ridges. Similar results were found by Luzenski et al. (2016), who did not observe any
collisions with a new power line crossing the Kittatinny Ridge (USA), an important
navigational feature traversed annually by tens of thousands of migrating raptors.

349 General knowledge of how leading lines and other topographic elements affect flight path 350 choices among birds, locally or on long distance movements, may be important in 351 explaining why collisions are more frequent at some spots compared to others. 352 Nevertheless, the effects of power lines that bisect such landforms are still hard to predict 353 and require further investigation (Luzenski et al., 2016).

354 4.2.2 Habitat features

355 Vegetation plays an important role in bird exposure to power lines (APLIC, 2012). In 356 general, open areas like bogs or pastures allow birds to fly closer to the ground than 357 forested habitats, and consequently can pose higher collision risk when crossed by 358 power lines. Some species, such as geese, may use indirect paths to reach their foraging 359 areas and, to some extent, prefer to fly over woodlands rather than open areas that are 360 crossed by power lines (Shimada, 2001). In forested habitats, collision data from 361 Galliformes in central Norway (Bevanger, 1990; Bevanger and Brøseth, 2004) as well as 362 other species and regions (e.g. Mojica et al., 2009), indicate that collisions occur 363 particularly when power lines are higher than (adjacent) treetops.

364 Power lines that bisect wetlands, coastal areas, extensive steppes and other major bird 365 congregation habitats are assumed to be the most hazardous (Andriushchenko and 366 Popenko, 2012; Faanes, 1987; Malcolm, 1982), as birds establish breeding and 367 wintering colonies in these habitats, use them as stopover areas during migration, and 368 consequently concentrate at high densities, which dramatically affects the likelihood of 369 collisions. At a smaller scale, power lines crossing riparian habitats or nearby landfills 370 may have similar effects as these areas are heavily used by some groups of birds such 371 as passerines (e.g. Faanes, 1987) and storks (e.g. Garrido and Fernandez-Cruz, 2003), 372 respectively.

373 4.2.3 Weather and light conditions

It is widely accepted that adverse weather conditions can affect the behaviour of birds in
flight, and render overhead wires particularly inconspicuous (APLIC, 2012; Drewitt and
Langston, 2008). Heavy fog, rainfall, snow and cloudy conditions (particularly low cloud
ceilings), force birds to fly at low altitudes, even close to the ground (Bevanger, 1994;
Elkins, 1988). Most reported incidents of mass bird mortality with anthropogenic

379 structures have occurred during such weather conditions (e.g. Avery et al., 1977; Hüppop380 et al., 2016).

381 Wind direction and speed also play important roles in flight altitude and stability. Strong 382 tail and crosswinds can increase collision risk as birds approach power lines faster and 383 lack sufficient flight control to avoid the wires (e.g. Savereno et al., 1996; Ward and 384 Anderson, 1992). Susceptibility to collision also may be increased by headwinds, which 385 force birds to fly at lower altitudes where wind speed is lowest, to save energy (Bergman, 386 1978; Bevanger, 1994; Perdeck and Speek, 1984). Nonetheless, the effect of wind and 387 other adverse weather conditions on bird collision risk is not always consistent. Several 388 authors (e.g. Brown and Drewien, 1995; Murphy et al., 2009; Taylor and Walker, 2015) 389 have not observed an obvious relationship between collision risk and strong wind or 390 otherwise inclement weather.

391 Understanding the effects of light conditions on collision risk is an important, though quite 392 neglected, issue. At high latitudes, there is significant variation in the number of daylight 393 hours throughout the year. Norway, for example, covers 13 degrees of latitude, and 394 resident species have to cope with low light conditions for much of the year. Data for ten 395 years (1984-1995) from across Norway indicated that the majority of collisions occurred 396 during winter and early spring, periods with poor light and frequent bad weather 397 (Bevanger, 1995b, 1993, Bevanger and Brøseth, 2004, 2000). Likewise, waterbirds that 398 fly at night can be less likely to react to a power line (Deng and Frederick, 2001), or react 399 with less time to manoeuvre (Murphy et al., 2016a), suggesting that collision risk is higher 400 during darkness (Murphy et al., 2016b).

401 4.2.4 Anthropogenic disturbance

Some studies reported power line collisions resulting from birds being flushed by human
activities. Hunting is the most common source of disturbance (e.g. Brown and Drewien,
1995; Willard, 1978), yet recreational or agricultural activities, and power line
maintenance works are also recognised as potential disturbance sources (Murphy et al.,
2009; Sastre et al., 2009; Thompson, 1978; van Rooyen and Diamond, 2008).

407 Transportation disturbance from roads and railways (e.g. Krapu, 1974; Schroeder, 408 1977), or even aircraft noise (Blokpoel and Hatch, 1977) may also increase collision risk 409 with nearby power lines. Rollan et al. (2010) suggested that the presence of nearby 410 motorways may be associated with a 50% increase in the probability of a Bonelli's eagle 411 (A. fasciata) flying at the critical height for colliding with power lines, although the 412 presence of railways did not have a clear effect. Conversely, other authors (Shaw et al., 413 2017; Silva et al., 2010) have suggested that birds may avoid the vicinity of roads, and 414 other areas with intense human activities, with a potential reduction in collision risk.

- 415 Further research is needed to clarify the relationships between such linear infrastructure
- 416 and associated impacts on bird collision risk with nearby power lines.
- 417

418 4.3 POWER LINE-SPECIFIC FACTORS

419 In this section, we summarise the main power line features that can influence the risk of bird collision, including wire diameter and height, and line configuration (number of 420 421 vertical wire levels). Most of these features are strongly dependent on power line voltage. 422 due to relatively rigid technical constraints on engineering performance, service reliability 423 and public safety (Miller, 1978). Specification of power line features also involves cost-424 driven decisions by electricity companies, national governments and regulatory entities, 425 which can result in notable geographical (national or regional) variation within voltage 426 levels (e.g. Haas et al., 2005).

427 4.3.1 Number of vertical wire levels

428 The risk of bird collision is assumed to depend on the number of vertical levels of wires 429 and the spacing between them (e.g. Bevanger, 1994; Drewitt and Langston, 2008; 430 Jenkins et al., 2010). Though this makes intuitive sense, there is little scientific evidence 431 in support of it, due to the practical difficulties of testing such effects (APLIC, 2012). Still, 432 Bevanger and Brøseth (2001) recorded a 51% reduction in Ptarmigan (Lagopus spp.) 433 collision rates after removing the earth wire from a three phase distribution (22 kV) power 434 line. This modification represented a reduction from two vertical levels to one, as 435 (unusually) the earth wire had exactly the same diameter as the conductors. Prinsen et 436 al. (2011) reported another line modification example near a wetland. In this case, a 437 transmission line was modified to replace three vertical levels with two, which resulted in 438 a 72% reduction in the bird collision rate (from 0.51 to 0.14 fatalities/km/day). These 439 results are confounded however, because modifications also reduced power line height 440 and the distance between pylons. Pylon spacing is thought to play an important role 441 (Jenkins et al., 2010), as collision rates near pylons tend to be lower than at mid-span 442 (Neves et al., 2005; Pandey et al., 2008; Ward and Anderson, 1992).

Infante et al. (2005) and Neves et al.(2005), leading two large-scale bird mortality
surveys on Portuguese distribution (15-30 kV) and transmission (150-400 kV) lines
respectively, did not find correlation between collision rates and the number of vertical
levels. Thus, at least at local scales and considering all bird species, other factors may
be more important or confound effects.

448 **4.3.2 Wire height**

449 The effect of power line height above ground on collisions is strongly dependent on flight 450 altitude and consequently on factors such as species' flight behaviours, stage of the 451 yearly cycle and habitats surrounding power lines (Bevanger, 1994; Brown et al., 1987); 452 see also sections 4.1.3 and 4.2.2, respectively). There is a general agreement though 453 that taller structures pose higher collision risks (APLIC, 1994; Haas et al., 2005; Prinsen 454 et al., 2012), as birds approaching at wire height tend to gain altitude to fly over the 455 obstacle rather than passing below (Beaulaurier, 1981; Luzenski et al., 2016; Murphy et 456 al., 2009). However, very few studies have tried to evaluate the influence of wire height 457 alone on the incidence of collision. An exception is provided by Neves et al. (2005), who 458 found a positive correlation between collision rate (all bird species) and pylon height 459 (range 23-33 m) of transmission lines (150-400 kV), but only when a single wire 460 configuration (flat) and habitat (extensive farmland) were considered.

461 Several authors provided comparisons of collision rates between distribution and 462 transmission lines under similar circumstances (e.g. Meyer, 1978; Ward and Anderson, 463 1992). These results are often used as a proxy for the effects of wire height of various 464 power line configurations (van Rooyen and Diamond, 2008), supporting the general 465 observation that transmission lines are associated with higher collision rates than 466 distribution lines (Manville II, 2005; Shaw et al., 2017). This idea is supported, for 467 instance, by Meyer (1978), focusing mostly on wildfowl and shorebirds, by Ward & 468 Anderson (1992) with cranes, and through a comparison of the results obtained by 469 Infante et al. (2005) and Neves et al. (2005). It should, however, be noted that in most 470 cases wire height cannot be dissociated from others features associated with voltage, 471 such as number and spacing of wires levels, span length, and cable diameter of 472 conductors (compared to earth wires).

