1	
2	Rangifer management controls a climate-sensitive tundra state transition
3	
4	Running Head: Management controls state transition
5	
6	Kari Anne Bråthen <sup>a*‡</sup> , Virve Tuulia Ravolainen <sup>b‡</sup> , Audun Stien <sup>c</sup> , Torkild Tveraa <sup>c</sup> , Rolf A.
7	Ims <sup>a</sup>
8	
9	Author affiliations:
10	‡ Joint first authors
11	<sup>a</sup> Department of Arctic and Marine biology, UiT - The Arctic University of Norway, N-9037
12	Tromsø. Norway
13	<sup>b</sup> Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, NO-9296 Tromsø, Norway
14	<sup>c</sup> The Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Fram Centre, NO-9296 Tromsø, Norway
15	
16	*Corresponding author:
17	Kari Anne Bråthen, tel +47 776 46629, fax +47 776 46633, kari.brathen@uit.no
18	
19	Key words: plant-herbivore interactions, browsing, summer temperature, shrub growth,
20	climate change
21	
22	Type of paper: Primary research article $©$ 2017 by the Ecological Society of America

Bråthen, Kari Anne; Ravolainen, Virve Tuulia; Stien, Audun; Tveraa, Torkild; Ims, Rolf Anker. Rangifer management controls a climate-sensitive tundra state transition. Ecological Applications 2017

#### 23 Abstract

24 Rangifer (caribou/reindeer) management has been suggested to mitigate the temperature-25 driven transition of arctic tundra into a shrubland state, yet how is uncertain. Here we study 26 this much focused ecosystem state transition in riparian areas, where palatable willows (Salix) 27 are dominant tall shrubs and highly responsive to climate change. For the state transition to 28 take place, small life stages must become tall and abundant. Therefore we predicted that the 29 performance of small life stages (potential recruits) of the tall shrubs were instrumental to the 30 focal transition, where Rangifer managed at high population density would keep the small-31 stage shrubs in a "browse trap" independent of summer temperature. We used a large-scale 32 quasi-experimental study design that included real management units that spanned a wide 33 range of *Rangifer* population densities and summer temperatures in order to assess the relative 34 importance of these two driving variables. Ground-surveys provided data on density and 35 height of the small shrub life stages, while the distributional limit (shrubline) of established 36 shrublands (the tall shrub life stage) was derived from aerial photographs. Where Rangifer densities were above a threshold of approximately 5 animals km<sup>-2</sup> we found, in accordance 37 38 with the expectation of a "browse trap", that the small life stages of shrubs in grasslands were 39 at low height and low abundance. At Rangifer densities below this threshold the small life 40 stages of shrubs were taller and more abundant indicating *Rangifer* were no longer in control 41 of the grassland state. For the established shrubland state, we found that the shrubline was at a 42 100 m lower elevation in the management units where *Rangifer* have been browsing in 43 summer as opposed to the migratory ranges with no browsing in summer. In both seasonal 44 ranges the shrubline increased 100 m per 1°C increment in temperature. Our study supports the proposal that Rangifer management within a sustainable range of animal densities can 45 46 mitigate the much-focused transition from grassland to shrubland in a warming arctic.

#### 47 Introduction

48 Climate warming currently causes changes in the physical and biological characteristics of the 49 Arctic (Bhatt et al. 2010, Bhatt et al. 2013), with the most conspicuous earth surface change 50 being the shift towards increased shrub abundance in tundra landscapes (Walker 2000, Sturm 51 et al. 2001, Post et al. 2009, Wookey et al. 2009, Myers-Smith et al. 2011a). Increased shrub 52 abundance has the potential to induce positive climate feedback through reduced albedo, 53 increased ground temperatures and changes in a range of bio-physical processes (Chapin et al. 54 2005, Wookey et al. 2009, Myers-Smith et al. 2011a, Cohen et al. 2013, Pearson et al. 2013, 55 Menard et al. 2014) but see Blok et al. (2010). At the same time, abundant shrubs form habitat 56 that enhance species richness of a range of organism groups such as birds, insects and 57 vascular plants (Roininen et al. 2005, Ims and Henden 2012, Henden et al. 2013, Rich et al. 58 2013, Sweet et al. 2014, Bråthen and Lortie 2016). However, current predictions on the extent 59 and rate of warming-induced tundra ecosystem state shifts towards shrub dominance are 60 currently hampered by unknown context dependencies (Myers-Smith et al. 2011b, Bernes et 61 al. 2015, Swanson 2015). Here we focus on shrub increase in a particularly climate-sensitive 62 tundra ecosystem and under a particularly influential context that is often subjected to 63 management interventions, large mammalian herbivory, in order to progress the 64 understanding of what influences state shifts in the circumpolar tundra.

Shrub increase in tundra ecosystems is strongly linked to climate warming (Forbes et al. 2010,
Myers-Smith et al. 2011b, Elmendorf et al. 2012, Pearson et al. 2013, Buntgen et al. 2015).
However, arctic shrubs exhibit considerable intra- and interspecific heterogeneity in their
temperature response (Normand et al. 2013, Buntgen et al. 2015), with plant traits such as
longevity and size as important determinants. Strong responses to climate warming are most
evident among shrub species with an intrinsic capacity to grow tall in habitats with high soil

moisture (Elmendorf et al. 2012, Myers-Smith et al. 2015), such as *Salix* in riparian habitats
(Tape et al. 2012). Moreover, tall shrub species also provide the strongest climate feedbacks
(Kramshøj et al. 2016, Williamson et al. 2016).

74 Salix shrubs are palatable to many herbivores (Wolf et al. 2007, Christie et al. 2015). Thus, 75 herbivory might be a highly influential moderator to the response of shrubs to climate 76 warming. Experimental studies excluding browsing activities have shown that both small and 77 large herbivores can have strong impacts on shrubs, to the extent that they inhibit shrub 78 increase in tundra ecosystems (e.g. den Herder et al. 2008, Olofsson et al. 2009, Ravolainen et 79 al. 2014). Although conclusions from these studies have been based on extensive scales and 80 covering a range of environmental contexts, their relevance to herbivore management has 81 been unclear. Still, herbivore management has repeatedly been suggested as a way to mitigate 82 shrub expansion in the circumpolar tundra (Post and Pedersen 2008, Olofsson et al. 2009, Ims 83 and Ehrich 2013, Biuw et al. 2014, Ravolainen et al. 2014, Väisänen et al. 2014).

84 However, tall shrubs can grow out of the physical foraging range of large herbivores and 85 thereby become invulnerable to their browsing. Hence it is the small life stages within reach 86 of the herbivores, such as saplings and ramets, that are likely to experience decrease in both 87 height and abundance (Christie et al. 2015). Moreover, this decrease is likely to be 88 exponential with increasing browsing pressure (Hegland and Rydgren 2016), causing small 89 stages of shrubs to be kept in a "browse trap" at higher herbivore densities (cf. Staver and 90 Bond 2014, Fig. 1a) and from which they are unable escape even under climate warming. In 91 riparian habitats such herbivore density-dependence can result in bimodal distributions of 92 shrub sizes (small versus large-stage shrubs, Fig. 1b) and ecosystem states (grassland versus 93 shrubland, Fig. 1c) (cf. House et al. 2003, Pajunen et al. 2010, Tape et al. 2012, Ravolainen et 94 al. 2013), where a climate warming induced ecosystem state shift may only occur at low

95 herbivore density (Fig. 1a). Further, altitudinal or latitudinal distribution limits of large stage 96 shrubs, termed *shrublines*, can only advance under climate warming where herbivore density 97 is low (Fig. 1c). Tall shrubs can however be very old (Forbes et al. 2010) causing existing 98 shrublands and shrublines to reflect effects of climate warming and herbivore control in the 99 past. Therefore, we advocate focus on small shrub life stages for studying how herbivores 100 may control ongoing and future state shifts, and a focus on tall shrub life stages for 101 understanding past events. The focal large herbivore of the present study, Rangifer tarandus 102 (caribou/reindeer), is the numerically dominant large herbivore species across the circumpolar 103 tundra, the tundra-forest transition zone and the northern boreal forest. In Eurasia 104 approximately 2.2 million reindeer are subject to human management (Huntington 2013). 105 Management of both wild and semi-domestic herds is considered important, exerting controls 106 on ecosystems (Ims and Ehrich 2013) such as vegetation state transitions involving shrubs 107 (van der Wal 2006, Post et al. 2009). However, the effects of Rangifer on shrub abundance 108 are variable, most probably because of confounding with ecological context (review in Bernes 109 et al. 2015). Moreover, as exemplified from an alpine ecosystem, shrub growth may not be 110 dependent on relaxed browsing pressure but rather the presence of a favorable hydrology 111 regime (Marshall et al. 2013). Therefore, to assess whether Rangifer management can exert 112 control on the ecosystem state shift scenarios outlined in our conceptual framework (Fig. 1), 113 there is a need to employ study designs that ensure non-confounding between (1) spatial 114 scales, (2) animal densities that are realistic for Rangifer management, (3) temperature 115 gradients and (4) habitats with an environmental context that allow for life stage transitions in 116 tall shrubs and state transitions of the ecosystem. In the present quasi-experimental study in 117 northernmost Fennoscandia we achieved this by means of field observations and aerial photos 118 of a total of 53 riparian valleys, encompassing a 4°C gradient in average summer temperature 119 and Rangifer management units differing between 1.8 and 16.9 animals per km<sup>2</sup> during

- 120 summer. This allowed us to assess if there is a threshold of managed animal densities above
- 121 which Rangifer browsing can maintain riparian grasslands within a steady state (cf. Scheffer
- 122 and Carpenter 2003) and prevent a shift to a shrubland state across a wide range of summer
- 123 temperatures. Specifically, we estimated the effects of *Rangifer* density, seasonal range use
- 124 indicative of past *Rangifer* density and a gradient in summer temperature on 1) the density
- 125 and height of small life stages of *Salix* shrub species in riparian grasslands and 2) the
- 126 distribution of the shrubline formed by their tall life stages.

