

Number of words: 4062

TITLE: EARLY CAREERS ON ECOHYDRAULICS: CHALLENGES,
OPPORTUNITIES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Wilkes, Martin A^{1*}; Neverman, Andrew J²; Casas-Mulet, Roser³; Adeva-Bustos, Ana⁴; McCluskey, Alexander H⁵; Ouellet, Valerie⁶; Vanzo, Davide⁷; Franklin, Paul A⁸; Silva, Ana T⁹

1: Centre for Agroecology, Water and Resilience (CAWR), Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry, CV1 5FB, United Kingdom; martin.wilkes@coventry.ac.uk.

2: Institute for Agriculture & Environment, College of Sciences, Massey University, Private Bag 11, 222 Palmerston North, 4442, New Zealand; A.Neverman@massey.ac.nz.

3: Environmental Hydrology and Water Resources, Department of Infrastructure Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, 3010, Melbourne, Australia; roser.casas@unimelb.edu.au.

4: Department of Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, S. P. Andersens veg 5, Vassbygget 446, Norway; ana.adeva.bustos@ntnu.no.

5: Environmental Hydrology and Water Resources, Department of Infrastructure Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, 3010, Melbourne, Australia; alexander.mccluskey@unimelb.edu.au.

6: Stroud Water Research Center, 970 Spencer Rd., Avondale, PA, USA, 19311; vouellet@stroudcenter.org.

7: Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology (VAW), ETH Zurich Hönggerberggring 26, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland; vanzo@vaw.baug.ethz.ch.

8: National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), PO Box 11115, Hamilton, New Zealand; paul.franklin@niwa.co.nz.

9: Norwegian Institute for Nature Research (NINA), PO Box 5685 sloop, 7485 Trondheim, Norway; ana.silva@nina.no.

*Corresponding author

CC BY-NC-ND

Submitted to Journal of Ecohydraulics

1
2
3 **EARLY CAREERS ON ECOHYDRAULICS: CHALLENGES,**
4
5 **OPPORTUNITIES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**
6
7

8
9
10 **Abstract**

11 Early career researchers (ECRs) play a critical role in our increasingly knowledge-
12 based society, yet they are the most vulnerable group in the scientific community. As
13 a relatively young, interdisciplinary science, ecohydraulics is particularly reliant on
14 ECRs for future progress. In 2014 the Early Careers on Ecohydraulics Network
15 (ECoENet) was created in order to help the development of young researchers
16 working in this field. In this paper we synthesise the outcomes of a workshop for
17 ECRs organised by ECoENet in February 2016. We aim to show how the potential of
18 ECRs can be maximised in order to drive progress in ecohydraulics. According to the
19 most recent entrants to the field, major challenges in ecohydraulics lie in becoming
20 more integrated as a discipline, developing a common vocabulary and a collective
21 vision, engaging effectively with policy makers, and encouraging public participation.
22 To address these challenges in the future, ECRs need to develop their careers on an
23 international scale in a way that crosses traditional disciplinary boundaries, including
24 the social sciences, and allows them time to work at fundamental levels rather than
25 focusing solely on individual applications. Herein, we propose a strategy to facilitate
26 this by providing: a platform for disseminating research; an international support
27 network; and a set of international services for enhancing ECR training and
28 experience.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52
53
54 **Keywords:** Early career researchers; interdisciplinary science; ecohydraulics; society;
55 ecology; hydraulics
56
57
58
59
60

EARLY CAREERS ON ECOHYDRAULICS: CHALLENGES, OPPORTUNITIES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

1. Background

Environmental systems are characterised by a diverse range of multi-scale interconnections that are often defined by dynamic relationships (Peterson 2000). Understanding and working with these systems holistically is recognised as the key to managing contemporary environmental issues (Barnard & Elliot 2015; Nestler et al. 2016). Diverse skills and tools are therefore required to solve such complex environmental problems. Whilst environmental science is becoming increasingly interdisciplinary, with individual sub-disciplines drawing more from closely related fields, it still only moderately interacts with more distant fields such as social sciences and economics (Porter & Rafols 2009). At the same time, traditional disciplines are becoming increasingly specialised, creating barriers for interdisciplinary research (Nestler et al. in review).