473 4.3.3 Wire diameter and earth wire

474 The probability of power line collisions is expected to depend on a bird's species-specific 475 capacity to detect wires, and consequently on the visual perception of the various wires 476 used (APLIC, 2012; Martin and Shaw, 2010); see also section 4.1.1). Wire diameter is 477 widely accepted as a determinant of collision risk (e.g. Jenkins et al., 2010). However, 478 support for this hypothesis comes almost entirely from the evaluation of the relative 479 contribution of earth wires and phase conductors to the occurrence of bird collisions with 480 transmission power lines (Beaulaurier, 1981; Brown et al., 1987; Faanes, 1987; Murphy 481 et al., 2009). Earth wires almost always run along the top of the wire array and are notably 482 thinner (~50 %) than conductors, so there is no possibility of disentangling the effects of wire height and diameter, although an experimental design to clarify this could be easilyimplemented.

485 Earth wires have been shown to account for the majority of collisions involving 486 transmission lines. Of a total of 208 bird collisions observed in five studies, mostly 487 through systematic observations of flight behaviour (Faanes, 1987; Meyer, 1978; Murphy 488 et al., 2009; Scott et al., 1972), 84% involved earth wires and only 16% involved 489 conductors. It may be that earth wires at the top of structures, interfere more with bird 490 flight paths than the conductors below (even when the latter are on several vertical 491 levels). There is, however, also evidence that a substantial fraction of the observed earth 492 wire collisions or near collisions involve birds originally flying lower than the earth wires, 493 and reacting (late) to the presence of the conductors (Faanes, 1987; Meyer, 1978; Scott 494 et al., 1972). Reductions in collision mortality by 78% and 48% obtained through 495 experimental removal of earth wires (Beaulaurier, 1981; Brown et al., 1987, respectively) 496 also illustrate the relative importance of these wires.

497 **5 STRATEGIES TO MITIGATE COLLISIONS**

In this section, we describe the mitigation measures that are usually adopted to reduce
collision risk associated with power lines, highlighting those that require further scientific
evidence to demonstrate their effectiveness.

501 5.1 UNDERGROUND CABLING

502 Burying the power line is the only solution that completely prevents bird collisions. Low 503 and medium-voltage power lines have been successfully laid underground, and the 504 practice is now common in several countries, including Belgium, Germany, Norway, 505 Netherlands and USA (Haas et al., 2005; Prinsen et al., 2012). The adoption of this 506 solution is sometimes imposed by legal regulations or based on aesthetics, electrical 507 system safety or reliability (Brockbank, 2014); yet on some occasions it has been 508 exclusively justified by bird conservation concerns. For example, in Eastern Austria and 509 Western Hungary, extensive underground cabling of distribution lines was implemented 510 in an important area for West-Pannonian Great bustards (O. tarda) (Raab et al., 2012). 511 This measure, complemented with wire marking (see section 5.4) of other lines in the 512 area, successfully decreased the mortality rate of bustards within a short time period. In 513 Italy, approximately one-third of the high- and medium-voltage power lines constructed 514 at the Po Delta Regional Park were also completely or partially buried wherever they 515 crossed critical areas for birds (Parco Regionale Delta del Po, 2005).

516 The effectiveness of this measure to reduce bird collisions is unquestionable. However, 517 burying power lines is not economically feasible in all countries and terrains, especially 518 where the electric network is growing rapidly or is already extensive, and funding for 519 ground cabling will not be available in the near future (Antal, 2010). When technically 520 feasible, the costs of installing underground cables can be 4-10 times higher than the 521 construction of traditional overhead lines (Hall, 2013; Parsons Brinckerhoff, 2012). 522 Transmission lines are particularly problematic because their burying entails greater 523 technical and legal challenges (particularly to ensure low levels of electromagnetic fields 524 at the surface) and consequently much higher costs (e.g. Raab et al., 2012). Higher costs 525 are a major concern for electric utilities since not all consumers, despite the increasing 526 public awareness of the problem, are willing to pay more for undergrounding (APLIC, 527 2012; Hall, 2013). Thus, worldwide it is likely that overhead power lines will remain in 528 use for power transmission at least, unless significant impacts justify the additional costs 529 (APLIC, 2012; Haas et al., 2005; SNH, 2016).

530 5.2 ROUTE PLANNING

531 Careful route planning is considered one of the most effective ways to mitigate bird collisions with overhead power lines (D'Amico et al., in press). European Union 532 533 environmental legislation endorses this by making Strategic Environmental Assessments 534 (SEA; SEA Directive 2001/42/EC) mandatory for all public energy plans or programmes 535 (e.g. EirGrid, 2013). SEA aims to engage all stakeholders in the primary stages of the 536 planning process and promote higher-level discussions so national electricity grids can 537 expand sustainably. Strategic planning often can be helped by national and regional 538 sensitivity maps based on modelled bird collision risk (Quinn et al., 2011; Shaw et al., 539 2010; Silva et al., 2014), or simply on species distribution models or locations (e.g. 540 Allinson, 2017; Australian Government, 2015). Unfortunately, these are not always 541 publicly available, developed or possible to achieve.

542 Once strategic planning is completed, it is important to consider alternative corridors for 543 each individual project under the Environmental Impact Assessment procedure (e.g. 544 APLIC, 2012; Haas et al., 2005; SNH, 2016; Williams, 2003). At a broader scale, power 545 line routing should avoid large wetlands and other sensitive bird habitats, important 546 migratory routes or protected areas designated for species of conservation concern. For 547 example, a proposed transmission line in Nebraska (USA) that partially overlapped a 548 federally designated migration corridor of the endangered Whooping crane (Grus 549 americana), was rerouted to avoid important roosting and foraging areas by at least one 550 mile (Tracy et al., 2012). At a finer scale, routes should avoid, to the greatest extent 551 possible, crossing nesting and foraging sites, main flight paths of resident and migratory 552 species, and prominent landscape features such as important rivers and mountain ridge 553 lines (e.g. Bevanger, 1994; Faanes, 1987; Harness and Carlton, 2001; SNH, 2016; 554 Thompson, 1978). Birds commonly take off into the wind and thus, it is recommended

that power lines are orientated parallel to the prevailing wind direction (Bevanger, 1994;
Heck, 2007), despite the lack of scientific evidence on the effectiveness of this practice.

557 According to best practice guidelines (e.g. Prinsen et al., 2012; SNH, 2016; Williams, 558 2003), new power lines should preferably run along existing linear elements (e.g. other 559 power lines, rows of trees, roads, railways) to reduce habitat fragmentation and mitigate 560 bird collisions. Some authors suggest that clustering linear obstacles can reduce collision 561 risk as they become more visible and birds need to complete only one ascent and 562 descent flight to cross several obstacles at once (APLIC, 1994; Bevanger, 1994; 563 Thompson, 1978). However, few studies (e.g. Shaw, 2013) have attempted to evaluate 564 the effectiveness of this measure in terms of the bird collision hazard. A potential 565 unintended consequence, that multiple adjacent lines of different heights could create a 566 fence which may increase collisions, especially in poor light conditions, has not been 567 evaluated either.

568

569 5.3 POWER LINE CONFIGURATION

570 Removal of the earth wire can lead to significant reductions in bird collision rates (see 571 section 4.3.3). However, on many occasions this measure is not a realistic option, as the 572 earth wire is crucial to protect the power line from lightning strikes and to guarantee 573 service reliability (APLIC, 2012).

574 Alternative options to adjust power line features include the arrangement of the 575 conductors, cable diameter, span lengths (i.e. the distance between two adjacent pylons) 576 and topographic position of the pylons. Studies carried out for the specific purpose of 577 testing the influence of power line design on collision rates are lacking, probably because 578 these technical details are defined a priori at the planning stage. However, it may be 579 beneficial to reduce the number of vertical wire levels and, consequently, the collision 580 risk zone, by changing the relative position of the conductors from a multi-level to a single 581 level arrangement (APLIC, 2012; Bevanger, 1994; Haas et al., 2005). There is also 582 general agreement that i) wires should be kept as low as possible, ii) span lengths should 583 be kept as short as possible (e.g. by adding a pole mid-span) and iii) cabling used should 584 be as thick as possible (APLIC, 2012; Jenkins et al., 2010; Shaw et al., 2010), but we 585 found little scientific evidence that these recommendations are effective (see sections 586 4.3.2 and 4.3.3). Adoption of these measures is unlikely though, apart from when 587 constructing new power lines or retrofitting existing lines, due to the resulting costs and 588 technical constraints involved (e.g. right-of-way requirements, system reliability, country-589 specific regulations).