#### 127 Methods

### 128 Study system

129 Our study system is within Finnmark, the northernmost, sub-arctic and low-arctic part of the 130 Scandinavian Peninsula, spanning 150 km in latitude and 350 km in longitude (Fig. 2). The 131 geographic temperature gradients are very steep in northern Fennoscandia (Jansson et al. 132 2015) and amount to  $4^{\circ}$ C in average summer temperature (June, July and August) across the 133 study region. Average precipitation in the summer is 65, 54 and 48 mm in the west, middle, 134 and east of Finnmark, respectively (Norwegian Meteorological Institute, http://met.no). The 135 region is typically snow covered until early-late June. The study region is characterized by 136 variable bedrock, being mainly gabbro in the west, and slate and sandstone towards the east 137 and interior parts (Geological Survey of Norway, http://www.ngu.no/). The main vegetation 138 type at lower altitudes (in particular in the western part and inland sections) is sub-arctic 139 mountain birch forest (Betula pubescens ssp. czerepanovii) and at higher altitudes dwarf 140 shrub tundra (Walker et al. 2005) dominated by Empetrum nigrum, Betula nana, Salix 141 herbacea, and Vaccinium spp. and marshes dominated by Eriophorum spp. and Carex spp. In 142 the most eastern low-arctic section of the study region, the dwarf shrub tundra extends to the 143 sea level. Riparian grasslands are common especially in the larger valleys in the study region, 144 with presence of Bistorta vivipara and Viola biflora, Avenella flexuosa, Anthoxanthum 145 nipponicum, Deschampsia cespitosa, sedges of Carex spp. and shrubs of Salix spp. (plant 146 names follow the Pan-Arctic Flora http://nhm2.uio.no/paf/).

The *Salix* shrubs, typically *Salix phylicifolia*, *S. lapponum*, *S. lanata*, and *S. hastata* and their
hybrids (see Ravolainen et al. 2013), have the potential to form 0.5 - 3 m tall patches
(thickets) that are here defined as a tall life stage. Species of tall *Salix* shrubs are long-lived
and highly clonal, with tall-stage stands being several decades to 100 years old (Forbes et al.

2010), whereas the small life stage can be saplings or ramets. There appears to be no
palatability differences between these *Salix* species (Speed et al. 2013), and because they
often mix in their canopies and hybridize we have pooled the tall shrub species of *Salix* in this
study.

155 Rangifer tarandus in Finnmark is semi-domestic and migratory. Their summer pastures are 156 located within management districts at coastal peninsulas, while the winter pastures are 157 located in the inland often close to the Finnish border (Fig. 2a). The areas between the 158 summer and winter pastures are defined as migratory ranges that are visited a few weeks 159 during migration in early spring and late fall, and are mainly snow-covered during these 160 periods. Management rules and an extensive system of fences define the borders between the 161 seasonal ranges as well as between the districts of the summer range. The fences between the 162 seasonal ranges were mostly erected in the 1970's and followed borders that the management 163 were already accustomed to. However, *Rangifer* population densities between the 164 management district are not primarily regulated by their resource base in terms of vegetation 165 (Bråthen et al. 2007, Ravolainen et al. 2010). Rather, different national management policies 166 also starting in the 1970's cause *Rangifer* population densities to vary greatly both in time 167 (Hausner et al. 2011) and spatially across different management units owing to interactions 168 between this policy and internal socio-economic affairs within and among the herding 169 districts (Hausner et al. 2012). In fact, Næss and Bårdsen (2010) concluded that individual 170 husbandry units' strategies, such as level of harvesting, have a larger effect on individual 171 husbandry units' population size than negative density-dependence. Accordingly, spatial 172 differences in population size among Rangifer herding units in Finnmark have been persistent 173 over many decades (Bråthen et al. 2007, Fig. S1). Co-dominant herbivores with *Rangifer* in 174 Finnmark are voles and lemming (Ims et al. 2007). In addition, moose (Alces alces) and 175 domestic sheep (*Ovis aries*) are common. Notably, beaver-dams that are common in many

176 riparian regions world-wide, and with high impact to *Salix* growth (Marshall et al. 2013) are177 not present in our study region.

#### 178 Study design

179 We selected management units of semi-domestic Rangifer to achieve a sample of riparian 180 areas for which summer temperatures and browsing intensities were non-confounded (Fig. 181 S2) according to a quasi-experimental design (Kerr et al. 2007). The management units 182 spanned the 4°C gradient in mean summer temperatures, had contrasting seasonal range use 183 (summer vs. migratory range) and had *Rangifer* population densities in the summer ranges 184 from 1.8-16.9 individuals km<sup>2</sup> (densities retrieved from official statistics at 185 www.landbruksdirektoratet.no for the years 2009-2011). We did not attempt to attribute 186 specific *Rangifer* densities to the migration ranges because the short durations of *Rangifer* 187 presence in these ranges are likely to inflict a lower browsing pressure for a given *Rangifer* 188 density. Moreover, the migration ranges are shared to differing and variable degrees between 189 several herds from different summer ranges.

In Finnmark, the geographic coverage of meteorological stations is scarce. Thus, to provide a measure of long-term growing-season temperatures, we used modeled estimates downscaled to a 100 m resolution (Pellissier et al. 2013) from WorldClim ("Warmest Quarter" Hijmans et al. 2005). The full description of the downscaling procedure can be found in Dullinger et al. (2012). When comparing river valleys we used estimates for the temperature at 200 m altitude as our measure of the river valley temperature.

196 A total of 23 study units were selected and each represented by a study block, dominated by

197 dwarf shrub tundra and hosting riparian areas (Fig. 2). The size of the study blocks was

approximately 30 x 30 km but this was modified by coastline patterns, fences separating

summer and migration pastures, and the continuous sub-arctic birch forest that borders the

200 tundra towards the south. In the eastern parts of our study region the migration ranges are 201 smaller and in one case one block included both the migration and summer ranges. Within 202 each block we selected three river valleys that were either in a summer or in a migration 203 range, and that ascended from either the sea level or from the birch forest-line. We selected 204 the largest rivers and/or the ones running in gently sloping terrain above the treeline in order 205 to target rivers that most likely included riparian plains with sediment accumulation, as these 206 conditions provide optimal habitat for the growth of tall Salix shrubs (Pajunen et al. 2010, 207 Ravolainen et al. 2014). A few blocks had less than three river valleys available, in which 208 case we included all.

209 Within the river valleys we established sampling lines along which we sampled both field 210 measurements of the abundance of small-stage shrubs in grasslands and mapped presence of 211 tall shrubs from aerial photographs (Sturm et al. 2001, Lillesand et al. 2004). We made points 212 along the river valley at 100 m intervals with each point situated in the middle of the river 213 channel (Fig. 2b). The first point was placed well below the forest-line, or at sea level in the 214 mouth of the river in areas with no birch forest. The last point was placed in the high-alpine 215 vegetation zone where vegetation cover was no longer continuous, or at the source of the 216 watercourse. At each point, a 200 m sampling line was drawn perpendicular to each side of 217 the river (Fig. 2b). These lines served as sampling units for the field measurements and for the 218 analyses of the aerial photographs. In the field, the sampling lines were found using their GPS 219 coordinates.

In sum, the study design admitted sampling of data on shrubs along a range of river valleys, each several kilometers long and including a range of potentially influential abiotic and biotic contexts. Average estimates of both small and tall shrub stages per river valley then provided us with robust response estimates to the specific effects of *Rangifer* density and temperature.