Against this background, ecohydraulics has gained recognition as a truly interdisciplinary science (Rice et al. 2010; Maddock et al. 2013a), with active research groups such as the International Centre for Ecohydraulics Research (ICER; <http://www.icer.soton.ac.uk>) and the Center for Ecohydraulics Research (CER; <http://www.uidaho.edu/engr/research/cer>). It began as a means to bridge ecology and hydraulics (Mader & Kraml 2014), but today ecohydraulics spans a range of other disciplines, such as biology, fluvial geomorphology, hydrology, river engineering and water resources management (Maddock et al. 2013b). Ecohydraulics plays an important role in increasing our knowledge of aquatic ecosystems and understanding how humans are impacting them (Mader & Kraml 2014).

1
2
3 The cross-disciplinary nature of ecohydraulics makes it highly suited to the
4 development of a global network of Early Career Researchers (ECRs) capable of
5 tackling contemporary and emerging environmental issues. ECRs play a particularly
6 significant role in shaping the future of our increasingly global, knowledge-based
7 society (Pain 2014), yet are the most vulnerable group within the scientific
8 community (Laudel & Gläser, 2008). Short-term postdoctoral contracts, a large
9 disparity in the number of PhDs awarded and the availability of research positions,
10 limited funding targeted towards supporting ECRs, and the challenge of competing
11 against established researchers (Bazeley, 2003; Cyranoski et al., 2011) can result in
12 many turning away from pursuing research careers. Mentorship and effective support
13 networks are recognised as important for mitigating this (e.g. White, 2004; Kahn &
14 Greenblatt, 2009). As such, early career networks are high on the agenda of many
15 funding and science bodies worldwide, yet most early career initiatives are split
16 geographically and/or by discipline (Rauser et al., 2015).

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 The Early Careers on Ecohydraulics Network (ECoENet) was created in 2014
35 following discussions among ECRs working in ecohydraulics that highlighted the
36 necessity and value of building a professional network at the start of a research career.
37 Following the example of the Young Earth System Scientists (YESS) community
38 (Rauser et al. 2015), ECoENet has been established through a bottom-up process to
39 facilitate effective interdisciplinary networking by allowing all members to have an
40 equal voice. The network currently has 89 members across 23 countries, and an active
41 online presence (<http://www.ecoenet.link>).

52 53 54 **2. Engaging early career researchers within ecohydraulics**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In February 2016, ECoENet organised its first workshop attached to the 11th
4 International Symposium on Ecohydraulics, Melbourne. The one-day workshop
5 aimed to provide an opportunity for ECRs to network, share ideas and improve
6 understanding of how they can contribute towards developing ecohydraulics as a
7 discipline. The workshop was attended by 25 participants from 10 countries. Africa
8 and South America were the only continents not represented.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 In order to better understand the views of ECRs as researchers in the field of
17 ecohydraulics, we used a method of inquiry known as ‘participatory action research’
18 (PAR). PAR works through iterative cycles of participation, action and reflection. The
19 method has been shown to have good properties (open, equitable, reflective, action-
20 orientated) to help researchers contribute to meaningful change in situations
21 characterised by uncertainty (Chevalier & Buckles, 2013). We used PAR to address
22 the following questions: (i) what are the major challenges in ecohydraulics? (ii) what
23 are the barriers and opportunities for ECRs in meeting these challenges? and (iii) how
24 can a research network help? Sections 3-5 of this paper relate to each of these
25 questions in turn.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 By synthesising the responses of participants, this paper seeks to represent the views
40 of ECRs within ecohydraulics. In doing so, it shows how the ecohydraulics
41 community can optimise the potential contribution of ECRs to the discipline and
42 defines the role of ECoENet in facilitating this.
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **3. Major challenges in ecohydraulics**

50 ECRs can provide a potentially rich source of information on disciplinary challenges
51 due to their recent training and progressive mindset (Gilvin et al. 2012). Maddock et
52 al. (2013c) identify four future challenges for ecohydraulics: (i) measuring and
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 modelling turbulent flow properties and their influence on ecological communities
4 (i.e. biophysical relationships); (ii) interdisciplinary integration and cooperation; (iii)
5 transferability across temporal and spatial scales; and (iv) ecohydraulics and
6 management (the application of research to management). In many cases the
7 challenges identified by ECRs, summarised in Table 1, were related to these broad
8 categories.