590 **5.4 WIRE MARKING**

591 The attachment of markers in the form of e.g. spirals, plates, flappers, swivels or spheres 592 to overhead wires to increase their visibility has been by far the most common mitigation 593 measure employed to reduce bird collisions with power lines (APLIC, 2012; Barrientos 594 et al., 2011). Barrientos et al. (2011) conducted a meta-analysis of 21 wire-marking 595 studies, and concluded that it decreases bird collision by 55-94% (on average, 78%). 596 The study confirmed the overall efficacy of wire marking, although potential explanatory 597 variables (e.g. habitat, type of marker) explaining the large variability found were not well 598 studied, nor were potential biases like carcass persistence and detectability rates 599 (Costantini et al., 2017; Ponce et al., 2010), or crippling (collision fatalities that "land" 600 outside survey area or injured animals that die only after moving away from it; see 601 Murphy et al., 2016b). In fact, wire-marking efficacy varies greatly depending on 602 surrounding environment, target bird species and device characteristics (Jenkins et al., 603 2010). Thus, there is still considerable uncertainty in choosing the most effective design 604 and arrangement for each particular circumstance.

605 The devices most commonly used in the 32 wire-marking studies compiled in this review 606 were spirals or vibration dampers (51%), followed by flappers or other clamps with 607 moving parts (32%) and clamps without moving parts (8%) (see Appendix, Figure A1 for 608 specific examples). Some of the most recent devices on the market have not yet been 609 included in published studies. Devices with reflective or glow-in-the-dark parts are 610 becoming more prevalent (e.g. Murphy et al., 2016a; Sporer et al., 2013), whereas 611 aviation balls used in the early marking experiments are generally being phased out (see 612 references in Barrientos et al., 2011). Current trends reflect the expectation that, based 613 on what we know of bird vision, bigger markers or closer together, markers of brighter 614 colours and more contrast, and those with moving components should be the most 615 effective (Martin, 2011).

616 There is little evidence for the comparative effectiveness of different marker types. This 617 is due in part to limited study designs (Barrientos et al., 2011), lack of publication of 618 studies with negative conclusions and potential variations in effectiveness of each 619 marker type depending on the species. Most studies comparing different markers found 620 inconclusive results (e.g. De La Zerda, 2012; Scott et al., 1972; Shaw, 2013; Sporer et 621 al., 2013). There are, however, exceptions. For instance, Murphy et al. (2016a) found 622 that Sandhill cranes (Antigone canadensis) reacted at greater distances and with more 623 gradual avoidance behaviours to power lines marked with FireFly flappers and large 624 double spirals than to those marked with aviation balls. Nonetheless, and depending on 625 the circumstances, these same large spirals appear to be less effective than small 626 spirals, although both reduce mortality rates compared to unmarked spans (Crowder,

627 2000; Ventana Wildlife Society, 2009). Brown & Drewien (1995) found that spiral 628 vibration dampers were slightly more effective than plates. Both Anderson (2002) and 629 Calabuig & Ferrer (2009) found that spirals were less effective than, respectively, 630 flappers and clamps without moving parts. Calabuig & Ferrer (2009) found also that the 631 colour of spirals, namely white, yellow or orange, did not affect their effectiveness in 632 reducing mortality.

633 Information on optimal marker spacing is even more scarce than information on the 634 efficacy of different marker types (Barrientos et al., 2011 and references therein). There 635 may be an inflection point below which adding more markers improves mitigation, and 636 above which little additional benefit is gained (Sporer et al., 2013). However, published 637 experiments have not explored these potential thresholds (Anderson, 2002; Sporer et 638 al., 2013). Other studies that explored marker spacing indirectly did not control 639 confounding variables, as in Murphy et al. (2016a), who reported that closely spaced 640 glow-in-the-dark markers were more effective in mitigating collision mortality than widely 641 spaced non-glowing markers.

642 There are technical constraints that affect the possibilities and effectiveness of wire 643 marking. For example, most transmission lines can only be marked on earth wires (which 644 are not energized), because the attachment of devices to the conductors can result in 645 additional corona discharges and unacceptable levels of audio noise, radio interference 646 and power loss (e.g. Hurst, 2004; Murphy et al., 2016a). Aviation balls on a line can 647 accumulate ice and snow in cold weather, and can be misleading to human pilots when 648 installed for bird safety rather than around airports. For those reasons, aviation balls 649 have mostly been replaced by spirals, which are less problematic in these regards 650 (Bevanger et al., 2014). The recent shift toward flappers reduces ice loading but can be 651 problematic because flappers are less durable, falling more easily from the wire 652 (Dashnyam et al., 2016; Sporer et al., 2013). High wind also can twist flappers locking 653 them into fixed positions, reducing their effectiveness (Dashnyam et al., 2016). However, 654 recent modifications by line marker manufacturers are intended to address these 655 concerns.

656 5.5 HABITAT MANAGEMENT

Habitats present along or near power line rights-of-way can be attractive to some bird
species (e.g. Tryjanowski et al., 2013), increasing their exposure to collision (see section
3.2). Thus, a suggested strategy to change local flight paths and prevent bird collisions
is the modification of adjacent habitats, land uses or management practices (APLIC,
2012; Thompson, 1978). For instance, when a power line is located between a feeding
area and a roosting site and birds cross it regularly during low altitude flights (e.g.

663 Harness and Carlton, 2001), it could be helpful to reduce the crossing frequency by 664 creating new feeding and roosting areas on one side of the power line.

Habitat management approaches may face significant implementation constraints as *i*)
landowners are usually reluctant to implement land use changes; *ii*) changes of flight
paths and land usage by birds are hard to achieve; and *iii*) actions targeting a specific
species may cause negative effects on other species that need to be properly addressed.

669 Another possibility is to distract or deter birds from the vicinity of power lines. Taking 670 advantage of their high-resolution lateral vision (e.g. to look for conspecifics and foraging 671 opportunities), Martin (2011) and others (e.g. APLIC, 2012; Thompson, 1978) suggest 672 the creation of foraging patches to encourage birds to land before encountering a power 673 line obstacle, or to install visual stimuli and alerting sounds (placed at a suitable distance 674 from the power line) to help birds change their intended flight path. Collisions caused by 675 frightened birds may be reduced by restricting high-disturbance activities on power line 676 rights-of-way (e.g. limiting hunting activities, reducing speed limits on nearby roads) 677 (APLIC, 2012; Thompson, 1978). However, very few studies have tested the efficacy of 678 such measures, and those that have yielded contradictory results. For instance, Heijnis 679 (1980) found that the use of raptor silhouettes (falcon/hawk) resulted in a significant 680 decrease in collision frequency; while, Janss et al. (1999) found that decoys (Aquila sp. 681 and Accipiter sp.) had no effect on collisions or the potential for collisions, and actually 682 underwent a high number of attacks from other raptors.

683 6 KNOWLEDGE GAPS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

684 Overall, our literature review shows (i) there is comparatively little scientific evidence for 685 power line-specific factors, namely what is the impact of the number of vertical levels, or 686 wire height and diameter; (ii) more studies from Asia, Africa and South America are 687 needed, as addressing bird species or power line features specific of these regions of 688 the planet will increase overall scientific knowledge, eventually enabling the identification 689 of conservation-valued species that might be impacted at population-level in these 690 specific geographical contexts. Eventually some studies from these regions might exist 691 in local reports/ languages, and this information should be published on international 692 journals to make a better use of this research; and (iii) several recommendations of good 693 practice are still not supported by scientific evidence, e.g. clustering new power lines with 694 other existing linear elements, or habitat management to change local flight paths and 695 prevent bird collisions.

696 Identified knowledge gaps and suggestions for research and innovative approaches are697 summarised in Table 1, divided into three major topics (behaviour aspects, impact

assessment and mitigation measures). Here we highlight those we considered of highestpriority.

700 6.1 BIRD BEHAVIOUR AND PERCEPTION

701 The main research challenges in terms of bird behaviour relate to understanding the 702 conditions under which flight patterns increase collision risk, as well as understanding 703 the level of perception of power line cables by birds. Information from birds with state-of-704 the-art tracking devices allowing a high frequency sampling effort can be particularly 705 useful to characterise flight behaviour (height and pattern) in three-dimensional space. 706 This can be translated to collision risk (Luzenski et al., 2016), and related to topography 707 and local weather conditions. Data from birds tracked with precision loggers could allow 708 for unbiased assessment of different anthropogenic causes of mortality. Sensors to 709 identify collisions of tracked birds could expand our knowledge of habitat drivers and 710 power line configuration on mortality, as well as enabling more accurate mortality 711 estimates, including a better assessment of crippling bias (see section 6.3).

In parallel, understanding the level of visual perception of power line cables and wire markers by birds is another important research area. More information on interspecific differences in visual acuity and colour- and UV-perception, could contribute to understanding differences in collision risk and help in the design of more efficient markers. Experimental approaches have an important role here (e.g. Martin and Shaw, 2010), although detailed behavioural studies of tracked birds crossing power lines could also yield valuable data (e.g. changes in behaviour and reaction distances).