#### 224 Measurements of shrub stages

Presence of tall-stage shrubs (i.e. 0.5 - 3 m tall thickets) was mapped using high-resolution aerial photographs in all river valleys (53 valleys in 23 blocks), whereas measures of smallstage shrubs were recorded by means of field surveys in a sub-sample of river valleys and blocks (13 blocks with 1 river valley each). The tall-stage shrub mapping was used to assess the shrubline per river valley, whereas the small-stage shrub measurements were used to assess growth of the small-stage shrubs into tall shrub stages, averaged per river valley.

In the field surveys we measured the small-stage shrubs in the riparian grasslands during late July and early August in 2010 (Fig. 2a). Field sampling only included areas that had a minimum distance of 500 m to man-made constructions (huts, roads). Solitary birch trees were accepted in the field sampling areas but areas including forest (birch >3 m, less than 30 m between trees) were excluded.

236 The sampling lines were first surveyed to get an overview of grassland, tall shrub patches, 237 shrubland and shrub height within each of the selected river valleys. This initial survey of 238 each river valley also provided data suitable for validation of the aerial photograph analyses 239 (see Supplementary Information and Fig. S3). For a sampling line to be included in more 240 detailed vegetation analyses it had to cross grassland or tall shrub patches on river banks. 241 Moreover, within the first 50 m of the riverbed the line had to have at least one 10 m segment 242 on level ground with at least two-thirds coverage with grassland vegetation. The final 243 vegetation analysis area was distributed among the sampling lines to include both an upper 244 and lower part of the river valleys. Where several lines were suitable, a random draw was 245 used to select between nearby lines. Sampling lines on stony or gravelly areas and on mire were excluded. 246

As the vegetation analysis started at the riverbank or at the edge of a tall shrub patch if it occupied the riverbank, sampling line lengths differed. Along the sampling line 10 m long segments were established using a measuring tape. Within each segment a 1 m wide striptransect was surveyed by holding a 1 m long stick perpendicular to the ribbon while walking.

For a measurement of small shrub density, we counted the number of stems that appeared to be either a ramet or a sapling, per segment. We did not dig into the soil to determine if ramets were connected belowground, and counts refer to the number of small shrubs that were not obviously branching from each other. When it was possible to judge without removing soil that several ramets belonged to the same individual, these were counted as one small shrub.

For a measurement of the size of the small-stage shrubs, the height and shoot sum of the first small-stage shrub at the left side of the tape was measured in every segment. If no small-stage shrub was found then the right side of the ribbon was checked, and if still no small shrub was found, we searched within an expanded strip-transect up to 3 m wide.

260 For each selected small-stage shrub we measured the length of the stem, which is used as a 261 primary response variable. Because small shrubs were more or less upright this is an 262 approximation of height. We also measured the length of all branches longer than 2 cm to the 263 accuracy of 1 cm. Then we calculated the average total shoot sum as the sum of the stem 264 length and the lengths of all branches. Small-stage shrub length was strongly correlated to 265 shoot sum (Fig. S4), and hence we subsequently used the latter variable in the analyses. If the 266 starting point of a sampling line was a tall shrub patch we recorded its height  $(\pm 5 \text{ cm})$  using a 267 ruler.

Using high-resolution aerial photographs from all selected river valleys (n=58) we recorded
the distribution of stands of *Salix* shrubs (Fig. 2). The presence or absence of tall *Salix* shrubs

270 and / or birch trees along each perpendicular line was assessed by visual inspection of the 271 aerial photographs. The probability of classifying a line as being populated by tall shrubs (0.5 272 - 3 m height) increased from 50% when the shrubs along the line were 65 cm tall to 95% 273 when shrubs were 130 cm tall (logistic regression equation logit(p) = -2.95 + 0.046 \* shrub274 height). This imply that mainly tall shrub life stages could be detected using the aerial 275 photographs, while small shrub life stages become indistinguishable from background 276 vegetation in these photographs. Tall shrubs were in general found at higher altitudes than 277 birch trees. As it was not possible to distinguish shrubs within lower parts of some river 278 sections with dense birch forests, tall shrubs were recorded only above the birch forest. The 279 presence-absence data on tall Salix shrubs were used to estimate the altitudinal distribution 280 limit (i.e. the shrubline) within each of the river valleys.

### 281 Statistical analysis

We analyzed the data fitting generalized linear mixed models in the R environment version
3.0.2 (http://www.r-project.org).

284 The field survey generated data from replicated sections (n=525) within sampling lines 285 (n=152) of the river valleys. To account for dependencies in the observations within sampling 286 lines we included sampling line nested within river valley as a random factor in the analyses. 287 We used the count of ramets and /or saplings within the 10 m sampling segments as the 288 response variable in the analysis of small-stage shrub densities. To account for counts from sampling lines of variable length, and to obtain density estimates at the m<sup>2</sup> scale, we included 289 290 log(sampling line length) as an offset variable in regression models. The residual distribution 291 of the small shrub counts was highly overdispersed. We therefore fitted models assuming a 292 negative binomial distribution and a log link function using the function glmmadmb in the 293 package glmmADMB for R (Bolker et al. 2012). First, we fitted a generalized mixed model

294 with Rangifer density (in the summer ranges) and average summer temperature at 200 m. a. s. 295 1. as continuous fixed effect predictor variables, and seasonal range use (summer versus 296 migration) as categorical fixed effect predictor variables. Plots of the data suggested that the 297 effect of *Rangifer* densities within the summer ranges was non-linear with an upper limit to 298 average small shrub densities at low *Rangifer* densities and a lower limit to average small 299 shrub densities at high *Rangifer* densities. We therefore estimated the average small shrub 300 density for each river valley using a generalized mixed model with river valley as a fixed 301 factor and sampling line as random effect. To these river specific estimates of small shrub 302 densities from the summer ranges we fitted the following 4 parameter logistic model: 303 log(average small shrub density) =  $\beta_1 + (\beta_2 - \beta_1) / (1 + \exp((\beta_3 - Rangifer \text{ density}) / \beta_4))$ , 304 with  $\beta_i$  being parameters estimated by the data. In this model  $\beta_1$  is the asymptotic log(small 305 shrub density) as *Rangifer* density approaches zero,  $\beta_2$  is the asymptotic log as *Rangifer* 306 density approaches infinity,  $\beta_3$  is the Rangifer density at the inflection point and  $\beta_4$  is a slope 307 parameter. The model was fitted using weighted least squares, with weights given by the 308 inverse of the variance of the river specific average small shrub density estimates.

309 The height of the small-stage shrub was analyzed in linear mixed models with stem length as the response variable. Seasonal range, reindeer density and average summer temperature were 310 311 fitted as fixed effect predictor variables. Again, plots of the data suggested that the effect of 312 Rangifer densities within the summer ranges was non-linear. We therefore proceeded with 313 first estimating the average small-stage shrub height using a linear mixed model with river as 314 a fixed factor and sampling line as random effect, and second, fitted a non-linear function to 315 these river specific estimates from the summer ranges. The data did not show strong support 316 for an upper limit to average small-stage shrub height at low reindeer densities, and we 317 therefore used the following 3 parameter non-linear model: small-stage shrub height =  $\beta_{1+}$  (  $\beta_2 - \beta_1$  \*exp(-exp( $\beta_3$ ) \* Rangifer density), with  $\beta_i$  being parameters estimated by the 318

319 data. In this model  $\beta_1$  is the asymptotic small-stage shrub height as *Rangifer* density

approaches infinity,  $\beta_2$  is the predicted small-stage shrub height at a *Rangifer* density of zero and  $\beta_3$  is a slope parameter. The model was fitted using weighted least squares, with weights given by the inverse of the variance of the river specific average small-stage shrub height estimates.

324 The altitudinal shrubline was estimated from the presence/absence data of the tall shrub stage 325 from the aerial photographs by fitting a logistic function of form: probability of presence = p326 =  $1/(1+\exp((\beta_1-\operatorname{altitude})\beta_2))$ , where  $\beta_1$  is the altitude at p = 0.5 and  $\beta_2$  is the estimated slope 327 for the decrease in probability of presence with altitude, to the resulting binary data from each 328 river valley. The model was fitted to each river valley included in the study assuming a 329 binomial error distribution. In subsequent analyses, we used the estimates of  $\beta_1$  as our 330 measures of the shrubline. For some river valleys furthest to the north and east of the study 331 area, neither birch nor tall shrubs were present along the river, and the shrubline was set to 0 332 m.

333 We used the estimates of  $\beta_1$  as the response variable in the analysis of the spatial variation in 334 the shrubline, and included the design variable "study block" as a random factor in linear 335 mixed models. As above, the average summer temperature at 200 m asl. for each river valley, 336 and *Rangifer* seasonal range use (summer versus migration) were fitted as continuous and 337 categorical fixed effects, respectively. In addition, we investigated the potential effect of the 338 logarithm of the Rangifer density on the shrubline in the summer ranges. For model selection 339 we fitted the models using maximum likelihood and evaluated nested models using likelihood 340 ratio tests. The final statistical model for the spatial variation in the shrubline included the 341 additive fixed effects of reindeer seasonal range use (summer or migration range) and

- 342 temperature. There was no evidence for any interaction (i.e. different slope estimates for the
- 343 temperature response) between seasonal range use and temperature.