9
10 Echoing several previous commentaries in the last decade (e.g. Newman et al. 2006;
11 Hannah et al. 2007), ECRs acknowledged the need to integrate the disparate
12 vocabularies, concepts and approaches of the ecological and hydraulic sciences. They
13 also thought that the involvement of social scientists and economists should be one of
14 the major priorities for ecohydraulics. Compared to Maddock et al. (2013c), ECRs
15 placed more emphasis on issues of direct relevance to society, including ecosystem
16 services. They were much more cognizant of the need to engage with public and
17 political spheres through dissemination in the mainstream media, informing public
18 debates on environmental policy, promoting citizen science and increasing the
19 influence of ecohydraulics on policy making.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 [Table 1 near here]

42 43 44 45 **4. Barriers and opportunities for early career researchers**

46
47 ECRs reflected on both the barriers and opportunities facing them in their attempts to
48 meet the major challenges outlined above. The participants identified factors relating
49 to education and knowledge production, professional development and welfare. We
50 summarise each of these themes below.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

4.1 Education and knowledge production

A recurring theme of the workshop related to the lack of interdisciplinary academic departments, undergraduate degrees or masters-level courses specifically devoted to ecohydraulics. Specialised courses are typically taught within engineering or ecology departments. ECRs felt that this limits their potential to contribute towards progress in the field by creating institutional barriers to truly interdisciplinary training. Within the hierarchical structure of ecohydraulics (Nestler et al. in review), PhD students and postdoctoral researchers are typically engaged in applications of existing knowledge and technologies. However, ECRs unanimously wanted opportunities to be involved in fundamental research at ‘discipline’ and ‘paradigm’ levels (*sensu* Nestler et al. in review), rather than focusing solely on individual applications as is often the case in PhD and postdoctoral projects, in order to progress ecohydraulics as an integrated science.

4.2 Professional development

ECRs felt they had limited understanding of potential career goals and pathways within ecohydraulics. The majority lacked a senior mentor they could call upon for advice. Overwhelmingly, participants reported the desire to contribute to positive change within society as part of their career aspirations. A number of opportunities to achieve this were discussed and centred upon increased involvement of industry partners and policy-makers in PhD supervision, chances to take part in non-academic placements, and the pursuit of effective public engagement and participation in ecohydraulics. This is an area where the ecohydraulics discipline is well positioned to deliver due to its applied nature. However, postgraduate students should be given more opportunities to learn skills for effective communication with stakeholders and,

1
2
3 where appropriate, training for futures in ecohydraulics-related careers outside
4 academia (e.g. Blickley et al., 2013).
5
6
7
8

9 10 **4.3 Welfare**

11 ECRs were concerned about maintaining an acceptable work-life balance in a highly
12 competitive academic climate with great pressure to publish at an early stage. This is
13 compounded by uncertainty due to short-term postdoctoral contracts and the need to
14 be internationally mobile in order to seek secure positions. Most participants agreed
15 that a gender imbalance prevails within ecohydraulics, as in many scientific
16 disciplines (Leslie et al. 2015), particularly with regard to female representation in the
17 most senior academic community. The tension between professional development and
18 family life was recognised as an issue that may disproportionately affect female
19 ECRs.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **5. Future directions: Harnessing the potential of early career researchers in** 35 **ecohydraulics**

36 Despite the suggestion that ecohydraulics is ‘an integrated approach’ (Maddock et al.
37 2013a), the most recent entrants to the discipline still feel that a great deal of effort is
38 required to fully integrate the whole range of requisite concepts and approaches from
39 the natural and social sciences. The development of a common vocabulary, the
40 articulation of a collective vision and the production of fundamental ecohydraulic
41 concepts are critical steps to achieving this. Ecohydraulics has rarely produced its
42 own paradigms (but see Nestler et al. 2016), instead borrowing from related
43 disciplines. ECRs can make a powerful contribution to overcoming these challenges if
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 they are offered opportunities to divert time and resources to work at basic levels in
4
5 the hierarchy of ecohydraulic knowledge (Nestler et al. in review).
6