719 6.2 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Increased knowledge of factors underlying mortality hotspots (e.g. Prinsen et al., 2012;
Quinn et al., 2011) is key to identifying sensitive areas that should be prioritised for
mitigation. This is particularly important in regions where the electricity grid is expected
to increase most, such as Asia (IEA, 2016).

724 One of the most challenging research questions is to what extent collision mortality 725 causes population-level impacts (Loss et al., 2015). This requires research on both key 726 demographic parameters for population viability analysis (Jenkins et al., 2011) and on 727 the development of suitable modelling approaches that enable clarification of the degree 728 to which anthropogenic mortality is compensatory (at least some individuals killed would 729 have died in the absence of collisions) or additive (killed birds would not have died 730 otherwise) (Loss et al., 2015). Addressing cumulative impacts from multiple sources of 731 mortality is a particularly important (although difficult) challenge. Examples of studies 732 addressing these issues are mostly focussed on electrocution (e.g. Chevallier et al., 733 2015; Hernández-Matías et al., 2015), although a few studies dealing with collisions exist (Bevanger et al., 2014; Schaub and Pradel, 2004). The conduction of impact assessment
studies that integrate multiple projects, such as wind and solar energy facilities and the
associated power lines, should become a common practice to optimize the decisionmaking process and managing of cumulative impacts. Ideally, long term monitoring
would be implemented to assess local-level and population-level impacts, in particular
for high priority species.

740 Current methods to evaluate and quantify bird collision mortality usually use field surveys 741 where human observers (sometimes with the help of dogs) search for dead birds or their 742 remains under power lines. Such surveys are constrained by several limitations and 743 biases. Research effort should be focused on technological advances towards the 744 automated detection of collisions that in the future may replace traditional field surveys. 745 This would also be helpful for evaluating the effectiveness of wire marking. Bird strike 746 indicators - a vibration-sensing and recording tool designed to detect bird collisions (e.g. 747 Harness et al., 2003; Pandey et al., 2008) - are a promising tool, and existing data 748 strongly suggests these devices can significantly outperform traditional corrected-count 749 mortality estimators (Murphy et al., 2016b).

750 While automated detection of collisions is not fully developed and widespread, 751 standardisation of field methods for mortality assessments is badly needed. This is a 752 priority shared with wind turbine impact research (Piorkowski et al., 2012) as it will 753 improve the reliability and accuracy of both data collection and research conclusions 754 (Hunting, 2002). The wide diversity of approaches and techniques currently used during 755 field surveys (Loss et al., 2015) hinders comparison across studies and reduces the 756 value of data for meta-analysis in drawing reliable conclusions (Barrientos et al., 2011). 757 A further drawback of currently used approaches is that studies are biased towards lines 758 with known collision problems, which hinders extrapolation to population-level impacts.

Biases involved in bird mortality estimates through classic surveys – carcass removal by scavengers, searcher efficiency and crippling bias - represent three particular areas for further research (Murphy et al., 2016b; Ponce et al., 2010; Rioux et al., 2013). Further research is also needed to refine the estimators used to correct for those biases (Bernardino et al., 2013; Huso et al., 2016; Stevens and Dennis, 2013).

764 6.3 MITIGATION MEASURES

Improving our knowledge on the effectiveness of mitigation measures relies mostly on
implementation of experimental before-after-control-impact (BACI) monitoring designs
including test and control segments and sampling before implementation of the measure
(Barrientos et al., 2011; Thiault et al., 2017). Further studies on comparing different types
of devices (including glow-in-the-dark) and colours, together with the effects of habitat

770 and weather on device effectiveness are badly needed (e.g. Sporer et al., 2013; Yee, 771 2008), as well on device durability and technical limitations (e.g. Dashnyam et al., 2016; 772 Hurst, 2004). Optimal spacing is another priority area for research, with Sporer et al. 773 (2013) hypothesising that there is a threshold density above which adding more line 774 markers should provide little additional benefit. The use of other mitigation measures 775 should be further investigated, such as thickening, coating or colouring of least visible 776 wires, and acoustic or silhouette scaring methods (Bevanger, 1994; Swaddle and 777 Ingrassia, 2017).

A final topic to clarify is power line configuration and optimal-routing. There is scarce
scientific evidence on the effect of conductor arrangement (horizontal or vertical)
(Bevanger, 1994), and the recommendation for grouping power lines in a common
corridor (APLIC, 2012) has never, to our knowledge, been robustly assessed.

782 7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

FM, JB and RCM work was carried out in the framework of REN Biodiversity Chair,
funded by REN - Redes Energéticas Nacionais and Fundação para a Ciência e a
Tecnologia (FCT). JB also benefited from a doctoral grant (SFRH/BD/117845/2016) from
FCT. ATM work was funded by FCT, under the doctoral grant (SFRH/BD/100147/2014).
JPS work was funded by FCT, under the postdoctoral grant (SFRH/BPD/111084/2015).
RB would like to thank to Infraestruturas de Portugal Biodiversity Chair.

We are thankful to Rowena Langston for her suggestions and comments to the manuscript. Finally, we would like to thank the many colleagues with whom we have worked on studies of avian collision. Though too numerous to name, this work would not have been possible without their numerous insights over many decades of research.

793 8 ONLINE SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table A1 – List of studies compiled through systematic literature search.

Table A2 – List of additional studies included in the review, besides the studies compiled
through systematic literature search.

Figure A1 – Examples of some common line markers currently available on the market.

798 9 REFERENCES

Allinson, T., 2017. Introducing a New Avian Sensitivity Mapping Tool to Support the
Siting of Wind Farms and Power Lines in the Middle East and Northeast Africa, in:
Köppel, J. (Ed.), Wind Energy and Wildlife Interactions: Presentations from the

- 802 CWW2015 Conference. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 207–218.
 803 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-51272-3 12
- Alonso, J.A., Alonso, J.C., 1999. Collision of birds with overhead transmission lines in
 Spain, in: Ferrer, M., Janss, G.F.E. (Eds.), Birds and Power Lines: Collision,
 Electrocution, and Breeding. Quercus, Madrid, pp. 57–82.
- Alonso, J.C., Alonso, J.A., Muñoz-Pulido, R., 1994. Mitigation of bird collisions with
 transmission lines through groundwire marking. Biol. Conserv. 67, 129–134.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(94)90358-1
- Anderson, M.D., 2002. The effectiveness of two different marking devices to reduce large
 terrestrial bird collisions with overhead electricity cables in the eastern Karoo, South
 Africa. Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Anderson, W.L., 1978. Waterfowl Collisions with Power Lines at a Coal-Fired Power
 Plant. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 6, 77–83.
- Andriushchenko, Y.A., Popenko, V.M., 2012. Birds and Power Lines in Steppe Crimea:
 Positive and Negative Impacts, Ukraine. Raptors Conserv. 24, 34–41.
- Antal, M., 2010. Policy measures to address bird interactions with power lines a
 comparative case study of four countries. Ostrich 81, 217–223.
 doi:10.2989/00306525.2010.517921
- APLIC, 2012. Reducing avian collisions with power lines: The state of the art in 2012.Washington, DC.
- APLIC, 1994. Mitigating bird collisions with power lines: the state of the art in 1994.Washington, D.C.
- Australian Government, D. of the E., 2015. Draft referral guideline for 14 birds listed as
 migratory species under the EPBC Act, Commonwealth of Australia 2015.
 Canberra.
- Avery, M., Springer, P.F., Cassel, J.F., 1977. Weather Influences on Nocturnal Bird
 Mortality at a North Dakota Tower. Wilson Bull. 89, 291–299.
- Baines, D., Summers, R.W., 1997. Assessment of Bird Collisions with Deer Fences in
 Scottish Forests. J. Appl. Ecol. 34, 941–948. doi:10.2307/2405284
- Barrientos, R., Alonso, J.C., Ponce, C., Palacín, C., 2011. Meta-analysis of the
 effectiveness of marked wire in reducing avian collisions with power lines. Conserv.
 Biol. 25, 893–903. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2011.01699.x