#### 345 **Results**

#### 346 Small shrub stage

347 Both average height and density of small-stage shrubs were non-linearly related to reindeer 348 density in the summer pastures (Fig. 3a,b) and agreed with our expectation of a browse trap (Fig. 1). That is, over the range of 3-6 reindeer  $\text{km}^{-2}$  the density of small shrubs decreased by 349 350 a factor of about 20 and their heights were on average halved. The browse trap was indicated 351 at higher reindeer densities (approximately >5-6 reindeer km<sup>-2</sup>), as there was no further 352 change in shrub density or height. In the migratory ranges, where reindeer are not browsing in 353 the summer, the small shrubs had the same range in heights and densities as in the summer 354 pastures with the lowest reindeer density (Figure 3). Notably, the height and density of the 355 small shrubs were not related to the temperature differences among the river valleys (Table 1, 356 Fig. S5). Small-stage shrubs were frequently present independent of altitudinal deviance from 357 the shrubline (Fig. 4), indicating a potential for transition to the tall-shrub stage and shrubland 358 in all the surveyed river valleys.

## 359 Tall shrub stage

360 The altitudinal shrubline increased with increasing mean summer temperature and was as 361 expected consistently lower where *Rangifer* browse in summer compared to migration areas. 362 The shrubline increased linearly on average by 101 m (95% CI = 65, 137) in altitude for each 363 1°C higher mean summer temperature, causing a major transition across the 4°C temperature 364 gradient of the study system (Fig. 5). The higher browsing impact in the summer ranges 365 amounted to an estimated altitudinal shrub line that was on average 104 m (95% CI = 40, 168) 366 lower altitude than in the migration ranges. The effect of *Rangifer* density on the shrubline 367 within the summer ranges was negative but not statistically significant (estimated slope = -368 3.6, 95% CI = -12.4, 5.2).

### 369 **Discussion**

370 We found Rangifer management to represent an external mechanism (sensu Scheffer and 371 Carpenter 2003) that maintains riparian grasslands in a steady state across a 4°C gradient in 372 summer temperature. Rangifer populations managed at high densities appear to prevent 373 climate warming from pushing tundra into a shrubland state because the small life stages of 374 tall shrubs are kept in a "browse trap" (Staver and Bond 2014). In turn the browse trap 375 prevent altitudinal and latitudinal shrub lines from advancing, corresponding with the scenario 376 depicted in our conceptual framework (Fig. 1). Specifically, we found that the critical 377 browsing pressure exerted by *Rangifer* was achieved at herd densities above approximately 5 378 animals per km<sup>2</sup> and acted independently of summer temperature. This density threshold was 379 still within the lower range of Rangifer densities in Finnmark (ranging from 1.8 - 16.9 380 animals per km<sup>2</sup> during the study period). In management units with *Rangifer* densities below 381 the threshold we found small life stages of tall shrub species to increase in size and density, 382 indicating Rangifer managed at low densities cannot control the shift from grassland to 383 shrubland in a warmer climate. Thus riparian tundra may occur in two alternative states, or 384 attractors, in a warming climate (sensu Scheffer and Carpenter 2003) in response to variation 385 in managed Rangifer densities.

We found small-stage shrubs to be present across the entire temperature gradient reflecting that our study region is currently situated within the climate envelope for *Salix* species that have the potential to shift to a tall-stage (Walker et al. 2005). Within this range of 5 - 9°C in summer temperatures we found *Rangifer* to control two distinct ways for small shrubs to increase, i.e. in small shrub density and height, indicating the browse trap can be more than just a control of height (cf. Staver and Bond 2014). In this respect our results contrast with a recent study based on a mass-balance food-web modeling approach, which concluded that

393 herbivores do not regulate low-arctic tundra vegetation (Legagneux et al. 2014). For instance, 394 if regulation is specific to the small life stage of tall shrubs, herbivores can regulate a 395 vegetation state by consuming only a small proportion of the total shrub biomass production, 396 indicating that mass-balance approaches will be insensitive to such regulation. Indeed, for a 397 state variable to be a leading determinant of a state change it is beneficial that it is involved in 398 the temporal dynamics of the state transition (Bestelmeyer et al. 2011). In our study system, 399 the small life stages of the tall shrubs can be considered to be such leading determinants of the 400 focal ecosystem state shift, because the dynamics of these life stages are sensitive to both 401 herbivory and temperature.

402 Stands of tall shrubs can be old clonal structures (Forbes et al. 2010). Thus, the suppressed 403 altitudinal limit of the tall shrub state in the *Rangifer* summer ranges relative to the migration 404 ranges indicates that there have been browse traps during the last decades across all the 405 management units of the summer ranges. This is likely for two reasons. First, the geographic 406 gradients in summer temperatures as well as the contrast in browsing pressure between 407 migration and summer ranges (as determined by the migration behavior of *Rangifer*) are 408 likely to have persisted for centuries (Vorren 1962). Second, since 1950 Rangifer densities have fluctuated below and above 5 animals km<sup>-2</sup> within several management units of 409 410 Finnmark (Tveraa et al. 2007, González et al. 2010), causing temporal variation in the 411 potential for shrubs to increase in abundance or be arrested in browse traps in most 412 management units across the summer ranges. Still, the impacts of both climate warming and 413 Rangifer management has been less intense in the past, when Rangifer numbers in the study 414 region were generally lower (González et al. 2010) and climate was colder (Førland et al. 415 2009). Hence, the current distribution of tall shrubs (i.e. the altitudinal shrubline) is likely to 416 reflect process rates at a time when the transition from grassland to shrubland was slower. 417 With the ongoing increase in temperatures there is reason to expect that processes are

speeding up and that even a few years of relaxed summer browsing pressure in the future maytrigger a rapid shift from riparian grasslands to shrublands (Marshall et al. 2014).

While our study suggests that *Rangifer* densities above 5 animals km<sup>-2</sup> keep grasslands in a 420 421 persistent browse trap over a wide range of temperatures, there are alternative trajectories for 422 how the grassland state may be a common state in riparian areas. First, there is a high 423 uncertainty to the future role of herbivores in the Arctic because the herbivores are themselves 424 directly impacted by climate change (Ims and Fuglei 2005, Vors and Boyce 2009). Rangifer 425 population densities are expected to decrease due to climate warming and may gradually be 426 replaced by even more effective browsers (Ims and Ehrich 2013). Indeed, more specialized 427 ungulate browsers (i.e. moose and red deer) are already increasing within the range of 428 Rangifer in Fennoscandia (Austrheim et al. 2011). Under a scenario of either continuously high *Rangifer* densities above 5 animals km<sup>-2</sup> (that already seems to be the case within certain 429 management units of Finnmark, Bernes et al. 2015) or high densities of other ungulate 430 431 browsers, we might expect grasslands to be in a persistent browse trap. Second, grass cover 432 promoting fire is a mechanism for stability of the grassland state for several grassland systems 433 in the world (Ratajczak et al. 2014) and may become more frequent in the future also in the 434 Arctic (Ims and Ehrich 2013). Third, there may be other environmental constraints that limit 435 the growth response of small life-stages of *Salix* to changes in browsing pressure. For 436 instance, Salix growth may be limited by the local hydrological regime (Marshall et al. 2013, 437 Marshall et al. 2014), with significant impact on the ability of Salix to respond to a decrease 438 in browsing pressure. However, whereas such environmental constraints can be common and 439 of local importance, they were not confounded with Rangifer densities across the larger scale 440 of our study region (see also Bråthen et al. 2007, Bråthen and Ravolainen 2015).

441 A state shift to shrubland will affect tundra ecosystem functioning (Andersen et al. 2009) 442 beyond the climate feedbacks. For instance, tundra shrublands are habitat to numerous species 443 of birds (Roininen et al. 2005, Ims and Henden 2012, Henden et al. 2013), insects (Rich et al. 444 2013) and a diversity of other plants (at least when below 40 cm, Bråthen and Lortie 2016). 445 Also, whereas *Rangifer* management can prevent the state shift from grassland to shrubland 446 from occurring, high *Rangifer* densities affect herd productivity (Tveraa et al. 2007), 447 predation rate (Tveraa et al. 2014), may deplete lichen resources in the winter ranges 448 (Tømmervik et al. 2009, Bernes et al. 2015) and reduce the abundance of forbs in the summer 449 ranges (Bråthen et al. 2007, Bernes et al. 2015). Therefore, it seems Rangifer management 450 needs to strike a balance between the perceived positive and negative impacts of *Rangifer* 451 densities. In particular, management needs to consider enriching the ecosystem functioning of 452 riparian tundra by having the two states co-exist, as well as considering the associated climate 453 feedbacks and the livelihood of local resource-dependent people (Huntington 2013, Ims and 454 Ehrich 2013).