7
8 ECRs are acutely aware of the need for ecohydraulics to interact more closely with
9
10 the social sciences. This is not to suggest that the scientific community has been
11
12 benign in this regard, but there is much greater scope for encouraging the
13
14 participation of public and political stakeholders in line with global initiatives to
15
16 promote transdisciplinarity, such as Future Earth (2013). For example, ecohydraulics
17
18 has been extremely slow to harness the power of citizen science, in contrast to other
19
20 ecological and hydrological sciences (Dickinson et al. 2012; Buytaert et al. 2014). No
21
22 chapters in Maddock *et al.* (2013a) deal with public participation, citizen science or
23
24 ecosystem services, issues that ECRs feel strongly about. The early career community
25
26 is highly motivated to pursue these approaches in order to drive progress. ECoENet
27
28 aims to facilitate a move towards more wide-reaching communication and
29
30 participation as a priority (Figure 1).
31
32
33
34
35

36 [Figure 1 near here]
37
38
39

40
41 Ecohydraulics is an international community whose collective progress is dependent
42
43 on cross-border collaboration. Although efforts have been made in this direction there
44
45 is still a particular need to engage and exchange knowledge with African and Latin
46
47 American researchers, who are underrepresented in ECoENet and the wider
48
49 ecohydraulics community. ECoENet has members from all continents and is actively
50
51 working to maximise the participation of Latin American and African ECRs,
52
53 including through efforts to secure funding for their attendance at future
54
55 ecohydraulics symposia. Furthermore, in an attempt to raise the profile of Latin
56
57
58
59
60

American ECRs, ECoENet is currently publishing a blog series by two Chilean members on its website.

Establishing research centres such as ICER is an important step towards institutionalising the discipline. However, there is a need to go further in order to realise the full potential of future ecohydraulics specialists, regardless of nationality or location. ECoENet proposes a location-independent 'virtual lab' as a platform for ecohydraulics-specific training and research opportunities. The platform would seek to both strengthen the identity and integration of the discipline and to mitigate some of the key barriers facing ECRs. This would include organised exchanges with policy and industry stakeholders in order to increase interdisciplinary collaborations (Rijnsoever & Hessels, 2011) and address the need for holistic approaches to contemporary environmental issues (Barnard & Elliot 2015; Nestler et al. 2016), research 'sand-pits' (Giles 2004) focusing on paradigm-level research, and a mentor scheme to support members in their pursuit of excellent research, including the production of fundamental concepts in ecohydraulics. The network aims to develop this proposal in the longer term through a series of steps shown in Figure 1. They include the following:

(i) Outreach

ECoENet is being promoted through existing postgraduate groups (e.g. NoWPAS Salmonid Research Network, Canadian Rivers Institute), conferences (e.g. Symposium of the International Society for River Science, Canadian Water Resources Association Conference) and the wider networks of its members.

(ii) Online presence

1
2
3 ECoENet is active on social media and already has an online presence through its
4
5 website. The network's future strategy rests on two main pillars: internal
6
7 communication among the members, which includes scientific discussions and
8
9 sharing of professional experiences; and external communication with the general
10
11 public, policy makers and other research disciplines.
12

13 14 (iii) Key participation at ISE

15
16 The network aims to continue providing an enabling environment through ECR
17
18 workshops at future ecohydraulics symposia. It is currently planning the ISE2018
19
20 workshop in collaboration with the local organising committee in Japan.
21

22 23 (iv) Mentor scheme

24
25 The scheme will connect ECRs to senior scientists, environmental managers and
26
27 policy makers. ECoENet is currently establishing a mentor-member list and an online
28
29 platform to host the scheme.
30

31 32 (v) Training and education

33
34 The training and education programme will include: targeted webinars; a working
35
36 paper series; online interviews with key scientists, environmental managers and
37
38 policy makers; and research 'sand-pits', allowing ECRs time to focus on fundamental
39
40 ideas.
41