- 834 Barrientos, R., Ponce, C., Palacín, C., Martín, C.A., Martín, B., Alonso, J.C., 2012. Wire 835 Marking Results in a Small but Significant Reduction in Avian Mortality at Power 836 Lines: A BACI Designed Study. PLoS One 7, e32569. 837 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032569
- Beaulaurier, D.L., 1981. Mitigation of bird collisions with transmission lines. Portland,Oregon, USA.
- Bergman, G., 1978. Effects of Wind Conditions on the Autumn Migration of Waterfowl
 between the White Sea Area and the Baltic Region. Oikos 30, 393–397.
 doi:10.2307/3543488
- Bernardino, J., Bispo, R., Costa, H., Mascarenhas, M., 2013. Estimating bird and bat
 fatality at wind farms: a practical overview of estimators, their assumptions and
 limitations. New Zeal. J. Zool. 40, 63–74. doi:10.1080/03014223.2012.758155
- Bevanger, K., 1998. Biological and conservation aspects of bird mortality caused by
 electricity power lines: a review. Biol. Conserv. 86, 67–76. doi:10.1016/S00063207(97)00176-6
- Bevanger, K., 1995a. Estimates and Population Consequences of Tetraonid Mortality
 Caused by Collisions with High Tension Power Lines in Norway. J. Appl. Ecol. 32,
 745–753. doi:10.2307/2404814
- Bevanger, K., 1995b. Tetraonid mortality caused by collisions with power lines in boreal
 forest habitats in central Norway. Fauna Nor. Ser. C Cinclus 18, 41–51.
- Bevanger, K., 1994. Bird interactions with utility structures: collision and electrocution,
 causes and mitigating measures. Ibis. 136, 412–425.
- 856 Bevanger, K., 1993. Bird collisions with a 220 kV transmission line in Polmak, Finnmark.
- Bevanger, K., 1990. Topographic aspects of transmission wire collision hazard to game
 birds in Central Norwegian forest. Fauna Nor. Ser. C Cinclus 13, 11–18.
- Bevanger, K., Brøseth, H., 2004. Impact of power lines on bird mortality in a subalpine
 area. Anim. Biodivers. Conserv. 27, 67–77.
- Bevanger, K., Brøseth, H., 2001. Bird collisions with power lines an experiment with
 ptarmigan (Lagopus spp.). Biol. Conserv. 99, 341–346. doi:10.1016/S00063207(00)00217-2
- Bevanger, K., Brøseth, H., 2000. Reindeer Rangifer tarandus fences as a mortality factor
 for ptarmigan Lagopus spp. Wildlife Biol. 6, 121–127.

- Bevanger, K.M., Bartzke, G., Brøseth, H., Dahl, E.L., Gjershaug, J.O., Hanssen, F.O.,
 Jacobsen, K.-O., Kleven, O., Kvaløy, P., May, R.F., Meås, R., Nygård, T., Refsnæs,
 S., Stokke, S., Thomassen, J., 2014. Optimal design and routing of power lines;
 ecological technical and economic perspectives (OPTIPOL). Final Report, findings
 2009 2014. Trondheim.
- Blokpoel, H., Hatch, D.R.M., 1977. Snow geese, disturbed by aircraft, crash into power
 lines. Can. F. Nat. 90, 195.
- Brockbank, R.A., 2014. Environmental Effects of Underground and Overhead
 Transmission Line Construction and Maintenance, in: Doucet, J. (Ed.), Proceedings
 of the 10th International Symposium on Environmental Concerns in Rights-of-Way
 Management. pp. 37–69.
- Brown, W.M., Drewien, R.C., 1995. Evaluation of Two Power Line Markers to Reduce
 Crane and Waterfowl Collision Mortality. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 23, 217–227.
- Brown, W.M., Drewien, R.C., Bizeau, E.G., 1987. Mortality of cranes and waterfowl from
 power line collisions in the San Luis Valley, in: Lewis, J.C. (Ed.), Proceedings 1985
 Crane Workshop. Platte River Whooping Crane Maintenance Trust, Grand Island,
 Nebraska, pp. 128–136.
- Calabuig, C.P., Ferrer, M., 2009. Análisis de la eficacia y la vida útil de la señalización
 anticolisión "salvapájaros" en líneas de transporte de energía eléctrica. Seville,
 España.
- Chevallier, C., Hernández-Matías, A., Real, J., Vincent-Martin, N., Ravayrol, A., Besnard,
 A., 2015. Retrofitting of power lines effectively reduces mortality by electrocution in
 large birds: an example with the endangered Bonelli's eagle. J. Appl. Ecol. 52,
 1465–1473. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12476
- 890 CIGRE, 2017. International Council on Large Electric Systems [WWW Document]. Off.
 891 website. URL http://www.cigre.org/ (accessed 8.1.17).
- Costantini, D., Gustin, M., Ferrarini, A., Dell'Omo, G., 2017. Estimates of avian collision
 with power lines and carcass disappearance across differing environments. Anim.
 Conserv. 20, 173–181. doi:10.1111/acv.12303
- Crivelli, A.J., Jerrentrup, H., Mitchev, T., 1988. Electric Power Lines: A Cause of Mortality
 in Pelecanus crispus Bruch, a World Endangered Bird Species, in Porto-Lago,
 Greece. Colon. Waterbirds 11, 301–305. doi:10.2307/1521012
- 898 Crowder, M.R., 2000. Assessment of devices designed to lower the incidence of avian899 power lines strikes. Purdue University.

- 900 Cuthill, I.C., Partridge, J.C., Bennett, A.T.D., Church, S.C., Hart, N.S., Hunt, S., 2000.
 901 Ultraviolet Vision in Birds. Adv. Study Behav. 29, 159–214. doi:10.1016/S0065902 3454(08)60105-9
- D'Amico, M., Catry, I., Martins, R.C., Ascensão, F., Barrientos, R., Moreira, F., (in press).
 Bird on the wire: landscape planning considering costs and benefits for bird
 populations coexisting with power lines. Ambio. A J. Hum. Environ.
- Dashnyam, B., Purevsuren, T., Amarsaikhan, S., Bataa, D., Buuveibaatar, B., Dutson,
 G., 2016. Malfunction rates of bird flight diverters on powerlines in the Mongolian
 Gobi. Mong. J. Biol. Sci. 14, 13–20. doi:10.22353/mjbs.2016.14.02
- De La Zerda, S., 2012. Testing the Effectiveness of a Colombian-Designed Bird Flight
 Diverter to Mitigate Collisions with Transmission Lines, in: Evans, J.M., GoodrichMahoney, J.W., Mutrie, D., Reinemann, J. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 9th
 International Symposium on Environmental Concerns in Rights-of-Way
 Management. pp. 209–220.
- 914 Deng, J., Frederick, P., 2001. Nocturnal Flight Behavior of Waterbirds in Close Proximity
 915 to a Transmission Powerline in the Florida Everglades. Waterbirds Int. J. Waterbird
 916 Biol. 24, 419–424. doi:10.2307/1522074
- Drewitt, A.L., Langston, R.H.W., 2008. Collision effects of wind-power generators and
 other obstacles on birds. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1134, 233–66.
 doi:10.1196/annals.1439.015
- 920 EirGrid, 2013. SEA statement of the GRID25: Implementation Programme 2011-2016.921 Dublin, Ireland.
- 922 Elkins, N., 1988. Weather and bird behaviour. T. & A.D. Poyser, Calton, England.
- 923 Erickson, W.P., Johnson, G.D., Jr, D.P.Y., 2005. A summary and comparison of bird
 924 mortality from anthropogenic causes with an emphasis on collisions, General
 925 Technical Reports.
- Faanes, C.A., 1987. Bird behavior and mortality in relation to power lines in prairiehabitats, Technical Report. Washington, DC.
- Fernández-Juricic, E., Gall, M.D., Dolan, T., Tisdale, V., Martin, G.R., 2008. The visual
 fields of two ground-foraging birds, House Finches and House Sparrows, allow for
 simultaneous foraging and anti-predator vigilance. Ibis (Lond. 1859). 150, 779–787.
 doi:10.1111/j.1474-919X.2008.00860.x
- 932 Garrido, J.R., Fernandez-Cruz, M., 2003. Effects of power lines on a White Stork Ciconia

- 933 ciconia population in central Spain. Ardeola 50, 191–200.
- Gauthreaux, S.A., 1978. Migratory behavior and flight patterns, in: Avery, M.L. (Ed.),
 Proceedings of the workshop "Impacts of Transmission Lines on Birds in Flight."
 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C., pp. 12–26.
- Gellings, C.W., 2015. Let's Build a Global Power Grid: With a little DC wizardry and a lot
 of cash, we could swap power across continents [WWW Document]. IEEE Spectr.
 URL http://spectrum.ieee.org/energy/the-smarter-grid/lets-build-a-global-powergrid
- 941 Geyr von Schweppenburg, H.F., 1929. "Zugstraßen" Leitlinien. J. Orn. Erg. Bd.
 942 (Festschrift Hartert) 17–32.
- Haas, D., Nipkow, M., Fiedler, G., Scheneider, R., Haas, W., Schürenberg, B., 2005.
 Protecting birds from powerlines. Nature and environment 140.
- Haddaway, N.R., Collins, A.M., Coughlin, D., Kirk, S., 2015. The Role of Google Scholar
 in Evidence Reviews and Its Applicability to Grey Literature Searching. PLoS One
 10, e0138237. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138237
- Hall, K.L., 2013. Out of Sight, Out of Mind 2012: An Updated Study on the
 Undergrounding Of Overhead Power Lines. Washington, D.C.
- Harness, R., Pandey, A., Phillips, G., 2003. Bird Strike Indicator/Bird Activity Monitor and
 Field Assessment of Avian Fatalities.
- Harness, R.E., Carlton, R., 2001. New Solutions for Bird Collision and Electrocution
 Outage Problems, in: Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE Power Engineering Society
 Winter Meeting, pp. 341–354. doi:10.1109/PESW.2001.917060
- Heck, N., 2007. A landscape-scale model to predict the risk of bird collisions with electric
 power transmission lines in Alberta. University of Calgary, Faculty of Environmental
 Design.
- Hedenström, A., 2002. Aerodynamics, evolution and ecology of avian flight. Trends Ecol.
 Evol. 17, 415–422. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02568-5
- 960 Heijnis, R., 1980. Vogeltod durch Drathanflüge bei Hochspannungsleitungen. Okol
 961 Vogel. 2, 111–129.
- Henderson, I.G., Langston, R.H.W., Clark, N.A., 1996. The response of common terns
 Sterna hirundo to power lines: An assessment of risk in relation to breeding
 commitment, age and wind speed. Biol. Conserv. 77, 185–192. doi:10.1016/00063207(95)00144-1