Currently there are predictions of a 50 % increase in the shrub state across the circumpolar 455 456 region (Pearson et al. 2013). These predictions are based on abiotic drivers alone. Our study 457 shows that *Rangifer* management in the warmest region of the Arctic (Callaghan et al. 2004) 458 currently can control this ecosystem transition, indicating the impact of large herbivores may 459 significantly reduce the magnitude of these predictions. Notably, our study region holds 460 among the highest densities of *Rangifer* in the world (Bernes et al. 2015), and the moderate 461 Rangifer densities at which we found the browse trap to act are still relatively high in a 462 circumpolar comparison. Furthermore, the capacity of herbivores to prevent shrub increase is 463 dependent on the palatability of the shrubs, where for instance non-palatable tall shrubs such 464 as species of Alnus gain abundance even in areas of higher browsing pressures (Christie et al. 465 2015). Such non-palatable tall shrubs were not present in our study system (Pan-Arctic Flora

466 http://nhm2.uio.no/paf/). Nevertheless, by targeting riparian tundra that contain high quality 467 habitats, and encompassing a wide range in animal densities and thus browsing pressure, we 468 expect our results to be of relevance to other circumpolar regions where *Rangifer* are 469 managed through herding or hunting (Huntington 2013). Being the first study to demonstrate 470 how management can control a climate-sensitive ecosystem state shift in arctic tundra (cf. Ims 471 and Ehrich 2013), we also provide a case for how appropriate quasi-experimental designs can 472 be applied in studies in other terrestrial biomes with the purpose of assessing the relative 473 importance of climate change and managed large herbivores as drivers of ecosystem state 474 shifts.

### 475 Acknowledgements

476 We thank Alena Gbelcova, Karen Lone, Gunhild Skogstad, Leif-Einar Støvern, Pavel Šuráň, 477 Vegar Nilsen Trasti, and Geir Vie for assistance in the field, the Reindeer Police for field 478 accommodation, and Manuel Ballesteros for help with processing of aerial photographs. We 479 thank Lennart Nilsen, Loïc Pellisier, Christoph Randin for help with the temperature data, 480 Tove Midtun for illustrations, Jennifer Stien for language revision, and colleagues in the 481 project "EcoFinn" for discussions. The study was financed by the Norwegian Research 482 Council grant to the project "EcoFinn" and is a contribution from COAT (Climate-ecological 483 Observatory for Arctic Tundra; http://www.coat.no/).

# 485 **References**

- Andersen, T., J. Carstensen, E. Hernandez-Garcia, and C. M. Duarte. 2009. Ecological
  thresholds and regime shifts: approaches to identification. Trends in Ecology &
  Evolution 24:49-57.
- 489 Austrheim, G., E. J. Solberg, and A. Mysterud. 2011. Spatio-temporal variation in large
  490 herbivore pressure in Norway during 1949-1999: has decreased grazing by livestock
  491 been countered by increased browsing by cervids? Wildlife Biology 17:286-298.
- Bernes, C., K. A. Bråthen, B. C. Forbes, J. D. Speed, and J. Moen. 2015. What are the
  impacts of reindeer/caribou (*Rangifer tarandus* L.) on arctic and alpine vegetation? A
  systematic review. Environmental Evidence 4:1-26.
- Bestelmeyer, B. T., A. M. Ellison, W. R. Fraser, K. B. Gorman, S. J. Holbrook, C. M. Laney,
  M. D. Ohman, D. P. C. Peters, F. C. Pillsbury, A. Rassweiler, R. J. Schmitt, and S.
  Sharma. 2011. Analysis of abrupt transitions in ecological systems. Ecosphere 2:1-26.
- Bhatt, U., D. Walker, M. Raynolds, P. Bieniek, H. Epstein, J. Comiso, J. Pinzon, C. Tucker,
  and I. Polyakov. 2013. Recent Declines in Warming and Vegetation Greening Trends
  over Pan-Arctic Tundra. Remote Sensing 5:4229.
- Bhatt, U. S., D. A. Walker, M. K. Raynolds, J. C. Comiso, H. E. Epstein, G. S. Jia, R. Gens, J.
  E. Pinzon, C. J. Tucker, C. E. Tweedie, and P. J. Webber. 2010. Circumpolar Arctic
  Tundra Vegetation Change Is Linked to Sea Ice Decline. Earth Interactions 14.
- Biuw, M., J. U. Jepsen, J. Cohen, S. H. Ahonen, M. Tejesvi, S. Aikio, P. R. Wali, O. P. L.
  Vindstad, A. Markkola, P. Niemela, and R. A. Ims. 2014. Long-term Impacts of
  Contrasting Management of Large Ungulates in the Arctic Tundra-Forest Ecotone:
  Ecosystem Structure and Climate Feedback. Ecosystems 17:890-905.
- Blok, D., M. M. P. D. Heijmans, G. Schaepman-Strub, A. V. Kononov, T. C. Maximov, and
  F. Berendse. 2010. Shrub expansion may reduce summer permafrost thaw in Siberian
  tundra. Global Change Biology 16:1296-1305.
- Bolker, B., H. Skaug, A. Magnusson, and A. Nielsen. 2012. Getting started with the
  glmmADMB package. Available at glmmadmb. r-forge. r-project. org/glmmADMB.
  pdf
- Bråthen, K. A., R. A. Ims, N. G. Yoccoz, P. Fauchald, T. Tveraa, and V. Hausner. 2007.
  Induced shift in ecosystem productivity? Extensive scale effects of abundant large herbivores. Ecosystems 10:773:789.
- Bråthen, K. A., and C. Lortie. 2016. A portfolio effect of shrub canopy height on species
   richness in both stressful and competitive environments. Functional Ecology 30:60-69.
- Bråthen, K. A., and V. Ravolainen. 2015. Niche construction by growth forms is as strong a
   predictor of species diversity as environmental gradients. Journal of Ecology 103:701 713.
- Buntgen, U., L. Hellmann, W. Tegel, S. Normand, I. Myers-Smith, A. V. Kirdyanov, D.
  Nievergelt, and F. H. Schweingruber. 2015. Temperature-induced recruitment pulses of Arctic dwarf shrub communities. Journal of Ecology 103:489-501.
- 525 Callaghan, T., L. O. Björn, Y. Chernov, T. Chapin, T. Christensen, B. Huntley, R. A. Ims, D.
  526 Jolly, N. Matveyeva, N. Panikov, W. Oechel, and S. Shaver. 2004. Synthesis of
  527 impacts in four arctic subregions. Ambio 33:469-473.
- Chapin, F. S., M. Sturm, M. C. Serreze, J. P. McFadden, J. R. Key, A. H. Lloyd, A. D.
  McGuire, T. S. Rupp, A. H. Lynch, J. P. Schimel, J. Beringer, W. L. Chapman, H. E.
  Epstein, E. S. Euskirchen, L. D. Hinzman, G. Jia, C. L. Ping, K. D. Tape, C. D. C.
  Thompson, D. A. Walker, and J. M. Welker. 2005. Role of land-surface changes in
- 532Arctic summer warming. Science **310**:657-660.