42 43 (vi) Research and policy exchanges

44
45 Exchanges will provide members with opportunities to gain experience with key
46
47 actors in different locations and disciplines. Specific programs could also include PhD
48
49 placements in industry.
50

51 52 (vii) Promoting citizen science

53
54 As a long-term goal, ECoENet aims to encourage citizen science as a tool for research
55
56 and public engagement in ecohydraulics (see Dickinson et al., 2012 for a review on
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 citizen science in ecology). Special sessions at future ISE symposia will develop this
4
5 goal further.
6
7
8

9
10 Whilst issues raised in the workshop regarding ECR welfare are not specific to
11
12 ecohydraulics, ECoENet can help to address them by providing opportunities for
13
14 ECRs to build wider professional networks and facilitating better ecohydraulics-
15
16 specific training. The network will place a special emphasis on the role of women in
17
18 ecohydraulics, including through the availability of female mentors and website
19
20 features (blogs, interviews) focusing on female members of the ecohydraulics
21
22 community. ECoENet will also connect with other ECR networks in order to lobby
23
24 wider academia for better consideration of ECR welfare, particularly with regards to
25
26 the widespread problem of short-term postdoctoral contracts.
27
28

29
30 A useful framework for organising international ECR initiatives is Global Community
31
32 Innovation Platforms (GCIPs). These open online infrastructures seek to improve
33
34 innovation and knowledge exchange. GCIPs are characterised by several key features:
35
36 (i) they are global in scope; (ii) they connect important local communities; (iii) they
37
38 focus on methodological innovation and challenging paradigms; and (iv) they provide
39
40 a common platform for sharing resources and ideas (Jørgensen et al. 2015). In
41
42 addition, we argue that the strongly interdisciplinary and very applied nature of
43
44 ecohydraulics requires further explicit features: (v) connect important natural and
45
46 social sciences networks; and (vi) engage political actors and the general public.
47
48 Table 2 relates these key features to the future directions identified in Figure 1.
49
50
51
52
53

54 **6. Concluding remarks**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ECRs are critical to the future of ecohydraulics, a discipline with important
4 contributions to make within the wider scientific community and society as a whole.
5
6
7 The main challenges in ecohydraulics lie in becoming more integrated as a discipline,
8 including developing an integrated set of tools and fundamental concepts, engaging
9 the public and influencing policy (Nestler et al. in review). In order to drive progress,
10 ECRs need to develop their careers on a truly international scale in a way that crosses
11 traditional disciplinary boundaries, including the social sciences, and allows them
12 time to work at fundamental levels rather than focusing solely on individual
13 applications. These opportunities should be independent of gender, status and
14 nationality. ECoENet can help to facilitate this by providing a platform for
15 disseminating research and an international network that enhances ECR training,
16 experience and potential.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgments

1
2
3
4
5 ECoENet is thankful to the local organising committee of the 11th International
6
7 Symposium on Ecohydraulics (ISE), Melbourne, who supported the workshop.
8
9
10 Thanks also to the participants of the workshop for sharing their time and their
11
12 perceptions as ECRs within ecohydraulics. We are grateful to the ecohydraulics
13
14 leadership team for recognising the importance of ECR representation by appointing
15
16 ECoENet representatives to the committee. Thanks also to Camille MacNaughton of
17
18 the Quebec Centre for Biodiversity Science for comments on a draft of the
19
20 manuscript. Finally, we acknowledge the contribution of John Nestler's keynote talks
21
22 at the ECR workshop and the ISE2016 conference proper. Those talks inspired a large
23
24 part of our thinking about the role of ECRs in ecohydraulics.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

Barnard S, Elliott M. 2015. The 10-tenets of adaptive management and sustainability: An holistic framework for understanding and managing the socio-ecological system. *Environ. Sci. Policy*. 51: 181-191.

Bazeley P. 2003. Defining 'early career' in research. *High*. 45(3): 257-279.

Blickley JL, Deiner K, Garbach K, Lacher I, Meek MH, Porensky LM, Wilkerson ML, Winford EM, Schwartz MW. 2013. Graduate student's guide to necessary skills for nonacademic conservation careers. *Conserv Biol*. 27: 24-34.