- Hernández-Matías, A., Real, J., Parés, F., Pradel, R., 2015. Electrocution threatens the
 viability of populations of the endangered Bonelli's eagle (Aquila fasciata) in
 Southern Europe. Biol. Conserv. 191, 110–116. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2015.06.028
- Hobbs, J.C.A., 1987. Powerlines and gamebirds: North American experiences for
 southern Africa. South African Wildl. Res. Suppl. 1, 24–31.
- 971 Hunting, K., 2002. A roadmap for PIER research on avian collisions with power lines in972 California.
- Hüppop, O., Hüppop, K., Dierschke, J., Hill, R., 2016. Bird collisions at an offshore
 platform in the North Sea. Bird Study 63, 73–82.
 doi:10.1080/00063657.2015.1134440
- 976 Hurst, N., 2004. Corona Testing of Devices Used to Mitigate Bird Collisions.
- Huso, M., Dalthorp, D., Miller, T.J., Bruns, D., 2016. Wind energy development: methods
 to assess bird and bat fatality rates post-construction. Human-Wildlife Interact. 10,
 62–70.
- 980 IEA, 2016. World Energy Outlook 2016. International Energy Agency, Paris, France.
- 981 Infante, S., Neves, J., Ministro, J., Brandão, R., 2005. Impact of distribution and
 982 transmission power lines on birds in Portugal (in Portuguese). Castelo Branco,
 983 Portugal.
- Janss, G.F.E., 2000. Avian mortality from power lines: a morphologic approach of a
 species-specific mortality. Biol. Conserv. 95, 353–359. doi:10.1016/S00063207(00)00021-5
- Janss, G.F.E., Ferrer, M., 2000. Common Crane and Great Bustard Collision with Power
 Lines: Collision Rate and Risk Exposure. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 28, 675–680.
- Janss, G.F.E., Lazo, A., Ferrer, M., 1999. Use of raptor models to reduce avian collisions
 with powerlines. J. Raptor Res. 33, 154–159.
- Jenkins, A.R., Shaw, J.M., Smallie, J.J., Gibbons, B., Visagie, R., Ryan, P.G., 2011.
 Estimating the impacts of power line collisions on Ludwig's Bustards Neotis Iudwigii.
 Bird Conserv. Int. 21, 303–310. doi:DOI: 10.1017/S0959270911000128
- Jenkins, A.R., Smallie, J.J., Diamond, M., 2010. Avian collisions with power lines: a
 global review of causes and mitigation with a South African perspective. Bird
 Conserv. Int. 20, 263–278. doi:10.1017/S0959270910000122
- 997 Kelly, A., Kelly, S., 2005. Are Mute Swans with Elevated Blood Lead Levels More Likely

- to Collide with Overhead Power Lines? Waterbirds Int. J. Waterbird Biol. 28, 331–334.
- 1000 Krapu, G.L., 1974. Avian mortality from collisions with overhead wires in North Dakota.
 1001 Prairie Nat. 6, 1–6.
- Lee, J.M., 1978. Effects of transmission lines on bird flights: studies of Bonneville Power
 Administration Lines, in: Avery, M. (Ed.), Proceedings of the workshop "Impacts of
 Transmission Lines on Birds in Flight." pp. 53–68.
- Lind, O., Mitkus, M., Olsson, P., Kelber, A., 2014. Ultraviolet vision in birds: the
 importance of transparent eye media. Proceedings. Biol. Sci. 281, 20132209.
 doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2209
- Lisney, T.J., Iwaniuk, A.N., Kolominsky, J., Bandet, M. V, Corfield, J.R., Wylie, D.R.,
 2012. Interspecific variation in eye shape and retinal topography in seven species
 of galliform birds (Aves: Galliformes: Phasianidae). J. Comp. Physiol. A 198, 717–
 731.
- Loss, S.R., Will, T., Marra, P.P., 2015. Direct Mortality of Birds from Anthropogenic
 Causes. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 46, 99–120. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys112414-054133
- Loss, S.R., Will, T., Marra, P.P., 2014. Refining Estimates of Bird Collision and
 Electrocution Mortality at Power Lines in the United States. PLoS One 9, e101565.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101565
- Loss, S.R., Will, T., Marra, P.P., 2012. Direct human-caused mortality of birds: improving
 quantification of magnitude and assessment of population impact. Front. Ecol.
 Environ. 10, 357–364. doi:10.1890/110251
- Luzenski, J., Rocca, C.E., Harness, R.E., Cummings, J.L., Austin, D.D., Landon, M.A.,
 Dwyer, J.F., 2016. Collision avoidance by migrating raptors encountering a new
 electric power transmission line. Condor 118, 402–410. doi:10.1650/CONDOR-1555.1
- Malcolm, J.M., 1982. Bird Collisions with a Power Transmission Line and Their Relation
 to Botulism at a Montana Wetland. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 10, 297–304.
- Manosa, S., Real, J., 2001. Potential negative effects of collisions with transmission lines
 on a Bonelli's Eagle population. J. Raptor Res. 35, 247–252.
- Manville II, A.M., 2005. Bird Strikes and Electrocutions at Power Lines, Communication
 Towers, and Wind Turbines: State of the Art and State of the Science Next Steps

1031 Toward Mitigation.

- Martin, G.R., 2012. Through birds' eyes: insights into avian sensory ecology. J. Ornithol.
 153, 23–48. doi:10.1007/s10336-011-0771-5
- Martin, G.R., 2011. Understanding bird collisions with man-made objects: a sensory
 ecology approach. Ibis. 153, 239–254. doi:10.1111/j.1474-919X.2011.01117.x
- Martin, G.R., 2009. What is binocular vision for? A birds' eye view. J. Vis. 9, 14.1–19.
 doi:10.1167/9.11.14
- 1038 Martin, G.R., 1990. Birds by Night. Poyser Monographs.
- Martin, G.R., 1985. Eye, in: King, A.S., McLelland, J. (Eds.), Form and Function in Birds,
 Volume 3. Academic Press, London, pp. 311–373.
- Martin, G.R., Osorio, D., 2008. Vision in birds, in: Masland, R.H., Albright, T. (Eds.), The
 Senses: A Comprehensive Reference. Elsevier, pp. 25–52.
- Martin, G.R., Portugal, S.J., Murn, C.P., 2012. Visual fields, foraging and collision
 vulnerability in Gyps vultures. Ibis. 154, 626–631.
- Martin, G.R., Shaw, J.M., 2010. Bird collisions with power lines: Failing to see the way
 ahead? Biol. Conserv. 143, 2695–2702. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.07.014
- McNeil, R., Rodriguez, J.R.S., Ouellet, H., 1985. Bird mortality at a power transmission
 line in Northeastern Venezuela. Biol. Conserv. 31, 153–165. doi:10.1016/00063207(85)90046-1
- Meyer, J.R., 1978. Effects of Transmission Lines on Bird Flight Behavior and CollisionMortality. Portland, Oregon, USA.
- Miller, W.A., 1978. Transmission line engineering and its relationship to migratory birds,
 in: Avery, M. (Ed.), Proceedings of the workshop "Impacts of Transmission Lines on
 Birds in Flight." U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, pp. 77–86.
- Mojica, E.K., Watts, B.D., Paul, J.T., Voss, S.T., Pottie, J., 2009. Factors Contributing to
 Bald Eagle Electrocutions and Line Collisions on Aberdeen Proving Ground,
 Maryland. J. Raptor Res. 43, 57–61. doi:10.3356/JRR-07-60.1
- Murphy, R.K., Dwyer, J.F., Mojica, E.K., McPherron, M.M., Harness, R.E., 2016a.
 Reactions of Sandhill Cranes Approaching a Marked Transmission Power Line. J.
 Fish Wildl. Manag. 7, 480–489. doi:10.3996/052016-JFWM-037
- Murphy, R.K., McPherron, S.M., Wright, G.D., Serbousek, K.L., 2009. Effectiveness of
 avian collision averters in preventing migratory bird mortality from powerline strikes