- 533 Christie, K. S., J. P. Bryant, L. Gough, V. T. Ravolainen, R. W. Ruess, and K. D. Tape. 2015.
  534 The Role of Vertebrate Herbivores in Regulating Shrub Expansion in the Arctic: A
  535 Synthesis. BioScience 65:1123-1133.
- Cohen, J., J. Pulliainen, C. B. Menard, B. Johansen, L. Oksanen, K. Luojus, and J. Ikonen.
  2013. Effect of reindeer grazing on snowmelt, albedo and energy balance based on satellite data analyses. Remote Sensing of Environment 135:107-117.
- den Herder, M., R. Virtanen, and H. Roininen. 2008. Reindeer herbivory reduces willow
  growth and grouse forage in a forest-tundra ecotone. Basic and Applied Ecology
  9:324-331.
- 542 Dullinger, S., A. Gattringer, W. Thuiller, D. Moser, N. E. Zimmermann, A. Guisan, W.
  543 Willner, C. Plutzar, M. Leitner, T. Mang, M. Caccianiga, T. Dirnbock, S. Ertl, A.
  544 Fischer, J. Lenoir, J. C. Svenning, A. Psomas, D. R. Schmatz, U. Silc, P. Vittoz, and
  545 K. Hulber. 2012. Extinction debt of high-mountain plants under twenty-first-century
  546 climate change. Nature Climate Change 2:619-622.
- 547 Elmendorf, S. C., G. H. R. Henry, R. D. Hollister, R. G. Bjork, A. D. Bjorkman, T. V.
  548 Callaghan, L. S. Collier, E. J. Cooper, J. H. C. Cornelissen, T. A. Day, A. M. Fosaa,
  549 W. A. Gould, J. Gretarsdottir, J. Harte, L. Hermanutz, D. S. Hik, A. Hofgaard, F.
  550 Jarrad, I. S. Jonsdottir, F. Keuper, K. Klanderud, J. A. Klein, S. Koh, G. Kudo, S. I.
- 551 Lang, V. Loewen, J. L. May, J. Mercado, A. Michelsen, U. Molau, I. H. Myers-Smith,
- 552 S. F. Oberbauer, S. Pieper, E. Post, C. Rixen, C. H. Robinson, N. M. Schmidt, G. R.
- 553 Shaver, A. Stenstrom, A. Tolvanen, O. Totland, T. Troxler, C. H. Wahren, P. J.
- 554Webber, J. M. Welker, and P. A. Wookey. 2012. Global assessment of experimental555climate warming on tundra vegetation: heterogeneity over space and time. Ecology556Letters 15:164-175.
- Forbes, B. C., M. M. Fauria, and P. Zetterberg. 2010. Russian Arctic warming and 'greening'
   are closely tracked by tundra shrub willows. Global Change Biology 16:1542-1554.
- Førland, E. J., R. E. Benestad, F. Flatøy, I. Hanssen-Bauer, J. E. Haugen, K. Isaksen, A.
  Sorteberg, and B. Ådlandsvik. 2009. Climate development in North Norway and the
  Svalbard region during 1900-2100. Norwegian Polar Institute, Tromsø, Norway.
- González, V. T., K. A. Bråthen, V. T. Ravolainen, M. Iversen, and S. B. Hagen. 2010. Large scale grazing history effects on Arctic-alpine germinable seed banks. Plant Ecology
   207:321-331.
- Hausner, V. H., P. Fauchald, and J. L. Jernsletten. 2012. Community-based management:
  under what conditions do Sami pastoralists manage pastures sustainably? PLoS ONE
  7.
- Hausner, V. H., P. Fauchald, T. Tveraa, E. Pedersen, J. L. Jernsletten, B. Ulvevadet, R. A.
  Ims, N. G. Yoccoz, and K. A. Bråthen. 2011. The ghost of development past: the
  impact of economic security policies on Saami pastoral ecosystems. Ecology and
  Society 16.
- Hegland, S. J., and K. Rydgren. 2016. Eaten but not always beaten: winners and losers along
  a red deer herbivory gradient in boreal forest. Journal of Vegetation Science 27:111122.
- Henden, J. A., N. G. Yoccoz, R. A. Ims, and K. Langeland. 2013. How spatial variation in
  areal extent and configuration of labile vegetation states affect the riparian bird
  community in Arctic tundra. PLoS ONE 8:e63312.
- Hijmans, R. J., S. E. Cameron, J. L. Parra, P. G. Jones, and A. Jarvis. 2005. Very high
   resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. International journal of
   climatology 25:1965-1978.
- House, J. I., S. Archer, D. D. Breshears, and R. J. Scholes. 2003. Conundrums in mixed
   woody-herbaceous plant systems. Journal of Biogeography 30:1763-1777.

- Huntington, H. 2013. Provisioning and cultural services. Pages 593-626 *in* H. Meltofte,
  editor. Arctic biodiversity assessement. Status and trends in Arctic biodiversity.
  Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Akureyri.
- Ims, R. A., and D. Ehrich. 2013. Terrestrial ecosystems. Pages 384-440 *in* H. Meltofte, editor.
   Arctic biodiversity assessement. Status and trends in Arctic biodiversity. Conservation
   of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Akureyri.
- Ims, R. A., and E. Fuglei. 2005. Trophic interaction cycles in tundra ecosystems and the
   impact of climate change. BioScience 55:311-322.
- Ims, R. A., and J. A. Henden. 2012. Collapse of an arctic bird community resulting from
   ungulate-induced loss of erect shrubs. Biological Conservation 149:2-5.
- Ims, R. A., N. G. Yoccoz, K. A. Bråthen, P. Fauchald, T. Tveraa, and V. Hausner. 2007. Can
   reindeer overabundance cause a trophic cascade? Ecosystems 10:607-622.
- Jansson, R., C. Nilsson, E. C. H. Keskitalo, T. Vlasova, M.-L. Sutinen, J. Moen, F. S. Chapin
  III, K. A. Bråthen, M. Cabeza, T. V. Callaghan, B. van Oort, H. Dannevig, I. A. BayLarsen, R. A. Ims, and P. E. Aspholm. 2015. Future changes in the supply of goods
  and services from natural ecosystems: prospects for the European north. Ecology and
  Society 20.
- Kerr, J. T., H. M. Kharouba, and D. J. Currie. 2007. The macroecological contribution to
   global change solutions. Science **316**:1581-1584.
- Kramshøj, M., I. Vedel-Petersen, M. Schollert, A. Rinnan, J. Nymand, H. Ro-Poulsen, and R.
   Rinnan. 2016. Large increases in Arctic biogenic volatile emissions are a direct effect
   of warming. Nature Geosci 9:349-352.
- Legagneux, P., G. Gauthier, N. Lecomte, N. M. Schmidt, D. Reid, M.-C. Cadieux, D.
  Berteaux, J. Bêty, C. J. Krebs, R. A. Ims, N. G. Yoccoz, R. I. G. Morrison, S. J.
  Leroux, M. Loreau, and D. Gravel. 2014. Arctic ecosystem structure and functioning
  shaped by climate and herbivore body size. Nature Climate Change 4:379-383.
- Lillesand, T. M., R. W. Kiefer, and J. W. Chipman. 2004. Remote sensing and image
   interpretation. 5th edition. Wiley, New York.
- Marshall, K. N., D. J. Cooper, and N. T. Hobbs. 2014. Interactions among herbivory, climate,
   topography and plant age shape riparian willow dynamics in northern Yellowstone
   National Park, USA. Journal of Ecology 102:667-677.
- Marshall, K. N., N. T. Hobbs, and D. J. Cooper. 2013. Stream hydrology limits recovery of
   riparian ecosystems after wolf reintroduction. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
   Biological Sciences 280.
- Menard, C. B., R. Essery, and J. Pomeroy. 2014. Modelled sensitivity of the snow regime to
   topography, shrub fraction and shrub height. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
   18:2375-2392.
- Myers-Smith, I. H., S. C. Elmendorf, P. S. A. Beck, M. Wilmking, M. Hallinger, D. Blok, K.
  D. Tape, S. A. Rayback, M. Macias-Fauria, B. C. Forbes, J. D. M. Speed, N.
- Boulanger-Lapointe, C. Rixen, E. Levesque, N. M. Schmidt, C. Baittinger, A. J. Trant,
  L. Hermanutz, L. S. Collier, M. A. Dawes, T. C. Lantz, S. Weijers, R. H. Jorgensen,
- 624 A. Buchwal, A. Buras, A. T. Naito, V. Ravolainen, G. Schaepman-Strub, J. A.
- 625 Wheeler, S. Wipf, K. C. Guay, D. S. Hik, and M. Vellend. 2015. Climate sensitivity of 626 shrub growth across the tundra biome. Nature Clim. Change **5**:887-891.
- Myers-Smith, I. H., B. C. Forbes, M. Wilmking, M. Hallinger, T. Lantz, D. Blok, K. D. Tape,
  M. Macias-Fauria, U. Sass-Klaassen, E. Levesque, S. Boudreau, P. Ropars, L.
- 629 Hermanutz, A. Trant, L. S. Collier, S. Weijers, J. Rozema, S. A. Rayback, N. M.
- 630 Schmidt, G. Schaepman-Strub, S. Wipf, C. Rixen, C. B. Menard, S. Venn, S. Goetz,
- 631 L. Andreu-Hayles, S. Elmendorf, V. Ravolainen, J. Welker, P. Grogan, H. E. Epstein,