Buytaert W, Zulkafli Z, Grainger S, Acosta L, Alemie TC, Bastiaensen J, Bièvre BD, Bhusal J, Clark J, Dewulf A, Foggin, M. 2014. Citizen science in hydrology and water resources: opportunities for knowledge generation, ecosystem service management, and sustainable development. *Front Earth Sci*. 2: 1-21.

Chevalier JM, Buckles D. 2013. Participatory action research: Theory and methods for engaged inquiry. Routledge.

Cyranoski D, Gilbert N, Ledford H, Nayar A, Yahia M. 2011. The PhD factory. *Nature*. 472: 276-279.

Dickinson JL, Shirk J, Bonter D, Bonney R, Crain RL, Martin J, Phillips T, Purcell K. 2012. The current state of citizen science as a tool for ecological research and public engagement. *Front. Ecol. Environ*. 10(6): 291-297.

1
2
3
4
5 Future Earth. 2013. Future earth initial design: report of the transition team. Future
6 Earth: Paris, France.
7
8

9
10
11
12 Giles J. 2004 Sandpit initiative digs deep to bring disciplines together. *Nature*. 427:
13 187-187.
14
15

16
17
18
19 Gilvin A, Roberts GM, Martin C. 2012. Collaborative futures: Critical reflections on
20 publicly active graduate education. The Graduate School Press: Syracuse University.
21
22

23
24
25 Hannah DM, Sadler JP, Wood PJ. 2007. Hydroecology and ecohydrology: Past,
26 present and future. John Wiley and Sons: Hoboken. Chapter 22, Hydroecology and
27 ecohydrology: challenges and future prospects; pp. 421-430.
28
29

30
31
32
33
34 Jørgensen PS, Barraquand F, Bonhomme V, Curran TJ, Cieraad E, Ezard TG,
35 Gherardi LA, Hayes RA, Poisot T, Salguero-Gómez R, DeSoto L. 2015. Connecting
36 people and ideas from around the world: global innovation platforms for next-
37 generation ecology and beyond. *Ecosphere*, 6(4): 1-11.
38
39
40
41
42

43
44
45 Kahn JS, Greenblatt RM. 2009. Mentoring early-career scientists for HIV research
46 careers. *Am. J. Public Health*. 99(1): 37-42.
47
48

49
50
51
52 Laudel G, Gläser J. 2008. From apprentice to colleague: The metamorphosis of early
53 career researchers. *High*. 55(3): 387-406.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Leslie SJ, Cimpian A, Meyer M, Freeland E. 2015. Expectations of brilliance underlie
4 gender distributions across academic disciplines. *Science*. 347(6219): 262-265.
5
6
7

8
9
10 Maddock I, Harby A, Kemp P, Wood P. 2013a. *Ecohydraulics: An Integrated*
11 *Approach*. Wiley: Chichester.
12

13
14
15
16 Maddock I, Harby A, Kemp P, Wood P. 2013b. *Ecohydraulics: An Integrated*
17 *Approach*. Wiley: Chichester. Chapter 1, *Ecohydraulics: An Introduction*; pp. 1-8.
18
19

20
21
22
23 Maddock I, Harby A, Kemp P, Wood P. 2013c. *Ecohydraulics: An Integrated*
24 *Approach*. Wiley: Chichester. Chapter 25, *Research Needs, Challenges and the Future*
25 *of Ecohydraulics Research*; pp. 431-436.
26
27
28

29
30
31
32 Mader H, Kraml J. 2014. Ecohydraulics—a bridge discipline between the hydraulics
33 and the ecology of watersheds. *Intl. J. River Basin Management*. 12(2): 99-100.
34
35

36
37
38
39 Nestler JM, Baigún C, Maddock I. 2016. *River Science: Research and Management*
40 *for the 21st Century*. John Wiley & Sons. Chapter 5, *Achieving the aquatic ecosystem*
41 *perspective: integrating interdisciplinary approaches to describe instream*
42 *ecohydraulic processes*; pp. 84-103.
43
44
45
46