- 1063 in the central Platte River, Nebraska. Grand Island, Nebraska, USA.
- Murphy, R.K., Mojica, E.K., Dwyer, J.F., McPherron, M.M., Wright, G.D., Harness, R.E.,
 Pandey, A.K., Serbousek, K.L., 2016b. Crippling and Nocturnal Biases in a Study
 of Sandhill Crane (Grus canadensis) Collisions with a Transmission Line.
 Waterbirds 39, 312–317. doi:10.1675/063.039.0312
- 1068 Neves, J., Infante, S., Ministro, J., Brandão, R., 2005. Impact of transmission lines on
 1069 birds in Portugal (in Portuguese). Castelo Branco, Portugal.
- 1070 Newton, I., 2010. The Migration Ecology of Birds. Elsevier Science.
- 1071 Norberg, U.M., 1990. Vertebrate Flight. Mechanics, Physiology, Morphology, Ecology
 1072 and Evolution. Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-83848-4
- Ödeen, A., Håstad, O., Alström, P., 2011. Evolution of ultraviolet vision in the largest
 avian radiation the passerines. BMC Evol. Biol. 11, 313. doi:10.1186/1471-214811-313
- Palacín, C., Alonso, J.C., Martín, C.A., Alonso, J.A., 2017. Changes in bird-migration
 patterns associated with human-induced mortality. Conserv. Biol. 31, 106–115.
 doi:10.1111/cobi.12758
- Pandey, A., Harness, R., Schriner, M.K., 2008. Bird Strike Indicator Field Deployment at
 the Audubon National Wildlife Refuge in North Dakota: Phase Two.
- 1081 Parco Regionale Delta del Po, 2005. Free skies over the Delta:a sustainable electricity1082 network in the Regional Parl Area.
- 1083 Parsons Brinckerhoff, 2012. Electricity Transmission Costing Study.
- Perdeck, A.C., Speek, B.J., 1984. A radar study of the influence of expected ground
 speed, cloudiness, and temperature on diurnal migrating intensity. Ardea 72, 189–
 1086 198.
- Piorkowski, M.D., Farnsworth, A.J., Fry, M., Rohrbaugh, R.W., Fitzpatrick, J.W.,
 Rosenberg, K. V, 2012. Research priorities for wind energy and migratory wildlife.
 J. Wildl. Manage. 76, 451–456. doi:10.1002/jwmg.327
- Ponce, C., Alonso, J.C., Argandoña, G., García Fernández, A., Carrasco, M., 2010.
 Carcass removal by scavengers and search accuracy affect bird mortality estimates
 at power lines. Anim. Conserv. 13, 603–612. doi:10.1111/j.14691795.2010.00387.x
- 1094 Prinsen, H.A.M., Boere, G.C., Píres, N., Smallie, J.J., 2011. Review of conflict between

- 1095 migratory birds and electricity power grids in the African-Eurasian region.
- Prinsen, H.A.M., Smallie, J.J., Boere, G.C., Píres, N., 2012. Guidelines on How to Avoid
 or Mitigate Impact of Electricity Power Grids on Migratory Birds in the AfricanEurasian Region. Bonn, Germany.
- Quinn, M., Alexander, S., Heck, N., Chernoff, G., 2011. Identification of Bird Collision
 Hotspots along Transmission Power Lines Alberta: An Expert-Based Geographic
 Information System (GIS) Approach. J. Environ. Informatics 18, 12–21.
 doi:10.3808/jei.201100194
- Raab, R., Schütz, C., Spakovszky, P., Julius, E., Schulze, C.H., 2012. Underground
 cabling and marking of power lines: conservation measures rapidly reduced
 mortality of West-Pannonian Great Bustards Otis tarda. Bird Conserv. Int. 22, 299–
 306. doi:DOI: 10.1017/S0959270911000463
- 1107 Rayner, J.M. V, 1988. Form and Function in Avian Flight, in: Johnston, R.F. (Ed.),
 1108 Current Ornithology, Vol. 5. Springer US, Boston, MA, pp. 1–66. doi:10.1007/9781109 1-4615-6787-5_1
- 1110 Reiter, A.S., 2000. Casualties of Great Bustards (Otis tarda L.) on overhead power lines
 1111 in the western Weinviertel (Lower Austria). Egretta 43, 37–54.
- 1112 Reymond, L., 1987. Spatial visual acuity of the falcon, Falco berigora: A behavioural,
 1113 optical and anatomical investigation. Vision Res. 27, 1859–1874. doi:10.1016/00421114 6989(87)90114-3
- 1115 Reymond, L., 1985. Spatial visual acuity of the eagle Aquila audax: a behavioural, optical
 1116 and anatomical investigation. Vision Res. 25, 1477–1491. doi:10.1016/00421117 6989(85)90226-3
- Richardson, M.L., Wilson, B.A., Aiuto, D.A.S., Crosby, J.E., Alonso, A., Dallmeier, F.,
 Golinski, G.K., 2017. A review of the impact of pipelines and power lines on
 biodiversity and strategies for mitigation. Biodivers. Conserv. 26, 1801–1815.
 doi:10.1007/s10531-017-1341-9
- Rioux, S., Savard, J.-P.L., Gerick, A.A., 2013. Avian mortalities due to transmission line
 collisions: a review of current estimates and field methods with an emphasis on
 applications to the Canadian electric network. Avian Conserv. Ecol. 8.
 doi:10.5751/ACE-00614-080207
- Rogers, L.J., 2008. Development and function of lateralization in the avian brain. Brain
 Res. Bull. 76, 235–244. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.02.001

- Rollan, À., Real, J., Bosch, R., Tintó, A., Hernández-Matías, A., 2010. Modelling the risk
 of collision with power lines in Bonelli's Eagle Hieraaetus fasciatus and its
 conservation implications. Bird Conserv. Int. 20, 279–294. doi:DOI:
 10.1017/S0959270910000250
- Rubolini, D., Gustin, M., Bogliani, G., Garavaglia, R., 2005. Birds and powerlines in Italy:
 an assessment. Bird Conserv. Int. 15, 131–145. doi: 10.1017/S0959270905000109
- Sastre, P., Ponce, C., Palacín, C., Martín, C.A., Alonso, J.C., 2009. Disturbances to great
 bustards (Otis tarda) in central Spain: human activities, bird responses and
 management implications. Eur. J. Wildl. Res. 55, 425–432. doi:10.1007/s10344009-0254-7
- Savereno, A.J., Savereno, L.A., Boettcher, R., Haig, S.M., 1996. Avian behavior and
 mortality at power lines in coastal South Carolina. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 24, 636–648.
- Schaub, M., Aebischer, A., Gimenez, O., Berger, S., Arlettaz, R., 2010. Massive
 immigration balances high anthropogenic mortality in a stable eagle owl population:
 Lessons for conservation. Biol. Conserv. 143, 1911–1918.
 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.04.047
- Schaub, M., Pradel, R., 2004. Assessing the relative importance of different sources of
 mortality from recoveries of marked animals. Ecology 85, 930–938. doi:10.1890/030012
- 1147 Schmidt-Morand, D., 1992. Vision in the animal kingdom. Vet. Int. 4, 3–32.
- Schroeder, C.H., 1977. Geese Hit Power Transmission line. Outdoors, 40(2) insidecover.
- 1150 Scott, R.E., Roberts, L.J., Cadbury, C.J., 1972. Bird deaths from power lines at 1151 Dungeness. Br. birds 65, 273–286.
- Shaw, J.M., 2013. Power line collisions in the Karoo conserving Ludwig's bustard.University of Cape Town.
- Shaw, J.M., Jenkins, A.R., Smallie, J.J., Ryan, P.G., 2010. Modelling power-line collision
 risk for the Blue Crane Anthropoides paradiseus in South Africa. Ibis (Lond. 1859).
 152, 590–599. doi:10.1111/j.1474-919X.2010.01039.x
- Shaw, J.M., Reid, T.A., Schutgens, M., Jenkins, A.R., Ryan, P.G., 2017. High power line
 collision mortality of threatened bustards at a regional scale in the Karoo, South
 Africa. Ibis (Lond. 1859). doi:10.1111/ibi.12553
- 1160 Shimada, T., 2001. Choice of Daily Flight Routes of Greater White-Fronted Geese:

- 1161 Effects of Power Lines. Waterbirds Int. J. Waterbird Biol. 24, 425–429.
 1162 doi:10.2307/1522075
- Shobrak, M., 2012. Electrocution and collision of birds with power lines in Saudi Arabia.
 Zool. Middle East 57, 45–52. doi:10.1080/09397140.2012.10648962
- Sillman, A.J., 1973. Avian vision, in: Farner, D.S., Roger, J. (Eds.), Avian Biology, Vol.
 3. Academic Press, London, pp. 349–387. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-2494031.50013-X
- Silva, J.P., Palmeirim, J.M., Alcazar, R., Correia, R., Delgado, A., Moreira, F., 2014. A
 spatially explicit approach to assess the collision risk between birds and overhead
 power lines: A case study with the little bustard. Biol. Conserv. 170, 256–263.
 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.12.026
- Silva, J.P., Santos, M., Queirós, L., Leitão, D., Moreira, F., Pinto, M., Leqoc, M., Cabral,
 J.A., 2010. Estimating the influence of overhead transmission power lines and
 landscape context on the density of little bustard Tetrax tetrax breeding populations.
 Ecol. Modell. 221, 1954–1963. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.03.027
- Smith, J.A., Dwyer, J.F., 2016. Avian interactions with renewable energy infrastructure:
 An update. Condor 118, 411–423. doi:10.1650/CONDOR-15-61.1
- 1178 SNH, 2016. Assessment and mitigation of impacts of power lines and guyed1179 meteorological masts on birds. Guidance.
- Sporer, M.K., Dwyer, J.F., Gerber, B.D., Harness, R.E., Pandey, A.K., 2013. Marking
 power lines to reduce avian collisions near the Audubon National Wildlife Refuge,
 North Dakota. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 37, 796–804. doi:10.1002/wsb.329
- Stehn, T. V, Wassenich, T., 2008. Whooping Crane Collisions with Power Lines: an Issue
 Paper, in: Folk, M.J., Nesbitt, S.A. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 10th North American
 Crane Workshop. pp. 25–36.
- Stevens, B.S., Dennis, B., 2013. Wildlife mortality from infrastructure collisions: statistical
 modeling of count data from carcass surveys. Ecology 94, 2087–2096.
 doi:10.1890/12-1052.1
- Sundar, K.S.G., Choudhury, B.C., 2005. Mortality of sarus cranes (Grus antigone) due
 to electricity wires in Uttar Pradesh, India. Environ. Conserv. 32, 260–269. doi:DOI:
 10.1017/S0376892905002341
- 1192Swaddle, J.P., Ingrassia, N.M., 2017. Using a Sound Field to Reduce the Risks of Bird-1193Strike: An Experimental Approach. Integr. Comp. Biol. 57, 81–89.

1194 doi:10.1093/icb/icx026

- 1195 Taylor, P.D., Walker, J., 2015. Auld's Cove Radar Study- Summer 2015.
- Tere, A., Parasharya, B.M., 2011. Flamingo mortality due to collision with high tension
 electric wires in Gujarat, India. J. Threat. Taxa 3, 2192–2201.
- Thiault, L., Kernaléguen, L., Osenberg, C.W., Claudet, J., 2017. Progressive-Change
 BACIPS: a flexible approach for environmental impact assessment. Methods Ecol.
 Evol. 8, 288–296. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12655
- Thompson, L.S., 1978. Transmission line wire strikes: mitigation through engineering
 design and habitat modification, in: Avery, M.L. (Ed.), Proceedings of the workshop
 "Impacts of Transmission Lines on Birds in Flight." U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
 Washington, D.C., pp. 27–52.
- Tracy, D., Askew, L., Bainbridge, B., Dean, D., 2012. Avian Impact on Overhead
 Transmission Line Construction, in: Pugh, A.D. (Ed.), Proceedings of the 2012
 Electrical Transmission and Substation Structures Conference. American Society
 of Civil Engineers (ASCE), pp. 336–347.
- Tryjanowski, P., Sparks, T.H., Jerzak, L., Rosin, Z.M., Skórka, P., 2013. Paradox for
 Conservation: Electricity Pylons May Benefit Avian Diversity in Intensive Farmland.
 Conserv. Lett. 7, 34–40. doi:10.1111/conl.12022
- Tyler, N., Stokkan, K.-A., Hogg, C., Nellemann, C., Vistnes, A.-I., Jeffery, G., 2014.
 Ultraviolet Vision and Avoidance of Power Lines in Birds and Mammals. Conserv.
 Biol. 28, 630–631. doi:10.1111/cobi.12262
- van Rooyen, C., Diamond, M., 2008. Wildlife Power line interaction management. Indwa
 6, 7–16.
- Ventana Wildlife Society, 2009. Evaluating Diverter Effectiveness in Reducing Avian
 Collisions With Distribution Lines at San Luis National Wildlife Refuge Complex,
 Merced County, California.
- Ward, J.P., Anderson, S.H., 1992. Sandhill crane collisions with power lines in
 southcentral Nebraska, in: Wood, D.A. (Ed.), Proceedings 1988 North American
 Crane Workshop. pp. 189–196.
- Watts, B.D., Mojica, E.K., Paxton, B.J., 2015. Using Brownian bridges to assess potential
 interactions between bald eagles and electrical hazards within the upper
 Chesapeake Bay. J. Wildl. Manage. 79, 435–445. doi:10.1002/jwmg.853
- 1226 Wildemann, H., Blomberg, C., Engelmeier, T., Hellmann, C., Offizier, M.A., 2013.

- 1227 Connecting Possibilities Scenarios for Optimizing Energy Systems.
- Willard, D.E., 1978. The impact of transmission lines on birds (and vice versa), in: Avery,
 M.L. (Ed.), Proceedings of the workshop "Impacts of Transmission Lines on Birds
 in Flight." U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C., pp. 3–7.
- Williams, J.H., 2003. International Best Practices for Assessing and Reducing the
 Environmental Impacts of High-Voltage Transmission Lines, in: Third Workshop on
 Power Grid Interconnection in Northeast Asia. September 30 -October 3, 2003.
 Vladivostok, Russia. p. 35.
- Yee, M.L., 2008. Testing the effectiveness of an avian flight diverter for reducing avian
 collisions with distribution power lines in the Sacramento Valley, California : PIER
 final project report.
- 1238 Zhang, J., 2003. Paleomolecular biology unravels the evolutionary mystery of vertebrate UV 1239 vision. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. Α. 100, 8045-7. 1240 doi:10.1073/pnas.1533183100

1242 **TABLES**

1243 Table 1. Identification of knowledge gaps and suggestions of research and innovative approaches to fill those gaps.

Торіс	Knowledge gaps / Research	Potential research and innovative approaches
	questions	
Behavioural aspects	Understand individual-level behavioural changes and drivers of collisions	 Bio-logging approaches including use of accelerometers, magnetometers and girometers, to characterise flight behaviour changes of tracked birds, coupled with environmental sensors to measure weather conditions associated with flight patterns. Assess drivers of flight height and patterns, including species, age, body condition, seasonal, day/night differences, flocking/solitary differences and anthropogenic disturbance. Development of movement sensors to detect collisions of tracked birds. Field surveys to assess crossing rates and behavioural reactions to power lines, using the support of technologies including thermal, video and radar.
Behavioural aspects	Assess visual and perceptual aspects	 Experimental approaches to assessing colour differentiation (including UV) and visual field parameters. Assess behavioural responses to power lines and wire markers (from tracked birds) Field surveys to assess behavioural responses to power lines and wire markers, using the support of technologies including thermal, video and radar.
Impact assessment methods	Improve knowledge of species affected and hotspots of mortality	 Investigate and model factors driving the occurrence of hotspots of mortality (namely topography, migration routes, land cover features) at species-level and overall. Characterise species traits (e.g. morphology, habitat, brain size) and region-specific behaviour that increase susceptibility to collision. Explore the potential of metagenomics to identify species colliding with power lines (through samples in cables).
Impact assessment methods	Characterise population-level impacts	 Development of population models taking into account the cumulative impact of existing or foreseen energy infrastructure, and enabling the assessment of compensatory versus additive mortality. Long-term studies to assess local/regional population trends.
Impact assessment methods	Improve detection of collisions and methods for fatality estimation	• Technological development and testing of remote bird activity and collision monitoring devices, including thermal, video, small unmanned aircraft, bird strike indicators, and radar.

Торіс	Knowledge gaps / Research	Potential research and innovative approaches
	questions	
		• Development of methods to accurately estimate bird fatality (based on carcass searches) and related correction factors, with particular focus on crippling bias.
Mitigation measures	Evaluate effectiveness of wire markers	 Development of standardised protocols to improve reliability and potential utility in meta- analyses. Use BACI approach, complemented with assessment of crossing rates and behavioural reactions to wire markers. Focus research on comparative effectiveness of different types of markers, colour, size, movement (or static) and spacing (for specific types). Assess technical limitations of wire markers (durability, effects of adverse weather e.g. ice and strong winds, corona effects).
Mitigation measures	Evaluate effectiveness of non-marker mitigation measures (e.g. thicker earth wires, scaring methods including audio)	 Use BACI approach, complemented with assessment of crossing rates and/or behavioural response to visual/sound deterrents.
Mitigation measures	Assess the importance of optimal line routing and configuration	 Difficult to test optimal routing using experimental approaches. Alternative strategies include the production of collision risk maps for sensitive species, which can be used to set routes minimising impacts. Develop experimental procedures to compare mortality between line sections set close versus apart (from other power lines, roads or other linear infrastructures), and with differing number of conductor horizontal levels. As BACI approach is not possible, characterization of crossing rates is important to evaluate differences.



1246





1249 Figure 1 – Number of studies per publication year focusing on bird collision with power 1250 lines, compiled through a systematic literature search (N = 191). No studies found for the 1251 years 1973-74, 1976 and 1979-84.





1253

1254 Figure 2 - Number of studies addressing each of the main research topics, compiled 1255 through a systematic literature search (N = 191). Many studies addressed more than one 1256 topic.



1259 Figure 3 – Percentage of studies conducted (A) in each region of the world and (B) on

- 1260 each power-line type, compiled through a systematic literature search and reporting first-
- hand data on bird collisions with power lines (N = 132).