632 and D. S. Hik. 2011a. Shrub expansion in tundra ecosystems: dynamics, impacts and research priorities. Environmental Research Letters 6. 633 634 Myers-Smith, I. H., B. C. Forbes, M. Wilmking, M. Hallinger, T. Lantz, D. Blok, K. D. Tape, 635 M. Macias-Fauria, U. Sass-Klaassen, E. Levesque, S. Boudreau, P. Ropars, L. Hermanutz, A. Trant, L. S. Collier, S. Weijers, J. Rozema, S. A. Rayback, N. M. 636 637 Schmidt, G. Schaepman-Strub, S. Wipf, C. Rixen, C. B. Menard, S. Venn, S. Goetz, 638 L. Andreu-Hayles, S. Elmendorf, V. Ravolainen, J. Welker, P. Grogan, H. E. Epstein, 639 and D. S. Hik. 2011b. Shrub expansion in tundra ecosystems: dynamics, impacts and 640 research priorities. Environmental Research Letters 6:045509. 641 Næss, M. W., and B. J. Bårdsen. 2010. Environmental stochasticity and long-term livestock 642 viability-herd-accumulation as a risk reducing strategy. Human Ecology 38:3-17. 643 Normand, S., C. Randin, R. Ohlemuller, C. Bay, T. T. Hoye, E. D. Kjaer, C. Korner, H. 644 Lischke, L. Maiorano, J. Paulsen, P. B. Pearman, A. Psomas, U. A. Treier, N. E. 645 Zimmermann, and J. C. Svenning. 2013. A greener Greenland? Climatic potential and 646 long-term constraints on future expansions of trees and shrubs. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 368. 647 Olofsson, J., L. Oksanen, T. Callaghan, P. E. Hulme, T. Oksanen, and O. Suominen. 2009. 648 649 Herbivores inhibit climate-driven shrub expansion on the tundra. Global Change 650 Biology 15:2681-2693. Pajunen, A. M., E. M. Kaarlejarvi, B. C. Forbes, and R. Virtanen. 2010. Compositional 651 differentiation, vegetation-environment relationships and classification of willow-652 653 characterised vegetation in the western Eurasian Arctic. Journal of Vegetation Science 654 **21**:107-119. Pearson, R. G., S. J. Phillips, M. M. Loranty, P. S. A. Beck, T. Damoulas, S. J. Knight, and S. 655 656 J. Goetz. 2013. Shifts in Arctic vegetation and associated feedbacks under climate 657 change. Nature Clim. Change 3:673-677. 658 Pellissier, L., K. A. Brathen, P. Vittoz, N. G. Yoccoz, A. Dubuis, E. S. Meier, N. E. 659 Zimmermann, C. F. Randin, W. Thuiller, L. Garraud, J. Van Es, and A. Guisan. 2013. 660 Thermal niches are more conserved at cold than warm limits in arctic-alpine plant species. Global Ecology and Biogeography 22:933-941. 661 662 Post, E., M. C. Forchhammer, M. S. Bret-Harte, T. V. Callaghan, T. R. Christensen, B. Elberling, A. D. Fox, O. Gilg, D. S. Hik, T. T. Hoye, R. A. Ims, E. Jeppesen, D. R. 663 664 Klein, J. Madsen, A. D. McGuire, S. Rysgaard, D. E. Schindler, I. Stirling, M. P. 665 Tamstorf, N. J. C. Tyler, R. van der Wal, J. Welker, P. A. Wookey, N. M. Schmidt, 666 and P. Aastrup. 2009. Ecological dynamics across the Arctic associated with recent climate change. Science 325:1355-1358. 667 Post, E., and C. Pedersen. 2008. Opposing plant community responses to warming with and 668 669 without herbivores. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United 670 States of America 105:12353-12358. 671 Ratajczak, Z., J. B. Nippert, and T. W. Ocheltree. 2014. Abrupt transition of mesic grassland to shrubland: evidence for thresholds, alternative attractors, and regime shifts. Ecology 672 673 **95**:2633-2645. 674 Ravolainen, V., K. A. Bråthen, R. A. Ims, N. G. Yoccoz, and E. Soininen. 2013. Shrub patch 675 configuration at the landscape scale is related to diversity of adjacent herbaceous 676 vegetation. Plant Ecology & Diversity 6:257-268. Ravolainen, V. T., K. A. Bråthen, N. G. Yoccoz, J. Nguyen, and R. A. Ims. 2014. 677 678 Complementary impacts of small rodents and semi-domesticated ungulates limit tall 679 shrub expansion in the tundra. Journal of Applied Ecology 51:234-241.

- Ravolainen, V. T., N. G. Yoccoz, K. A. Brathen, R. A. Ims, M. Iversen, and V. T. Gonzalez.
  2010. Additive partitioning of diversity reveals no scale-dependent impacts of large
  ungulates on the structure of tundra plant communities. Ecosystems 13:157-170.
- Rich, M. E., L. Gough, and N. T. Boelman. 2013. Arctic arthropod assemblages in habitats of
   differing shrub dominance. Ecography 36:994-1003.
- Roininen, H., T. Nyman, and A. G. Zinovjev. 2005. Biology, ecology, and evolution of gallinducing sawflies (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae and Xyelidae). Pages 467-494 *in* A.
  Raman, C. W. Schaefer, and T. M. Withers, editors. Biology, Ecology, and Evolution
  of Gall-inducing Arthropods. Science Publishers, Inc., Enfield, NH.
- Scheffer, M., and S. R. Carpenter. 2003. Catastrophic regime shifts in ecosystems: linking
   theory to observation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18:648-656.
- Speed, J. D. M., G. Austrheim, A. J. Hester, and A. Mysterud. 2013. The Response of Alpine
   Salix Shrubs to Long-Term Browsing Varies with Elevation and Herbivore Density.
   Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 45:584-593.
- 694 Staver, A. C., and W. J. Bond. 2014. Is there a "browse trap"? Dynamics of herbivore impacts
   695 on trees and grasses in an African savanna. Journal of Ecology 102:595-602.
- Sturm, M., C. Racine, and K. Tape. 2001. Climate change Increasing shrub abundance in the
   Arctic. Nature 411:546-547.
- 698 Swanson, D. K. 2015. Environmental limits of tall shrubs in Alaska's arctic national parks.
   699 PLoS ONE 10:e0138387.
- Sweet, S. K., L. Gough, K. L. Griffin, and N. T. Boelman. 2014. Tall deciduous shrubs offset
   delayed start of growing season through rapid leaf development in the Alaskan arctic
   tundra. Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research 46:682-697.
- Tape, K., M. Sturm, and C. Racine. 2006. The evidence for shrub expansion in Northern
   Alaska and the Pan-Arctic. Global Change Biology 12:686-702.
- Tape, K. D., M. Hallinger, J. M. Welker, and R. W. Ruess. 2012. Landscape heterogeneity of
   shrub expansion in Arctic Alaska. Ecosystems 15:711-724.
- Tømmervik, H., B. Johansen, J. A. Riseth, S. R. Karlsen, B. Solberg, and K. A. Høgda. 2009.
  Above ground biomass changes in the mountain birch forests and mountain heaths of
  Finnmarksvidda, northern Norway, in the period 1957-2006. Forest Ecology and
  Management 257:244-257.
- Tveraa, T., P. Fauchald, N. G. Yoccoz, R. A. Ims, R. Aanes, and K. A. Hogda. 2007. What
   regulate and limit reindeer populations in Norway? Oikos 116:706-715.
- Tveraa, T., A. Stien, H. Brøseth, and N. G. Yoccoz. 2014. The role of predation and food
  limitation on claims for compensation, reindeer demography and population
  dynamics. Journal of Applied Ecology 51:1264-1272.
- Väisänen, M., H. Ylänne, E. M. Kaarlejarvi, S. Sjögersten, J. Olofsson, N. Crout, and S.
  Stark. 2014. Consequences of warming on tundra carbon balance determined by
  reindeer grazing history. Nature Climate Change 4:384-388.
- van der Wal, R. 2006. Do herbivores cause habitat degradation or vegetation state transition?
  Evidence from the tundra. Oikos 114:177-186.
- 721 Vorren, Ø. 1962. Finnmarksamenes nomadisme. Universitetsforlaget, Oslo.
- Vors, L. S., and M. S. Boyce. 2009. Global declines of caribou and reindeer. Global Change
   Biology 15:2626-2633.
- Walker, D. A. 2000. Hierarchical subdivision of Arctic tundra based on vegetation response
   to climate, parent material and topography. Global Change Biology 6:19-34.
- Walker, D. A., M. K. Raynolds, F. J. A. Daniels, E. Einarsson, A. Elvebakk, W. A. Gould, A.
  E. Katenin, S. S. Kholod, C. J. Markon, E. S. Melnikov, N. G. Moskalenko, S. S.
  Talbot, B. A. Yurtsev, and C. Team. 2005. The Circumpolar Arctic vegetation map.
  Journal of Vegetation Science 16:267-282.