47
48
49 Nestler JM, Stewardson M, Gilvear D, Webb JA, Smith DL. In Review.
50 *Ecohydraulics exemplifies the emerging “Paradigm of the Interdisciplines”*.
51 Submitted to the *Journal of Ecohydraulics* on 14 April 2016.
52
53
54
55

1
2
3 Newman BD, Wilcox BP, Archer SR, Breshears DD, Dahm CN, Duffy CJ,
4
5 McDowell NG, Phillips FM, Scanlon BR, Vivoni ER. 2006. Ecohydrology of water -
6
7 limited environments: A scientific vision. *Water Resour. Res.* 42(6): W06302.
8
9

10
11 Pain E. 2014. An Emerging Global Picture of Early-Career Scientists. *Science*.
12
13 [Internet]. [cited 2016 6 April]. Available from
14
15 [http://www.sciencemag.org/careers/2014/01/emerging-global-picture-early-career-](http://www.sciencemag.org/careers/2014/01/emerging-global-picture-early-career-scientists)
16
17 [scientists](http://www.sciencemag.org/careers/2014/01/emerging-global-picture-early-career-scientists)
18
19

20
21
22
23 Peterson GD. 2000. Scaling ecological dynamics: self-organization, hierarchical
24
25 structure, and ecological resilience. *Clim. Chang.* 44(3): 291-309.
26
27

28
29
30 Porter A, Rafols I. 2009. Is science becoming more interdisciplinary? Measuring and
31
32 mapping six research fields over time. *Scientometrics* 81(3): 719-745.
33
34

35
36
37 Rauser F, Schemann V, Sonntag S. 2015. Sustainable early-career networks. *Nat.*
38
39 *Geosci.* 8: 745-746.
40
41

42
43
44 Rice SP, Little S, Wood PJ, Moir HJ, Vericat D. 2010. The relative contributions of
45
46 ecology and hydraulics to ecohydraulics. *River Res. Appl.* 26: 1-4.
47
48

49
50
51 Van Rijnsoever FJ, Hessels LK. 2011. Factors associated with disciplinary and
52
53 interdisciplinary research collaboration. *Res. Policy* 40(3): 463-472.
54
55

1
2
3 White K. 2004. The leaking pipeline: Women postgraduate and early career
4
5 researchers in Australia. Tertiary Education & Management. 10(3): 227-241.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

Table 1. Major challenges in ecohydraulics identified by early career workshop participants. *Categories from Maddock et al. (2013c).

<p>Biophysical relationships*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishing new concepts and paradigms in ecohydraulics • Defining the limits of the current knowledge • Developing new tools and methods (e.g. next generation habitat models) • Harnessing new technological advances (e.g. biotelemetry, velocimetry) • Going beyond single species
<p>Interdisciplinary integration and cooperation*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defining a common vocabulary • Firmly establishing the Journal of Ecohydraulics as a truly interdisciplinary journal • Agreeing a collective vision for the future of ecohydraulics • Overcoming disciplinary separatism • Optimising the integration of tools from different disciplines • Including social scientists and economists
<p>Transferability across temporal and spatial scales*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unifying concepts of scale in hydraulic and ecological sciences
<p>Ecohydraulics and management*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrating ecosystem services • Supporting decision makers with timely information • Linking theory to practice (and practice to theory) • More monitoring of restoration projects (e.g. environmental flows)

Public and political engagement

- Communicating research to the public via mainstream media
- Informing public debates
- Encouraging public participation in research (i.e. citizen science)
- Interacting with stakeholders early in the research process

Table 2. Future directions for ECoENet and how they are related to the key features of Global Community Innovation Platforms.

Steps towards the Future direction of ECoENet	1 Global scope	2 Local communities	3 Innovative	4 Common platform	5 Connect disciplines	6 Policies and people
<i>Outreach</i>	•	•			•	
<i>Online presence</i>	•			•		
<i>Key participation at ISE</i>	•			•	•	
<i>Mentor scheme</i>		•	•			
<i>Training and education</i>		•	•			
<i>Research and policy exchanges</i>		•	•		•	•
<i>Citizen science</i>			•			•




Figure 1. Future directions for ECoENet ordered by a timeline of implementation from short term actions to long term.

[Figure 1 near here]

139x105mm (300 x 300 DPI)