- Williamson, S. N., I. C. Barrio, D. S. Hik, and J. A. Gamon. 2016. Phenology and species
  determine growing-season albedo increase at the altitudinal limit of shrub growth in
  the sub-Arctic. Global Change Biology:doi: 10.1111/gcb.13297.
- Wolf, E. C., D. J. Cooper, and N. T. Hobbs. 2007. Hydrologic regime and herbivory stabilize
  an alternative state in yellowstone national park. Ecological Applications 17:15721587.
- Wookey, P. A., R. Aerts, R. D. Bardgett, F. Baptist, K. A. Brathen, J. H. C. Cornelissen, L.
  Gough, I. P. Hartley, D. W. Hopkins, S. Lavorel, and G. R. Shaver. 2009. Ecosystem
  feedbacks and cascade processes: understanding their role in the responses of Arctic
  and alpine ecosystems to environmental change. Global Change Biology 15:11531172.
- 741

743	<b>Table 1.</b> Parameter estimates and AIC values for different models for the effect of being in a
744	summer range (in contrast to the migration range), Rangifer density and average summer
745	temperature on the density and the height of small-stage shrubs. The estimates were obtained
746	using a negative binomial GLMM with a log link function (density) and a linear mixed effects
747	models and log transformed Rangifer density (height), both with sampling line nested within
748	river valley as random effects. Parameter estimates that differ significantly from zero
749	(P<0.05) are given in bold.

Density of small-stage shrubs 1 2.84 (3.49) -1.21 (0.84)	-0.06 (0.48) 4042
1 2.84 (3.49) -1.21 (0.84)	-0.06 (0.48) 4042
2 2.46 (0.48) 0.69 (0.75) -0.2	<b>24 (0.06)</b> 4032
3 -1.45 (2.47) 1.30 (0.79) -0.2	<b>28 (0.06)</b> 0.55 (0.34) 4032
Height of small-stage shrubs	
1 29.6 (17.7) -14.8 (4.5)	0.4 (2.8) 2718
2 13.3 (13.6) 19.3 (13.6) <b>-8</b>	<b>.1 (3.1)</b> 2711
3 1.1 (19.9) 21.8 (14.9) <b>-8</b>	<b>.8 (3.5)</b> 1.7 (2.5) 2712

752 Figure 1. A conceptual framework predicting how population density of a large herbivore can 753 influence a climate sensitive state shift from grassland to a shrubland state and in consequence 754 cause an advancing shrubline. The large herbivore density is assumed proportional to the 755 browsing pressure on palatable shrubs. (a) In grasslands, large herbivores readily browse 756 small life stages of palatable shrubs (saplings or small ramets) that are within their physical 757 foraging range. The abundance of small stage shrubs (i.e. their heights and/or density) 758 decreases non-linearly with browsing intensity (cf. Helgeland and Rydeng 2016) so that the 759 shrubs are kept in a browse trap independently of summer temperature when the browsing 760 pressure (and animal density) is high. At lower browsing pressures and in a warming climate 761 the small shrubs will escape the browse trap and grow into a tall life stage. In consequence, 762 shrub increase promoted by climate warming (Elmendorf et al. 2012), is only occurring in the 763 context of a low browsing pressure, i.e. for shrubs that are not in a browse trap. Overall these 764 scenarios give rise to two alternative states, either shifting towards a tall shrub state 765 (shrubland) or continuing in a grassland state (**b**). In response to increasing temperature small 766 shrubs can grow taller. Small shrubs can also increase their shoot density, ultimately 767 determining the infilling potential of the shrubs in taking over the grassland. The temperature 768 response finally causes a state shift. (c) A state shift will eventually cause shrublands to 769 expand into higher latitudes and altitudes, and advance the shrubline. Such shrub increase is 770 not possible for small shrubs caught in a browse trap as they are parts of persisting grassland 771 with an arrested shrubline. The framework builds on a conceptual model of shrub increase (cf. 772 Tape et al. 2006, Myers-Smith et al. 2011b) and the concept of the browse trap (cf. Staver and 773 Bond 2014).



(a)

Figure 2. Study design. (a) Finnmark is partly mountainous, with peaks up to 1200 m asl in its western part and 500 m asl in its eastern part causing temperature variations across the whole region. River valleys in *Rangifer* summer ranges (blue lines) or in migration ranges (black lines) were sampled for variables describing the shrubland state (all rivers) and the grassland state (field surveys depicted with blue squares). (b) Data collection of target vegetation states was carried out along sampling lines perpendicular to the river course for each 100 m down the river.



- Figure 3. The average  $(\pm 1 \text{ SE})$  (a) small shrub density (m<sup>-2</sup>) and (b) small shrub height (cm) 784 785 estimated for river valleys in *Rangifer* summer ranges (n=9) and migration ranges (M, n=4) included in the field surveys (light blue polygons in Figure 2a). Non-linear regression lines 786 787 indicate the relationship between small shrub performance and Rangifer density in the 788 summer ranges (regression equations for (a) small shrub density in summer ranges =  $\beta_1 + (\beta_2 - \beta_2)$ 789  $\beta_1$ /(1+exp(( $\beta_3$ -Rangifer density)/ $\beta_4$ )), with  $\beta_1 = 3.45$ , SE = 0.36,  $\beta_2 = -0.12$ , SE = 0.23,  $\beta_3 = -0.12$ , SE = 0.23, \beta\_3 = -0.12, SE = 0.2 5.32, SE = 0.26,  $\beta_4$  = 0.46, SE = 0.27; and for (**b**) small shrub height in summer ranges =  $\beta_1$ +( 790 791  $\beta_2 - \beta_1$  \* exp(-exp( $\beta_3$ ) \* *Rangifer* density), with  $\beta_1 = 12.1$ , SE = 2.0,  $\beta_2 = 74.7$ , SE = 56.4,  $\beta_3 = -$
- 792 0.67, SE = 0.59).





Rangifer density (km<sup>-2</sup>)

Ó

Figure 4. Average small-stage shrub densities ( $\pm 1$  SE) in riparian grasslands plotted against the deviance between the altitude of sampling lines along river valleys and the estimated shrubline. The small-stage shrub density was modelled using a log linear model. The regression line is given by  $y = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * x)$ , with  $\beta_0 = 1.54$  (95% CI = [0.70, 2.38]) and  $\beta_1 =$ 0.0018 (95% CI = [-0.0040, 0.0076]).



**Figure 5.** The altitudinal shrubline of the shrubland state was estimated for each sampled river valley (points), from records of stands of tall willow (*Salix*) shrubs along the sampling lines using aerial photographs. The regression lines indicate the average pattern of variation in the shrubline with temperature for the *Rangifer* summer (n = 40, blue line and points) and migration ranges (n = 18, black line and points) (shrubline =  $-372 (\pm 134) + 102 (\pm 18) *$ temperature –  $104 (\pm 32) *$  summer range, with  $\pm 1$  SE of estimates given in brackets).



# 1 Supplementary Information

Figure 1S. *Rangifer* densities per *Rangifer* management unit (animals per km<sup>2</sup>) at the summer ranges included in this study. *Rangifer* densities show temporal variability over the three decades preceding our survey (a). Average *Rangifer* densities over these decades correlate strongly with those measured over the last three years preceding our survey (b), indicating a consistency between the *Rangifer* management units in their managed animal densities.







**(b)** 



14 Figure S2. The estimated average summer temperature at 200 m asl for the river valleys 15 selected for the survey of small-stage shrubs, plotted against *Rangifer* density for river valleys 16 within the summer ranges (blue), and plotted along the x-axis (*Rangifer* density = 0) for river 17 valleys in the migration ranges (black).



19

### 20 Appendix

# 21 Validation of shrub mapping from photographs

22 To validate the accuracy of the presence/absence records of tall shrubs mapped from the photographs, matching field data on tall shrubs (>50 cm height) obtained from 675 survey 23 24 lines was compared to the photography classification of the same lines. In the field, 509 lines 25 were classified as not having tall shrubs of which 44 were classified as having shrubs using 26 the photographs (discrepancy = 8.6 %). In the field 166 lines were found to have tall shrubs, 27 whereas in the analysis of the photographs 44 were classified as not having shrubs 28 (discrepancy = 26.5 %). There was a clear peak in discrepancy around the estimated shrubline 29 (Fig. S2a) and at sites where there were a low number of tall shrubs along the line (Fig S2b).

30

31 Figure S3. Patterns in the misclassification of tall shrub presence in photographs as compared 32 with observed presence in the field. (a) The distribution of misclassification probabilities in 33 relation to the estimated shrubline as estimated by a smoothing spline fit to observed patterns 34 of misclassification. The dotted line gives the error distribution for the presence of tall shrubs 35 and the full line the error distribution for the absence of tall shrubs. (b) The probability of 36 misclassification of tall shrub presence in photographs in relation to the number of tall shrubs 37 found along the transect lines in the field ( $\pm$  95% CI). Sample sizes are given along the top of 38 the figure. The logistic regression line is given by: logit(misclassification probability) = -0.1139  $(\pm 0.36) - 0.85 (\pm 0.29) * \log(\text{number of shrubs}) (\pm 1 \text{ SE}).$ 







Figure S4. Correlation between the height and the sum of all shoots (stem and branches) of
small-stage shrubs. Points represent the river valley specific estimates based on field
measurements.







